limitations imposed by the review and funding cycle.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, ZRG1 SMB 03 Dermatology and Rheumatology.

Date: November 5, 2002. Time: 3 p.m. to 4:30 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* NIH, Rockledge 2, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Paul D. Wagner, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4108, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435–6809, wagnerp@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, ZRG1 F10 20L: Fellowships: Pathophysiology and Cardiovascular Sciences.

Date: November 6-7, 2002.

Time: 8 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: The Governor's House, 1615 Rhode Island Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Contact Person: Peter J. Perrin, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 2183, MSC 7818, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435– 0682. perrinp@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Reparative Medicine, ZRG 1 SSS–M 01.

edicine, 2KG 1 335–M 01. *Date:* November 6–7, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 11 a.m.

*Agenda:* To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* Holiday Inn Select, 8120 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, MD 20814.

Contact Person: Jean D. Sipe, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Rm. 4106, MSC 7814, Bethesda, MD 20892–7814. 301/ 435–1743. sipej@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, SEP to Review SBIR & STTR applications on AIDS-Related Behavioral Science Studies.

Date: November 6, 2002.

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 12 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

Place: Holiday Inn Georgetown, 2101 Wisconsin Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20007

Contact Person: Ranga V. Srinivas, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 5222, MSC 7852, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435– 1167. srinivar@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, ZRG1 PTHB 01M: Member Conflict: Molecular Biology of Cancer.

Date: November 6, 2002.

Time: 1 p.m. to 3 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* NIH, Rockledge 2, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Telephone Call).

Contact Person: Martin L. Podarathsingh, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4146, MSC 7804, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435– 1717.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Breast Cancer.

Date: November 6, 2002.

Time: 1 p.m. to 2 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* NIH, Rockledge 2, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Victor A. Fung, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4120, MSC 7804, Bethesda, MD 20814–9692. 301– 435–3504. fungv@csr.nih.gov.

Name of Committee: Center for Scientific Review Special Emphasis Panel, Multimedia Interventions for Childhood Obesity.

Date: November 6, 2002.

Time: 12 p.m. to 1:15 p.m.

Agenda: To review and evaluate grant applications.

*Place:* NIH, Rockledge 2, Bethesda, MD 20892. (Telephone Conference Call).

Contact Person: Mariela Shirley, PhD, Scientific Review Administrator, Center for Scientific Review, National Institutes of Health, 6701 Rockledge Drive, Room 4112, MSC 7848, Bethesda, MD 20892. (301) 435– 3554. shirleym@csr.nih.gov.

(Catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 93.306, Comparative Medicine, 93.306; 93.333, Clinical Research, 93.333, 93.337, 93.393–93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93.893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Dated: October 15, 2002.

## LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Director, Office of Federal Advisory Committee Policy.

[FR Doc. 02-27026 Filed 10-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140-01-M

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4768-C-03]

Notice of Funding Availability for Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing, HOPE VI Revitalization Grants, Fiscal Year 2002; Notice of Technical Corrections

**AGENCY:** Office of Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice of Funding Availability for Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing, HOPE VI Revitalization Grants, Notice of Technical Corrections.

**SUMMARY:** This notice makes a number of technical corrections to HUD's Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 Notice of Funding Availability for Revitalization of

Severely Distressed Public Housing, HOPE VI Revitalization Grants.

**DATES:** Application Due Date. Revitalization grant applications are due to HUD Headquarters on or before 5:15 p.m., Eastern Time, on December 6, 2002.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Milan Ozdinec, Deputy Assistant
Secretary for Public Housing
Investments, Department of Housing
and Urban Development, 451 Seventh
Street, SW., Room 4130, Washington,
DC 20410; telephone (202) 401–8812;
fax (202) 401–2370 (these are not toll
free numbers). Persons with hearing-or
speech-impairments may call via TTY
by calling the Federal Information Relay
Service at (800) 877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 31, 2002 (67 FR 49766), HUD published its Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 Notice of Funding Availability for Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing, HOPE VI Revitalization Grants (HOPE VI NOFA), which announced the availability of approximately \$492.5 million in FY 2002 funds for the HOPE VI Revitalization Program. The July 31, 2002 HOPE VI NOFA provided an application due date of November 29, 2002. Because November 29, 2002, falls on the Friday after Thanksgiving, HUD extended the application due date under the July 31, 2002 HOPE VI NOFA for one week to Friday, December 6, 2002. in a notice published on September 27, 2002 (67 FR 61150). This notice makes a number of technical corrections to the July 31, 2002 HOPE VI NOFA.

In Section VI(A)(1), the reference to "Section IV(A)(2)" is corrected to "Section VI(A)(2)" instead, changing the "IV" to "VI."

Section VIII (B)(4) is corrected to make explicit that the average market rental costs calculated from apartment listings is based upon the rent for 3bedroom apartments.

In the last sentence of Section IX(D)(10), "allocated" is changed to "reserved," and in the first sentence of Section IX(D)(10)(a), "allocation" is changed to "reservation." Both changes are made to correctly express the tax credit procedure involved.

A sentence is added to Section IX(D)(10)(b)(ii) to provide that a letter from the investor may be used to document the dollar amount expected from the sale of equity.

In Section IX(G)(1)(c), the reference to "1:20" should be to "1:2.0" instead, adding a decimal point that had been omitted inadvertently from the ratio.

In Section IX(G)(3)(a), the ratio is corrected from "1:1.0" to ".1:1.0" adding a decimal point before the first

digit; in Section IX(G)(3)(b), the ratio is corrected from "1:.1" to ".1:1.0" reversing the order of the digits; and in Section IX(G)(4)(b), the ratio is corrected from "1:.1" to "1:1.0" removing the decimal point before the second digit.

