

collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before September 9, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Victoria Moss, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA, (202) 501-4764.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Purpose**

To protect the Government's interest and to ensure timely delivery of items of the requisite quality, contracting officers, prior to award, must make an affirmative determination that the prospective contractor is responsible, *i.e.*, capable of performing the contract. Before making such a determination, the contracting officer must have in his possession or must obtain information sufficient to satisfy himself that the prospective contractor (i) has adequate financial resources, or the ability to obtain such resources, (ii) is able to comply with required delivery schedule, (iii) has a satisfactory record of performance, (iv) has a satisfactory record of integrity, and (v) is otherwise qualified and eligible to receive an award under appropriate laws and regulations. If such information is not in the contracting officer's possession, it is obtained through a preaward survey conducted by the contract administration office responsible for the plant and/or the geographic area in which the plant is located. The necessary data is collected by contract administration personnel from available data or through plant visits, phone calls, and correspondence and entered on Standard Forms 1403, 1404, 1405, 1406, 1407, and 1408 in detail commensurate with the dollar value and complexity of the procurement. The information is used by Federal contracting officers to determine whether a prospective contractor is responsible. Due to improved technology, increased sharing of information among agencies and the increasing reliance on commercial items, for which preaward surveys are not required, the annual burden related to this clearance has been reduced.

**B. Annual Reporting Burden**

*Respondents:* 5,478.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 1.

*Total Responses:* 5,478.

*Hours Per Response:* 20.8.

*Total Burden Hours:* 113,942.

**Obtaining Copies of Proposals:**

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0011, Preaward Survey Forms (Standard Forms 1403, 1404, 1405, 1406, 1407, and 1408), in all correspondence.

Dated: July 8, 2003.

**Ralph J. DeStefano,**

*Acting Director, Acquisition Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-17609 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**GENERAL SERVICES  
ADMINISTRATION**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND  
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**[OMB Control No. 9000-0047]**

**Federal Acquisition Regulation;  
Information Collection; Place of  
Performance**

**AGENCIES:** Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0047).

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning place of performance. The clearance currently expires on October 31, 2003.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected. When the On-Line Representation and Certifications Application (ORCA) becomes available,

contractors will be able to complete the provision electronically; however, because the data being collected could change for a specific solicitation, contractor's will still be required to submit place of performance information on an exception basis; that is, whenever the place of performance for a specific solicitation is different from the place of performance shown in ORCA.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before September 9, 2003.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), 1800 F Street, NW., Room 4035, Washington, DC 20405

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gerald Zaffos, Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 208-6091.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**A. Purpose**

The information relative to the place of performance and owner of plant or facility, if other than the prospective contractor, is a basic requirement when contracting for supplies or services (including construction). This information is instrumental in determining bidder responsibility, responsiveness, and price reasonableness. A prospective contractor must affirmatively demonstrate its responsibility. Hence, the Government must be apprised of this information prior to award. The contracting officer must know the place of performance and the owner of the plant or facility to (1) determine bidder responsibility; (2) determine price reasonableness; (3) conduct plant or source inspections; and (4) determine whether the prospective contractor is a manufacturer or a regular dealer. The information is used to determine the firm's eligibility for awards and to assure proper preparation of the contract.

**B. Annual Reporting Burden**

*Respondents:* 79,397.

*Responses Per Respondent:* 14.

*Total Responses:* 1,111,558.

*Hours Per Response:* .07.

*Total Burden Hours:* 77,810.

**Obtaining Copies of Proposals:**

Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVA), Room 4035, 1800 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0047, Place of Performance, in all correspondence.

Dated: July 8, 2003.

**Ralph J. Destefano,**

*Acting Director, Acquisition Policy Division.*

[FR Doc. 03-17610 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Air Force

#### Performance Review Boards List of 2003 Members

Below is a list of individuals who are eligible to serve on the Performance Review Boards for the Department of the Air Force in accordance with the Air Force Senior Executive Appraisal and Awards System.

