develop some forms of cancer and to have shorter long-term survival after diagnosis. More than 65% of African American smokers smoke menthol cigarettes, compared with about 23% of white smokers. Smoking menthol cigarettes has been associated with higher blood-cotinine levels. Cotinine is a product of the metabolism of nicotine, and the higher cotinine levels suggest that menthol may enable a smoker to obtain more nicotine from each cigarette. In addition, people who smoke menthol cigarettes also have higher levels of carbon monoxide in their breath than do people who smoke non-menthol cigarettes, and an elevated carbon monoxide level is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. Additionally, the presence of menthol in cigarettes

may change the way people smoke cigarettes.

All previous studies have compared people who smoke menthol cigarettes with those who smoke non-menthol cigarettes; and it is not known whether increased cotinine and carbon monoxide levels in people who smoke menthol cigarettes are attributable to racial or ethnic differences, or a combination of multiple factors. In addition, no previous study has examined the differences between urinary levels of cancer-causing chemicals in people who smoke menthol or non-menthol cigarettes and correlated these findings with smoke exposure intake estimates using salivary cotinine and filter solanesol.

For this two-part crossover study, we will recruit African-American and Caucasian smokers of both sexes who smoke either menthol or non-menthol cigarettes as study subjects. We will determine smoking history then randomly assign each participant to smoking either menthol or non-menthol cigarettes for an initial 2-week period. Study participants then will switch to the opposite type of cigarette for the next 2 weeks. At baseline, and after each 2-week period, we will measure the way the participants smoke the test cigarettes to determine smoking topography. Saliva, urine, and breath samples will be collected to measure by-products of smoking, and participants will complete a brief smoking-history questionnaire. There is no cost to respondents.

Forms	No. of respondents	No. of responses/re-spondent	Average bur- den/response (in hours)	Total burden in hours
Response to Flyer: Screening Interview Form	200	1	5	17
Site Visits: Check in Study Information—Visit 1, 2, 3	71	3	15	53
Consent Form Questionnaire—Visit 1, 2, 3	71	3	15	53
Urine Sample and Saliva Sample—Visit 1, 2, 3	71	3	15	53
Breath Carbon monoxide (CO) Sample—Test Smoke 1, Breath CO Sample,				
Breath CO Sample, Test Smoke 2, Breath CO Sample—Visit 1, 2, 3	71	3	45	160
Sample Test—Cigarettes Distribute Baggies & Cigarettes—Visit 1, and 2	71	2	15	36
Instructions and Check out—Visit 1 and 2	71	2	15	36
Smoking Cessation Advice—Visit 3 only	71	1	15	18
Final Check Out—Visit 3 only	71	1	15	18
Total				444

Dated: January 8, 2003.

#### Thomas Bartenfeld,

Acting Associate Director for Policy, Planning, and Evaluation Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

[FR Doc. 03–674 Filed 1–13–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163-18-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institute of Health**

[OMB #0925-0479]

## Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Evaluation of the NIDCD Partnership Program

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD), the National Institutes of

Health (NIH), will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval.

Proposed Collection: Title: Evaluation of the NIDCD Partnership Program. Type of Information Collection Request: EXTENSION. Need and Use of Information Collection: The NIDCD was established to support biomedical and behavioral research and research training in hearing, smell, balance, taste, voice, speech and language. Although minorities and women will dominate the work force within the next decade, both groups are underrepresented in the science and health professional field. Because of this concern, the NIDCD, with assistance from the Office of Research on Minority Health, established the Partnership Program in 1994 to increase the number of minority scientists and health care professionals doing research on communication and communication disorders. The proposed survey will yield data about: (1) Reasons

for participation in the program; (2) satisfaction of participants with the program and (3) how participation in the program has lead to the pursuit of a career in the health field. This survey will track the Partnership Program's success at increasing the number of women and minorities who are scientists. Frequency of Response: One. Affected Public: Individuals. Type of Respondent: Partnership Program Participants. The annual reporting burden is as follows: Estimated Number of Respondents: 76; Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1; Average Burden Hours Per Response: 0.5; and Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested: 38. The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at: \$380. There are no Capital Costs to report. There are no Operating or Maintenance Costs to report.