In Section XII(E)(3), the reference to "Section (1)" is changed to "Section

(2).'

Accordingly, FR Doc. 02-19276, the Fiscal Year (FY) 2002 Notice of Funding Availability for Revitalization of Severely Distressed Public Housing, HOPE VI Revitalization Grants, published in the Federal Register on July 31, 2002 (67 FR 49766) is corrected as follows:

1. On page 49769, in column 3, revise Section VI(A)(1) to read as follows:

(1) The total amount you may request in your Revitalization application is limited to \$20 million or the sum of the amounts in Section VI(A)(2), whichever is lower.

2. On page 49775, in column 2, revise Section VIII(B)(4) to read as follows:

(4) Need for Affordable Housing in the

Community—3 Points.

The applicant must demonstrate the need for affordable housing in the community. The need for affordable housing in the community is measured by a lack of supply of private market housing that can be rented at the Section 8 fair market rent (FMR), as adjusted by HUD, and in the community. To make this calculation, use the most recently published FMR, as adjusted, for a 3-bedroom apartment and apartment listings in a newspaper of general circulation that serves the majority of the community (the jurisdiction covered by the FMR). In the apartment listings, track and tabulate the rents for 3-bedroom apartments for a period of 30 consecutive days during the application preparation period, counting each 3-bedroom apartment once for the period of days it appears in the listings (e.g., if the same apartment appears in the listings every day for a period of 7 days, you would count it one time). Calculate the average market rental costs, based on your tabulations, and compare them to the FMR, as adjusted, for a 3-bedroom apartment. In your application you will document information about your analysis. Points will be awarded in accordance with one of the following, based on your analysis:

(a) You will receive 3 Points if the average market rental costs are over 130 percent of FMR.

(b) You will receive 2 Points if the average market rental costs are over 120 percent of FMR.

(c) You will receive 1 Point if the average market rental costs are over 110 percent of FMR.

- (d) You will receive 0 Points if the average market rental costs are 110 percent or less of FMR or if there is inadequate information to rate this
- 3. On page 49776, in column 2, revise the last sentence of Section IX(D)(10) to read as follows:
- (10) \* \* \* Tax credits are generally reserved annually through State Housing Finance Agencies, a directory of which can be found at http:// www.ncsha.org/ncsha/public/ statehfadirectory/index.htm

4. On page 49776, in column 3, revise the first sentence of Section IX(D)(10)(a)to read as follows:

(a) If you propose to include LIHTC equity as a development resource for your first phase of development, your application must include a LIHTC reservation letter from your State or local Housing Finance Agency. \* \* \*

5. On page 49776, in column 3, revise Section IX(D)(10)(b)(ii) to read as

- (ii) The dollar amount expected from the sale of equity. If this information is not provided, HUD will count 80 percent of the total tax credit amount. The dollar amount expected from the sale of equity may be detailed in a letter from the investor, instead of in a letter from your State or local Housing Finance Agency. All other criteria in Section IX(D)(10)(b)(i)–(vii) must be included in a commitment letter from your State or local Housing Finance Agency.
- 6. On page 49777, in column 2, revise Section IX(G)(1)(c) to read as follows:
- (c) You will receive 5 Points if the ratio is between 1:2.0 and 1:2.49.

7. On page 49777, in column 3, revise Section IX(G)(3)(a) to read as follows:

- (a) You will receive 2 Points if the ratio of your documented anticipatory resources to the amount of HOPE VI funds requested for physical development activities (not including CSS or administration) is .1:1.0 or higher.
- 8. On page 49777, in column 3, revise Section IX(G)(3)(b) to read as follows:
- (b) You will receive 0 Points if the ratio of your documented anticipatory resources to the amount of HOPE VI funds requested for physical development activities (not including CSS or administration) resources is less than .1:1.0.
- 9. On page 49777, in column 3, revise Section IX(G)(4)(b) to read as follows:
- (b) You will receive 0 Points if the ratio of your documented collateral resources to the amount of HOPE VI funds requested for physical development activities (not including CSS or administration) is less than 1:1.0.

- 10. On page 49780, in column 3, revise Section XII(E)(3) to read as *follows:*
- (3) You will receive 2 points if you meet only one of the factors described in Section (2) above.

Dated: October 15, 2002.

#### Michael Liu,

Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 02–26892 Filed 10–22–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4210-33-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

# **Bureau of Land Management**

Notice of availability of the Draft **Lookout Mountain Forest and** Rangeland Health Project Plan, Associated Amendments to the Baker **Resource Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement** 

AGENCY: Baker Resource Area, Vale District, Bureau of Land Management. **ACTION:** Notice of availability of the Draft Lookout Mountain Forest and Rangeland Health Project Plan, Associated Amendments to the Baker Resource Management Plan (RMP) and **Draft Environmental Impact Statement** (DEIS).

**SUMMARY:** The Baker Resource Area is providing the Lookout Mountain Forest and Rangeland Health Project DEIS for public review and comment. The planning area encompasses approximately 25,160 acres of public land managed by the Baker Resource Area, Vale District and located in Baker county in northeastern Oregon. Some of the alternatives include amendments to portions of the Baker RMP, which was originally approved in 1989. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has and will continue to work closely with all interested parties to identify the management decisions that are best suited to the needs of the public. For comments to be most helpful, they should relate to specific concerns or conflicts that are within the legal responsibilities of the BLM and they should be able to be resolved in this planning process. Specific comments are the most useful in helping us improve the analysis and in the development of the preferred alternative. In addition to public comments, the BLM is particularly interested in state, local and Tribal government comments regarding plan consistency. Documents referenced in this DEIS may be examined at the Baker Resource Area Office during normal working hours. The Baker RMP to