

per Decree (25 cents per reproduced page), payable to the U.S. Treasury.

**Ronald G. Gluck,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 02-28953 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Under 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that on October 11, 2002, a proposed consent decree in *Reinhold Industries, Inc. v. The National Park Service*, 01 Civ. 1806 (MBM), was lodged with the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

In this action, Reinhold Industries, Inc. ("Reinhold") seeks a declaratory judgment that any claims asserted by the United States for recovery of costs incurred, or to be incurred, for response actions taken at or in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the Valley Forge National Historic Park in Montgomery, Pennsylvania ("Valley Forge") were discharged in bankruptcy. The United States, on behalf of the Secretary of the United States Department of Interior's ("DOI") National Park Service, filed a counterclaim pursuant to section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, against Reinhold seeking reimbursement of response costs incurred in connection with Valley Forge.

Pursuant to the proposed consent decree, Reinhold shall make a payment in the amount of \$500,000.00 to the DOI in reimbursement of response costs.

The Department of Justice will receive comments relating to the proposed consent decree for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and transmitted by one of the following methods: (1) Via U.S. Mail to P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611; (2) by facsimile to (202) 353-0296; and/or (3) by overnight delivery, other than through the U.S. Postal Service, c/o Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, 1425 New York Avenue, NW.,

13th Floor, Washington, DC 20005. Each communication should reference *Reinhold Industries, Inc. v. The National Park Service*, DOJ # 90-11-2-06991.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the United States Attorney's Office, 33 Whitehall Street, New York, New York—contact AUSA Silvia L. Serpe at (718) 422-5686. A copy of the proposed consent decree may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, P.O. Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611. When requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$8.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury. Exhibits to the consent decree may be obtained for an additional charge.

**Robert Brook,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 02-28948 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Notice of Lodging Proposed Consent Decree

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a proposed consent decree in *United States v. Janice D. Todd*, Civil Action No. 2:01-2179-11 (D.S.C.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District South Carolina on November 6, 2002. This proposed Consent Decree concerns a complaint filed by the United States of America against Janice D. Todd, pursuant to section 301 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a), to obtain injunctive relief from and impose civil penalties against the Defendant for constructing a logging road in wetlands adjacent to the Little Salkehatchie River in Colleton County, South Carolina.

The proposed Consent Decree prohibits Janice D. Todd from discharging any pollutant into waters of the United States, unless such discharge complies with the provisions of the Clean Water Act and its implementing regulations, and requires the payment of a civil penalty.

The Department of Justice will accept written comments relating to this proposed consent Decree for thirty (30) days from the date of publication of this notice. Please address comments to Joseph P. Griffith, Jr., Assistant U.S. Attorney, 151 Meeting Street, Suite 200, P.O. Box 978, Charleston, South Carolina and refer to DJ # 90-5-1-1-

16818 and civil action number 2:01-2179-11.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District South Carolina, Hollings, Judicial Center, Meeting Street at Broad Street, Charleston, South Carolina 29401. In addition, the proposed Consent Decree may be viewed on the World Wide Web at <http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/enrd-home.html>.

**Joseph P. Griffith, Jr.,**

*Assistant United States Attorney, United States Attorney's Office.*

[FR Doc. 02-28952 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-15-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Financial Services Technology Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on September 30, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Financial Technology Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Zions Bancorporation, Salt Lake City, UT; Verifia, Mountain View, CA; Harex InfoTech (ZOO), San Jose, CA; eOne Global, Napa, CA; Top Layer Networks, Westboro, MA; Cape Clear Software, Waltham, MA; Gotham Group, New York, NY; and InterComputer Corp., Fullerton, CA have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Fleet Bank, Dorchester, MA; Authentor Systems, Englewood, CA; Bank of Montreal, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; Telcordia, Morristown, NJ; Visa, Foster City, CA; Online Resources, McLean, VA; Business Logic Corp., Chicago, IL; BAI, Chicago, IL; and CrossCheck, Rohnert Park, CA have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Financial Technology Consortium, Inc., intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1993, Financial Technology Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 14, 1993 (58 FR 65399).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 28, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 6, 2002 (67 FR 50898).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-28950 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Multiservice Switching Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on October 9, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Multiservice Switching Forum ("MSF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Bay Packets, Fremont, CA; Catena Networks, Morrisville, NC; Convedia Corporation, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada; Empirix, Wilmington, MA; Italtel, Milan, Italy; KT Corporation, Seoul, South Korea; Leapstone Systems, Somerset, NJ; MetaSwitch, Alameda, CA; National Communications System, Arlington, VA; NetTest, Markham, Ontario, Canada; Spirent Communications, Sunnyvale, CA; and ZTE Corporation, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China have been added as parties to this venture. ipVerse has changed its name to NexVerse, San Jose, CA; and LM Ericsson has changed its name to Ericsson, Stockholm, Sweden. Also, Armillaire Technologies, Bethesda, MD; CPlane, Menlo Park, CA; Data Connection, Enfield, United Kingdom; France Telecom, Lannion, Cedex, France; General Bandwidth, Austin, TX; Intel, Santa Clara, CA; KPN Telecom, The Hague, The Netherlands; Mahi Networks, Petaluma, CA; Mercury Communications, Middletown, NJ;

Nokia, Helsinki, Finland; OKI Electric Industry, Chiba, Japan; SBC, Austin, TX; Swisscom AG, Berne, Switzerland; Tachion Networks, Eatontown, NJ; Telcordia Technologies, Morristown, NJ; Telecom Italia, Rome Italy; Turin Networks, Petaluma, CA; Voxpath Networks, Austin, TX; and Westwave Communications, Santa Rosa, CA have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and MSF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 22, 1999, MSF filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 26, 1999 (64 FR 28519).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 4, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 23, 2001 (66 FR 28546).

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 02-28949 Filed 11-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of Justice Programs

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

**ACTION:** 30-day notice of information collection under review: new collection; NTTAC user Feedback Form.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 67, Number 161, page 53967 on August 20, 2002, allowing for a 60 day comment period. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until December 16, 2002. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public

burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202) 395-7285.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* NTTAC user Feedback Form.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: State, Local or Tribal Government; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions; Businesses or other for-profit. The NTTAC User Feedback Form is designed to collect the data necessary to continuously improve customer service intended to meet the needs of the juvenile justice field at-large and the OJJDP-funded TA provider network. Within 15 days of satisfying a request for technical assistance (TA), NTTAC staff will send this Form to TA requester to capture important feedback on the TA requester's satisfaction with the quality,