

HSLWs from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act:

(1) For Hangzhou, which has had a separate rate in the investigation and all reviews, no deposit will be required because the company had a *de minimis* rate in this review; (2) for all other PRC exporters, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, 128.63 percent, which is the All Other PRC Manufacturers, Producers and Exporters rate from the *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the PRC*, 58 FR 48833 (September 20, 1993); and, (3) for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: February 15, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

List of Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Use of Import Prices to Value All Steel Wire Rod Inputs
Comment 2: Plating Operations: Factory Overhead, SG&A Expenses and Profit
Comment 3: Representativeness of Plating Factors of Production

Comment 4: Valuation of Hydrochloric Acid
Comment 5: Valuation of Inland Shipping Rate
Comment 6: Valuation of Potassium Aluminum Sulphate
Comment 7: Calculation of Factory Overhead Net of Scrap

[FR Doc. 02-4423 Filed 2-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-837, A-428-821]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821): Notice of Final Results of Five-Year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of five-year sunset reviews and revocation of antidumping duty orders on large newspaper printing presses and components thereof, whether assembled or unassembled, from Japan (A-588-837) and Germany (A-428-821).

SUMMARY: On August 1, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on Large Newspaper Printing Presses ("LNPPs") and Components Thereof, Whether Assembled or Unassembled, from Japan and Germany. One domestic interested party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation in these proceedings. However, on December 21, 2001, the domestic interested party withdrew its interest in these proceedings. Therefore, the Department is revoking the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan and Germany.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 4, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthit or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995,

the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On September 4, 1996, the Department issued the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan (61 FR 46621) and Germany (61 FR 46623). Pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders by publishing a notice of the initiation in the **Federal Register** August 1, 2001 (66 FR 39731). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for this proceeding to inform them of the automatic initiation of sunset reviews of these orders.

On August 16, 2001, within the applicable deadline, the Department received notice of intent to participate from Goss Graphic Systems, Inc. ("Goss"), the only domestic interested party in the sunset proceedings. As such, the Department concluded that Goss provided an adequate response to participate in the sunset reviews on LNPPs from Japan and Germany. On August 31, 2001, Goss filed substantive responses with respect to LNPPs from Japan and Germany. In the sunset review on LNPPs from Japan, the Department did not receive any response from respondent interested parties; therefore, we determined to conduct an expedited sunset review. In the sunset review on LNPPs from Germany, the Department determined that domestic and respondent interested parties provided adequate response to conduct a full sunset review under section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act, and §§ 351.218(e)(1)(i) and 351.218(e)(1)(ii). However, over the course of these reviews significant questions were raised concerning Goss' claim as to whether it was actually a domestic manufacturer of the subject merchandise. Consequently, in order to investigate this issue more fully, on November 19, 2001, the Department aligned the sunset review on LNPPs from Japan with the sunset review of the antidumping duty order on LNPPs from Germany. See 66 FR 58713 (November 23, 2001).¹ On December 21, 2001, Goss

¹ In this notice, the Department announced its intent to issue the preliminary results on LNPPs from Japan along with the preliminary results on LNPPs from Germany not later than February 19,

withdrew its participation in these proceedings. We interpret Goss' withdrawal of participation as a withdrawal of interest. Because Goss (the only domestic interested party in the sunset proceeding) withdrew its interest in these reviews, the Department has determined to treat this situation as if no domestic interested party responded to the notice of initiation of these sunset reviews. Therefore, we are not publishing preliminary determinations and are hereby revoking the antidumping duty orders on LNPPs from Japan and Germany.

Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party responds to the notice of initiation, the Department shall issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the order.² Because the only domestic interested party withdrew its interest in both proceedings (*see* 351.218(d)(1)(i) and 351.218(e)(1)(i)(C)(1) of the *Sunset Regulations*), consistent with the provision of section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act, we are revoking these antidumping duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

In accordance with sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to the orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after September 4, 2001. The instructions for entries of LNPPs from Germany will not be issued until either the conclusion of the ongoing litigation with respect to the final determination of the Department's less-than-fair value investigation of LNPPs from Germany, pursuant to which entries have been enjoined from liquidation, or the injunction has been lifted or amended. (*See Koenig & Bauer Albert v. United States*, Fed. Cir. Court No. 00-1387 (CIT 96-10-02298).) This injunction does not cover entries of subject merchandise from Japan. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department

will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: February 19, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-4426 Filed 2-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-504]

Porcelain-On-Steel Cookware From Mexico: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke the Order and to Rescind Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Notice of Intent to Revoke the Order and to Rescind Administrative Reviews.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, Columbian Home Products, LLC, that the Department of Commerce revoke the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, we are initiating a changed-circumstances administrative review and are issuing this notice of preliminary results and intent to revoke the antidumping duty order as of December 1, 1995. If these preliminary results become final, we intend to rescind the current antidumping duty administrative reviews, covering the periods December 1, 1999 through November 30, 2000, and December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2001. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4007 and (202) 482-4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department's") regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR Part 351 (April 2001).

Background

On January 30, 2002, the petitioner, Columbian Home Products, LLC ("Columbian"), requested that the Department revoke the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico as of December 1, 1995, stating that it no longer has an interest in maintaining this order. Columbian is a domestic interested party and is the successor company to the petitioner in the less-than-fair-value investigation. Columbian stated that it is the only U.S. producer of porcelain-on-steel cookware, and therefore, it accounts for "substantially all of the production of the domestic like product," within the meaning of section 782(h)(2) of the Act.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are porcelain-on-steel cookware, including tea kettles, which do not have self-contained electric heating elements. All of the foregoing are constructed of steel and are enameled or glazed with vitreous glasses. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 7323.94.00. Kitchenware currently classifiable under HTSUS subheading 7323.94.00.30 is not subject to the order. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed-Circumstances Review and Intent to Revoke Order

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke, in whole or in part, an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (i.e., a changed-circumstances review). The Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.216(d) require the Department to conduct a changed-circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221 if it decides that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant a

2002, and its final results on both reviews on June 27, 2002.

² Although the statute requires revocation of an order within 90 days of initiating the sunset review when no party responds to the notice of initiation, in this case, Goss withdrew its participation after the 90-day period had expired.