

energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

#### Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2-1, paragraph (34)(g), of Commandant Instruction M16475.ID, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation because we are proposing to establish security zones. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under

#### ADDRESSES.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g), 6.04-1, 6.04-6, and 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Add § 165.1154 to read as follows:

#### § 165.1154 Security Zones; Cruise Ships, San Pedro Bay, California.

(a) *Definition.* "Cruise ship" as used in this section means a passenger vessel, except for a ferry, over 100 feet in length, authorized to carry more than 12 passengers for hire; making voyages lasting more than 24 hours, any part of which is on the high seas; and for which passengers are embarked or disembarked in the Port of Los Angeles or Port of Long Beach.

(b) *Location.* The following areas are security zones:

(1) All waters, extending from the surface to the sea floor, within a 100-yard radius around any cruise ship that is anchored at a designated anchorage either inside the Federal breakwaters bounding San Pedro Bay or outside at designated anchorages within three nautical miles of the Federal breakwaters;

(2) The shore area and all waters, extending from the surface to the sea floor, within a 100-yard radius around any cruise ship that is moored, or is in the process of mooring, at any berth within the Los Angeles or Long Beach

port areas inside the Federal breakwaters bounding San Pedro Bay; and

(3) All waters, extending from the surface to the sea floor, within 200 yards ahead, and 100 yards on each side and astern of a cruise ship that is underway either on the waters inside the Federal breakwaters bounding San Pedro Bay or on the waters within three nautical miles seaward of the Federal breakwaters.

(c) *Regulations.* (1) In accordance with the general regulations in § 165.33 of this part, entry into or remaining in these zones is prohibited unless authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach, or his designated representative.

(2) Persons desiring to transit the area of the security zone may contact the Captain of the Port at telephone number 1-800-221-USCG (8724) or on VHF-FM channel 16 (156.8 MHz) to seek permission to transit the area. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the Captain of the Port or his or her designated representative.

(3) When a cruise ship approaches within 100 yards of a vessel that is moored, or anchored, the stationary vessel must stay moored or anchored while it remains within the cruise ship's security zone unless it is either ordered by, or given permission from, the COTP Los Angeles-Long Beach to do otherwise.

(d) *Authority.* In addition to 33 U.S.C. 1231, the authority for this section includes 33 U.S.C. 1226.

(e) *Enforcement.* The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the security zone by the Los Angeles Port Police and the Long Beach Police Department.

Dated: October 4, 2002.

**J.M. Holmes,**

*Captain, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Los Angeles-Long Beach.*

[FR Doc. 02-27375 Filed 10-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-15-U**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[NH-01-48-7174b; A-1-FRL-7376-4]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; New Hampshire; Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) of Air Quality Permit Requirements

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of New Hampshire. The revision consists of a new rule, PART Env-A 623, "Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality Permitting," that adopts into New Hampshire's SIP the federal PSD program provisions. The SIP revision also amends New Hampshire's permit procedural rule, PART Env-A 205, "Permit Notice and Hearing Procedures: Temporary Permits and Permits to Operate," that make the rule consistent with the new state PSD rule. In another document published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rulemaking. The action will ensure that New Hampshire and EPA will interpret and enforce the same PSD rules providing regulatory certainty to the state's regulated community. The approval of this revision will make New Hampshire's PSD program consistent with the federal plan requirements for a SIP-approved PSD program.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before November 27, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to Steven A. Rapp, Air Permits, Toxics, and Indoor Programs, Office of Ecosystem Protection (mail code CAP), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA-New England, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Boston, MA 02114-2023. Copies of the State submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Office of Ecosystem Protection, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston, MA and the Department of Environmental Services, 64 North Main Street, Caller Box 2033, Concord, NH 03302-2033.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brendan McCahill, (617) 918-1652. E-mail at [McCahill.Brendan@epa.gov](mailto:McCahill.Brendan@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be

addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 3, 2002.

**Robert W. Varney,**

*Regional Administrator, EPA New England.*

[FR Doc. 02-25858 Filed 10-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[Docket # ID-02-001; FRL-7397-9]

#### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; State of Idaho; Northern Ada County Carbon Monoxide Redesignation to Attainment and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** On January 17, 2002, the State of Idaho submitted a request to redesignate the Northern Ada County "not classified" carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area to attainment for the CO National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). The State also submitted a CO maintenance plan for Northern Ada County. In this action, EPA is proposing to approve the Northern Ada County CO redesignation request and the maintenance plan. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's redesignation request and State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision, involving the maintenance plan, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views the redesignation and SIP revision as noncontroversial and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule

will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by November 27, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed to: Steven K. Body, Office of Air Quality, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle WA 98101.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday at the following office: United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle WA 98101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven K. Body, Office of Air Quality, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle WA 98101. Telephone at (206) 553-0782.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule, of the same title, published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: October 10, 2002.

**Ronald A. Kreizenbeck,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 02-27238 Filed 10-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-2390, Docket No. 02-179, RM-10199]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Port St. Joe, FL

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule, dismissal.

**SUMMARY:** This document dismisses a pending petition for rulemaking to add an FM allotment in Port St. Joe, Florida. The Commission had requested comment on a petition filed by Cecil P. Staton, proposing the allotment of Channel 242A at Port St. Joe, Florida. *Port St. Joe, Florida*, 66 FR 44586, August 24, 2001. The petitioner filed comments in support of the proposal. No other comments were received. On June 16, 2002, petitioner requested that the Commission dismiss the pending petition. This document grants that

request, dismissing the petition and terminating the proceeding.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. The address of counsel for the petitioner is as follows: Timothy K. Brady, P.O. Box 71309, Newnan, GA 30271-1309.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah A. Dupont, Media Bureau (202) 418-7072.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Commission's Report and Order, MM Docket No. 01-179, adopted September 18, 2002, and released September 27, 2002. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center (Room CY-A257), 445 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, Qualex International, Portals II, 445 12th Street, SW., Room CY-B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone (202) 863-2893.

The Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding. Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible *ex parte* contacts.

For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, See 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

Federal Communications Commission.

**John A. Karousos,**

*Assistant Chief, Audio Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 02-26224 Filed 10-25-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 73

[DA 02-2308, Docket No. 01-191, RM-10211]

#### Radio Broadcasting Services; Clayton, OK

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule, dismissal.

**SUMMARY:** This document dismisses a pending petition for rulemaking to add an FM allotment in Clayton, Oklahoma.