

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Food and Drug Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 10

[Docket No. 99N-2497]

#### **Citizen Petitions; Actions That Can Be Requested by Petition; Denials, Withdrawals, and Referrals for Other Administrative Action; Withdrawal**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is withdrawing a proposed rule that appeared in the *Federal Register* of November 30, 1999 (64 FR 66822). The proposal would have modified the types of actions that can be requested through a citizen petition; revised certain content requirements for citizen petitions; and permitted the agency to refer citizen petitions for other administrative action, seek clarification of a petitioner's request, withdraw certain petitions, and combine petitions. We proposed these changes to improve the citizen petition process by making it more efficient and reducing the backlog of pending requests. We believe the proposed rule is no longer needed because we have made other improvements to our process for responding to citizen petitions.

**DATES:** The proposed rule is withdrawn on April 4, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Philip L. Chao, Office of Policy and Planning (HF-23), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-827-3380.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** FDA's citizen petition regulations at 21 CFR 10.30 provide a formal means for the public to contact FDA and seek its action or response on a particular matter. For example, the petition process can be used by a drug company to request a change in the approval standards for a generic competitor, a food trade association can request that we establish exemptions from certain package labeling requirements, or a consumer group can petition us to tighten regulation of a particular product. Citizen petitions are submitted to our Dockets Management Branch for processing and referral to the appropriate office, and our regulations require us to issue a tentative or final response within 180 days after receiving the citizen petition.

While the citizen petition process has benefited both FDA and the public,

reviewing and responding to citizen petitions is often resource intensive and time consuming. We must research the petition, examine scientific, medical, legal, and sometimes economic issues, and coordinate internal agency review and clearance of the response. Petitioners occasionally sue over unfavorable responses or delays in issuing a response. This litigation consumes additional resources and time.

Historically, we have received more citizen petitions than we have been able to answer. We receive nearly 290 citizen petitions annually, and, in most years, the number of incoming citizen petitions exceeded the number of responses that we would issue. In the past, the response rate was approximately 100 responses per year. This resulted in a steadily growing backlog of citizen petitions.

Faced with a growing backlog of petitions and increasing demands on our resources, on November 30, 1999, we proposed to amend our citizen petition regulations to make the citizen petition system more efficient and responsive (64 FR 66822). The major changes under the proposal would:

- Limit the types of actions that could be requested through a citizen petition to: (1) Requests to issue, amend, or revoke a regulation; (2) requests to amend or revoke an order that FDA had issued or published; and (3) requests for any other action specifically authorized by another FDA regulation.

- Revise the content requirements to include a certification that, to the petitioner's best knowledge and belief, its citizen petition "includes all information and views on which the petition relies, that it is well grounded in fact and is warranted by existing laws or regulations, that it is not submitted for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay, and that it includes unrepresentative data and information known to the petitioner which are unfavorable to the petition."

- Allow us to refer petitions for other administrative action, seek clarification of a petitioner's requests, withdraw certain petitions, and combine petitions.

The preamble to the proposed rule emphasized that, while we were redefining the types of actions that could be the subject of a citizen petition, interested parties would still have other means of contacting or communicating with us.

We received nearly 20 comments on the proposed rule, with most comments opposing the rule in whole or in part. The comments opposed to the rule came from industry and public interest groups and stated that citizen petitions are a

valuable means for communicating with us or for allowing public participation in agency actions. They expressed concern that the changes would unduly restrict the use of citizen petitions. Nonetheless, several comments supported the underlying goal of the proposal, and some of its relatively minor changes, pointing to the still-unanswered petitions they had submitted earlier as evidence that improvements were needed.

Two comments supported the proposal. These comments agreed with us that the proposal would prevent misuse of the citizen petition process (particularly with respect to approvals of generic drugs), and they suggested additional changes to strengthen the citizen petition process.

As we evaluated the comments, we continued efforts to improve our handling of citizen petitions. These efforts have led to a marked increase in the number of citizen petition responses, and our current annual response rate is equal to, and sometimes even exceeds, the number of citizen petitions that we receive. Given this progress, we believe that a revision of the citizen petition regulations is not warranted at this time. Consequently, we are withdrawing the proposed rule.

Dated: March 27, 2003.

**Jeffrey Shuren,**

*Assistant Commissioner for Policy.*

[FR Doc. 03-8165 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4160-01-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

#### 24 CFR Part 902

[Docket No. FR-4707-N-07]

#### **Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) Proposed Rule: Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** This notice extends, for an additional sixty days, the public comment period for the proposed rule that would amend the regulations for the Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS).

**DATES:** Comment Due Date: June 8, 2003.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information contact the Office of Public and Indian Housing Real Estate

Assessment Center (PIH-REAC), Attention: Wanda Funk, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 1280 Maryland Avenue, SW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20024; telephone Technical Assistance Center at (888) 245-4860 (this is a toll-free number). Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access that number via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339 (this is a toll-free number). Additional information is available from the PIH-REAC Internet site, <http://www.hud.gov/reac>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 6, 2003 (68 FR 6262), HUD issued a proposed rule that would amend the Public Housing Assessment System (PHAS) regulations, codified at 24 CFR part 902, to provide additional information on PHAS procedures, revise certain procedures, and establish new procedures for the assessment of the physical condition, financial condition, management operations, and resident services and satisfaction with services provided to public housing residents. HUD intended to publish proposed revised grading notices at the time that it published the PHAS proposed rule. These notices will be published soon. In order to allow the public housing agencies (PHAs) and the public the benefit of reviewing the grading notices in relation to the PHAS proposed rule, HUD is extending the public comment period for an additional 60 days to coincide with the public comment period for the grading notices. The

public comment due date for the February 6, 2003, PHAS proposed rule is extended to June 8, 2003.

Dated: March 28, 2003.

**Michael Liu,**

*Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.*

[FR Doc. 03-8175 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 1

[REG-131478-02]

RIN 1545-BB25

#### **Guidance Under Section 1502: Suspension of Losses on Certain Stock Dispositions; Correction**

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correction to notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** March 14, 2003 (68 FR 12324). The proposed regulations redetermine the basis of stock of a subsidiary member of a consolidated group immediately prior to certain transfers of such stock and certain deconsolidations of a subsidiary member and suspend certain losses recognized on the disposition of stock of a subsidiary member.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Aimee K. Meacham, (202) 622-7530 (not a toll-free number).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

The proposed regulations that are the subject of these corrections are under section 1502 of the Internal Revenue Code.

##### **Need for Correction**

As published, the proposed regulation contains an error that may prove to be misleading and is in need of clarification.

##### **Correction of Publication**

Accordingly, the publication of the proposed regulations (REG-131478-02) that were the subject of FR Doc. 03-6118, is corrected to read as follows:

On page 12325, column 1, in the preamble under the caption "SUMMARY", third line from the bottom of the caption, the language "regulations. This document also" is corrected to read "regulations. Elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register** are technical corrections to § 1.1502-35T. The technical corrections supply text omitted from § 1.1502-35T(b)(3)(i)(C), (b)(3)(ii)(C), and clarify § 1.1502-35T(f)(1). This document".

**Cynthia E. Grigsby,**

*Chief, Regulations Unit, Associate Chief Counsel, (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. 03-8313 Filed 4-3-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**