[FR Doc. 03-2005 Filed 1-28-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-60-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Applications for Modification of Exemption

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

ACTION: List of applications for modification of exemptions.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the procedures governing the application for, and the processing of, exemptions from the Department of Transportation's Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR part 107, subpart B), notice is hereby given that the Office of Hazardous Materials Safety has received

the applications described herein. This notice is abbreviated to expedite docketing and public notice. Because the sections affected, modes of transportation, and the nature of application have been shown in earlier **Federal Register** publications, they are not repeated here. Requests for modifications of exemptions (e.g. to provide for additional hazardous materials, packaging design changes, additional mode of transportation, etc.) are described in footnotes to the application number. Application numbers with the suffix "M" denote a modification request. These applications have been separated from the new applications for exemptions to facilitate processing.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 13, 2003.

ADDRESS COMMENTS TO: Records Center, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590. Comments should refer to the application number and be submitted in triplicate. If confirmation of receipt of comments is desired, include a self-addressed stamped postcard showing the exemption number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Copies of the applications are available for inspection in the Records Center, Nassif Building, 400 7th Street, SW., Washington, DC or at http://dms.dot.gov.

This notice of receipt of applications for modification of exemptions is published in accordance with part 107 of the Federal hazardous materials transportation law (49 U.S.C. 5117(b); 49 CFR 1.53(b)).

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 23, 2003.

R. Ryan Posten,

Exemptions Program Officer, Office of Hazardous Materials, Exemptions and Approvals.

Application No.	Docket No.	Applicant	Modification of exemption
9419–M		FIBA Technologies, Inc., Westboro, MA. (See Footnote 1.)	9419
9421-M		Taylor-Wharton (Gas & Fluid Control Group), Harrisburg, PA. (See Footnote 2.).	9421
9706–M		Taylor-Wharton (Gas & Fluid Control Group), Harrisburg, PA. (See Footnote 3.).	9706
10047–M		Taylor-Wharton (Gas & Fluid Control Group), Harrisburg, PA. (See Footnote 4.).	10047
10049–M		Martin Transport, Inc., Kilgore, TX. (See Footnote 5.)	10049
10143–M		Eurocom, Inc., Irving, TX. (See Footnote 6.)	10143
11194–M		Carleton Technologies, Inc., Glen Burnie, MD. (See Footnote 7.).	11194
11580–M		Columbiana Boiler Co., Columbiana, OH. (See Footnote 8.)	11580
12022–M	RSPA-98-3308	Taylor-Wharton (Gas & Fluid Control Group), Harrisburg, PA. (See Footnote 9.).	12022
12698-M	RSPA-01-9652	Integrated Environmental Services, Inc., Atlanta, GA. (See Footnote 10.).	12698
12838-M	RSPA-01- 10859.	City Machine & Welding, Inc., Amarillo, TX. (See Footnote 11.)	12838
13104–M	RSPA-02- 13279.	Consumers Energy (Big Rock Point Restoration Proj), Charlevoix, Ml. (See Footnote 12.).	13104
13144-M	RSPA-02- 13718.	Baker Petrolite, Sugar Land, TX. (See Footnote 13.)	13144
13163–M		Pacific Bio-Material Management, Inc., Fresno, CA. (See Footnote 14.).	13163

⁽¹⁾ To modify the exemption to authorize the use of DOT Specification 3AX cylinders that are retested by means other than the hydrostatic retest for the transportation of certain gases.

(2) To modify the exemption to authorize an alternative immersion UE test system for non-DOT specification steel cylinders transporting certain Division 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 materials.

(3) To modify the exemption to authorize an alternative immersion UE test system for non-DOT specification steel cylinders transporting certain Division 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 materials and eliminating the Fracture Toughness Test requirement

(4) To modify the exemption to authorize an alternative immersion UE test system for non-DOT specification steel cylinders transporting cer-

(4) To modify the exemption to authorize an alternative immersion UE test system for non-DOT specification steel cylinders transporting certain Division 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 materials and eliminating the Fracture Toughness Test requirement.

(5) To modify the exemption to authorize the transportation of additional Division 2.1 materials and the use of additional polyurethane insulated non-DOT specification cargo tanks.

(6) To modify the exemption to authorize the transportation of additional Division 2.2 materials in a non-refillable non-DOT specification inside

metal container.

(7) To modify the exemption to authorize the transportation of additional Division 2.2 materials in a non-DOT specification fully wrapped carbon-fiber reinforced aluminum lined cylinder.

(8) To modify the exemption to authorize changes to the hydrostatic and physical test requirements for qualification of the non-DOT specification stainless steel cylinders.

(9) To modify the exemption to authorize the use of DOT Specification 3A cylinders for the transportation of certain Division 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3

materials and the use of an alternative immersion UE test system.
(10) To modify the exemption to authorize design changes to the non-DOT specification full open head, steel/stainless steel salvage cylinders for the transportation of various Classes/Divisions of hazardous materials.

(11) To modify the exemption to authorize the use of DOT Specification 3A cylinders, with revised diameter/wall thickness requirements, for the transportation of certain Division 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 materials.

(12) To modify the exemption to authorize the use of an alternative closure material for the steam drum nozzle as part of non-DOT specification packaging for the transportation of Class 7 material.