Mr. Roger Blanchard, AF/DP  
 MG Ronald J. Bath, AF/XPX  
 Mr. David Hamilton, AF/TE  
 Mr. Grover L. Dunn, AF/ILI  
 Ms. Patricia J. Zarodkiewicz, AFMC/FM  
 Ms. Barbara Westgate, AF/XPP  
 Mr. Frank O. Tuck, ASC/FB  
 Mr. James R. Speer, SAF/AG  
 MG Stephen R. Lorenz, SAF/FMB  
 Mr. Blaise J. Durante, SAF/AQX  
 Mr. Fred Kuhn, SAF/IEI  
 Mr. Garry B. Richey, AMC/LG  
 Mr. Michael A. Aimone, SAF/IEB  
 Ms. Donna J. Back, ASC/FM  
 Mr. Michael Aimone, SAF/IEB  
 Mr. William Davidson, SAF/AA  
 MG Joseph B. Sovey, SAF/USA  
 Ms. Cheryl Roby, DASD/Resources  
 Mr. W. Kipling Atlee, Jr., SAF/GCM  
 Mr. David Burt, CIFA  
 Mr. Wilson, USI

**Pamela D. Fitzgerald,**

*Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 03-17537 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-05-P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

#### Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Rueter-Hess Reservoir, Parker, CO

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) regulations for NEPA implementation (33 CFR part 230 and 325, Appendices B and C), the Corps has issued a Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) to disclose environmental impacts from

constructing and operating the proposed Rueter-Hess Reservoir near the town of Parker, in Douglas County, CO. The project proponent is the Parker Water and Sanitation District (District). The basic purpose of the proposed action is to provide a safe, adequate and sustainable municipal water supply to the District, which is capable of meeting peak demands within the District's currently zoned boundary for the next 50 years. The construction of the proposed project would result in direct impacts to 6.7 acres of wetlands and 5 miles of other waters of the United States, and would require a section 404 permit.

**DATES:** Written comments on the FEIS will be accepted for a period of 30 days following **Federal Register** publication of the Notice of Availability by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The anticipated date of EPA **Federal Register** publication is July 11, 2003. Comments should be submitted to Rodney Schwartz, Corps—Omaha District (see contact information below).

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the FEIS will be available for review at the following locations:

1. Parker Library, 10851 South Crossroad Drive, Parker, CO 80134.
2. Parker Water and Sanitation District, 19801 East Mainstreet, Parker, CO 80138.
3. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Denver Regulatory Office, 9307 South Wadsworth Blvd., Littleton, CO 80128.

Copies can also be obtained from the Corps' third-party contractor, URS Corporation, attention: Paula Daukas, 8181 East Tufts Avenue, Denver, CO 80237; 303-740-3896; Fax 303-694-3946, [paula\\_daukas@urscorp.com](mailto:paula_daukas@urscorp.com).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rodney Schwartz, Senior Project Manager, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District-Regulatory Branch, Rm. 151, 12565 West Center Road, Omaha, NE., 68144-3869, Phone: 402-221-4143, Fax: 402-221-4939, [rodney.j.schwartz@usace.army.mil](mailto:rodney.j.schwartz@usace.army.mil)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The purpose of the FEIS is to provide decision makers and the public with information pertaining to the proposed action, and to disclose environmental impacts and identify mitigation measures to reduce impacts. The FEIS analyzes the Parker Water and Sanitation District's proposal to construct and operate Rueter-Hess Reservoir and the associated water delivery system. The proposed reservoir would be located in Douglas County, CO approximately 12 miles southeast of Denver and 3 miles southwest of the town of Parker. The reservoir would be

located on Newlin Gulch with a diversion structure along Cherry Creek. The project would include a 16,200 acre-foot (AF) reservoir inundating 470 acres, a 5,300-foot long and 135-foot high dam, two pipelines, a water treatment plant and booster pump station, a diversion structure along Cherry Creek with a pump station, and 16 Denver Basin extraction wellfields.

The proposed water supply system would rely upon renewable sources of water, including the capability of capturing, storing, and reusing seasonal high flows in nearby Cherry Creek, and Advanced Wastewater Treatment (AWT) return flows currently discharged into Cherry Creek. The water from the reservoir would be used primarily to help satisfy the District's peak seasonal demands, thereby reducing the loading on nonrenewable Denver Basin aquifer groundwater and maximizing use of renewable water resources. The reservoir is needed by the District to provide operational flexibility to ensure a long-term, reliable water supply. In addition to the proposed action, the FEIS analyzes two alternatives: (1) the Reduced Capacity Reservoir (11,200 AF), and (2) the No Action.

The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) was published in February 2002. A combined public hearing on the DEIS and section 404 permit application was held on March 12, 2002 in Parker, CO. The comments and responses are included in the FEIS.

**Rodney J. Schwartz,**

*Senior Project Manager, Regulatory Branch.*

[FR Doc. 03-17520 Filed 7-10-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-62-P

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### RIN 1810-ZA08

#### Migrant Education Program Consortium Incentive Grant Program

**AGENCY:** Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed requirements.

**SUMMARY:** The Department proposes requirements for the Migrant Education Program (MEP) Consortium Incentive Grant program. Under the authority of section 1308(d) of Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, the Department would award incentive grants to State educational agencies (SEAs) in high-quality consortium arrangements. The Department may use