**Note:** The following table is acceptable for the Respondent and Burden Estimate Information, if appropriate, instead of the text as shown above.)

Type of respondents	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Estimated total annual burden hours requested
Initial program participant survey	16 60	1 1	0.5 0.5	8 30
Total	76			38

Request for Comments: Written comments and/or suggestions from the public and affected agencies are invited on one or more of the following points: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for fulfillment of the NIDCD mission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the proposed data collection, including the validity of the methodology; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the data collection and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including appropriate use of automated collection techniques and information technology.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: To request more information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, contact Ms. Kay Johnson Graham, EEO Officer, Office of Equal Employment Opportunity, NIDCD, NIH, Building 31, Room 3C08, 31 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892, or call non-toll-free number (301) 496–3403 or E-mail your request, including your address to: johnsonk@ms.nidcd.nih.gov.

Comments Due Date: Comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having their full effect if received within 60-days of the date of this publication.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the PRA, (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520), Federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for each collection of information they conduct or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) and 5 CFR 1320.3(c) and includes agency requests or requirements that the public submit reports, keep records, or provide information to a third party. Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA requires federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the Federal Register concerning proposed collections of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. To comply with this requirement, NIDCD is publishing notice of the proposed collection of information listed below.

With respect to the following collection of information, NIDCD invites

comments on: (1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for fulfillment of the NIDCD mission, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the estimate of the burden of the proposed data collection, including the validity of the methodology; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the data collection; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including appropriate use of automated collection techniques and information technology.

The NIDCD Partnership Program was designed to maximize research and research training opportunities for undergraduates, graduate and professional students, and faculty from populations that are underrepresented in the biomedical professions.

Participants are recruited from four academic institutions that developed partnerships with the NIDCD: The University of Alaska System, The Atlanta University Center, Gallaudent University, and the University of Puerto Rico.

Anecdotal feedback indicates that program participants, mentors, and liaisons find the program to provide interesting and unique opportunities. However, there is little systematic evidence evaluating the level of the Program's success or failure. The proposed surveys will attempt to assess how participants' experiences with the Partnership Program have influenced career and educational choices; current activities of participants (e.g., courses of study, jobs); benefits and costs of program participation to the program participants, mentors, and liaisons; and suggestions for improving the Program. This information, will provide concrete evidence for continued funding of the

Two separate surveys are proposed. The first survey will collect baseline information from participants as they enter the program. The baseline survey will explore participants' expectations and goals on entering the program, their current career and/or educational plans, and reasons for choosing to participate. The second survey will gather Follow up and tracking information of past participants and will be administered

annually. This survey will ask about current contact information, current career educational activities, satisfaction with the program, and whether expectations were met.

Potential respondents of either survey will be asked to participate in a telephone survey that should take less than 30 minutes to complete. Respondents who cannot schedule 30 minutes of time or have communications disorders which make telephone conversations difficult will be given the opportunity to respond by alternate means such as fax and e-mail. All participants from the inception of the program will be included in this evaluation process. Participants for 1999 have not vet been chosen, but it is anticipated that the total number of participants since 1994 will not exceed

Dated: January 6, 2003.

#### David Kerr,

 ${\it Executive Officer, NIDCD.}$ 

[FR Doc. 03–716 Filed 1–13–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### **National Institutes of Health**

## National Center for Research Resources; Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. appendix 2), notice is hereby given of the following meeting.

The meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5 U.S.C., as amended. The grant applications and the discussions could disclose confidential trade secrets or commercial property such as patentable material, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the grant applications, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Name of Committee: National Center for Research Resources Special Emphasis Panel, Clinical Research.

Date: January 14-15, 2003.