(13) To reissue the exemption originally issued on an emergency basis and to authorize continued use of the DOT Specification 4BW240 welded steel cylinders equipped with locking ball valves and a pressure relief device for the transportation of a Division 6.1 material.

(14) To reissue the exemption originally issued on an emergency basis for the transportation of certain Division 6.2 materials in specially designed packaging.

[FR Doc. 03–2006 Filed 1–28–03; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board [Ex Parte No. 333]

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Friday, January 31, 2003.¹

PLACE: The Board's Hearing Room, Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20423

STATUS: The Board will meet to discuss among themselves the following agenda items. Although the conference is open for public observation, no public participation is permitted.

MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED: STB Finance Docket No. 34178, Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Corporation and Cedar American Rail Holding, Inc.—Control— Iowa, Chicago & Eastern Railroad Corporation.

STB Finance Docket No. 33697, National Railroad Passenger Corporation—Petition for Declaratory Order—Weight of Rail.

STB Finance Docket No. 33995, SF&L Railway, Inc.—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Toledo, Peoria and Western Railway Corporation Between La Harpe and Peoria, IL.

STB Finance Docket No. 33996, Kern W. Schumacher and Morris H. Kulmer—Continuance in Control—SF&L Railway, Inc.

STB Docket No. AB–448 (Sub-No. 2X), SF&L Railway, Inc.—Abandonment Exemption—in Hancock, McDonough, Fulton and Peoria Counties, IL.

STB Docket No. AB–565 (Sub-No. 11X), New York Central Lines, LLC—Abandonment-Exemption—in Lake County, OH.

STB Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 617X), CSX Transportation, Inc.— Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Lake County, OH.

STB Finance Docket No. 34114, Yolo Shortline Railroad Company—Lease and Operation Exemption—Port of Sacramento.

STB Finance Docket No. 34304, *The Burlington Northern and Santa Fe*

Railway Company—Trackage Rights Exemption—The Portland & Western Railroad, Inc.

STB Ex Parte No. 282 (Sub-No. 20), Railroad Consolidation Procedures: Class Exemption for Temporary Trackage Rights Transactions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Dennis Watson, Office of Congressional and Public Services, Telephone: (202) 565–1596, FIRS: 1–800–877–8339.

Dated: January 27, 2003.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03–2229 Filed 1–27–03; 4:01 pm] BILLING CODE 4915–00–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-364 (Sub-No. 7X)]

The Texas Northeastern Division, Mid-Michigan Railroad, Inc.— Discontinuance of Service Exemption—in Grayson County, TX

The Texas Northeastern Division, Mid-Michigan Railroad, Inc. (TNER), has filed a notice of exemption under 49 CFR 1152 Subpart F—Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances of Service to discontinue service over 10.51 miles of railroad between milepost 662.54 in Denison and milepost 673.05 in Sherman, in Grayson County, TX (the line).¹ The line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Codes 75020, 75021, 75090, 75091, and 75092.

TNER has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the line for at least 2 years; (2) any overhead traffic on the line can be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the line either is pending with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the 2-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.7 (environmental reports), 49 CFR 1105.8 (historic reports), 49 CFR 1105.11 (transmittal letter), 49 CFR 1105.12 (newspaper publication), and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the discontinuance shall be protected under Oregon Short Line R. Co.—
Abandonment—Goshen, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) has been received, this exemption will be effective on February 28, 2003, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues ² and formal expressions of intent to file an OFA for continued rail service under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2),³ must be filed by February 10, 2003.⁴ Petitions to reopen must be filed by February 18, 2003, with: Surface Transportation Board, 1925 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20423.

¹ The Voting Conference originally scheduled for Thursday, January 30, 2003, has been rescheduled for January 31, 2003.

¹ According to TNER's Environmental Report, the Missouri-Kansas-Texas Railroad Company (MKT) operated the line until MKT merged into the Missouri Pacific Railroad Company (MP). At the time of the merger, MKT was authorized to abandon the line. See Union Pacific Corp. et al.—Cont.-MO-KS–TX Co. et al., 4 I.C.Ć.2d 409, 488–89 (1988) (UP/ MKT). Although TNER contends that MKT consummated the abandonment before the line was leased to TNER, it appears that this was not the case, as an exemption was obtained for lease of the line from MP, now Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP), in Mid Michigan Railroad Company, Inc. Lease and Operation Exemption—Missouri Pacific Railroad Company, Finance Docket No. 31646 (ICC served Aug. 28, 1990). In addition, it does not appear that the abandonment could have been consummated because there is an historic preservation condition under section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 470(f), imposed in UP/MKT at 577, that remains outstanding. Accordingly, although TNER is the last carrier operating over this line, UP, as the owner of the line and successor-in-interest to MP, retains a common carrier obligation.

²The Board will grant a stay if an informed decision on environmental issues (whether raised by a party or by the Board's Section of Environmental Analysis (SEA) in its independent investigation) cannot be made before the exemption's effective date. See Exemption of Outof-Service Rail Lines, 5 I.C.C.2d 377 (1989). Any request for a stay should be filed as soon as possible so that the Board may take appropriate action before the exemption's effective date.

³ Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which is currently set at \$1,100. *See* 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

⁴Because this is a discontinuance proceeding and not an abandonment, trail use/rail banking and public use conditions are not appropriate.