

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For Further Information Contact: Shikha Bhatnagar, International Trade Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 482-3400.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority:** Section 213(b)(2)(A)(v)(II) of the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act, as added by Section 211(a) of the CBTPA; Section 6 of Executive Order No. 13191 of January 17, 2001.

**BACKGROUND:**

The CBTPA provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for qualifying textile and apparel products. Such treatment is generally limited to products manufactured from yarns or fabrics formed in the United States or a beneficiary country. The CBTPA also provides for quota- and duty-free treatment for apparel articles that are both cut (or knit-to-shape) and sewn or otherwise assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries from fabric or yarn that is not formed in the United States or a CBTPA beneficiary country, if it has been determined that such fabric or yarn cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. In Executive Order No. 13191, the President delegated to CITA the authority to determine whether yarns or fabrics cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner under the CBTPA. On March 6, 2001, CITA published procedures that it will follow in considering requests. (66 FR 13502).

On July 19, 2002, the Chairman of CITA received a request from Oxford Industries alleging that certain 100 percent worsted (i.e., combed) wool woven fabric, stock-dyed (not piece-dyed) of wool yarns with an average fiber diameter of more than 18.5 microns, classified in subheading 5112.19.95 of the HTSUS, for use in the production of men's suit type jackets for suits classified in subheading 6203.31.9010 of HTSUS and men's suits classified in subheading 6203.11.9000 of the HTSUS, but excluding "morning dress", "evening dress" and "dinner jacket suits" (as defined in Note 3(a) to Chapter 62 of the HTSUS), cannot be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. It requested that apparel of such fabrics that are both cut, sewn, and assembled in one or more CBTPA beneficiary countries be eligible for preferential treatment under the CBTPA.

On August 2, 2002, CITA solicited public comments regarding this request, particularly with respect to whether these fabrics can be supplied by the

domestic industry and commercial quantities in a timely manner. We also requested the advice of the U.S. International Trade Commission and the relevant Industry Sector Advisory Committees. On August 20, 2002, CITA and the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative offered to hold consultations with the relevant Congressional Committees.

CITA has determined that certain 100 percent worsted (i.e., combed) wool woven fabric, stock-dyed (not piece-dyed) of wool yarns with an average fiber diameter of more than 18.5 microns, classified in subheading 5112.19.95 of the HTSUS, for use in the production of men's suit type jackets for suits classified in subheading 6203.31.9010 of HTSUS and men's suits classified in subheading 6203.11.9000 of the HTSUS, but excluding "morning dress", "evening dress" and "dinner jacket suits" (as defined in Note 3(a) to Chapter 62 of the HTSUS), can be supplied by the domestic industry in commercial quantities in a timely manner. Based on the information provided, including review of the request, public comments and advice received, and our knowledge of the industry, CITA has determined that there is current production of and domestic capacity to supply these fabrics. Oxford Industries' request is denied.

**James C. Leonard III,**

*Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.*

[FR Doc.02-24317 Filed 9-24-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DR-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Energy Information Administration

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Energy Information Administration (EIA), Department of Energy (DOE).

**ACTION:** Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** The EIA is soliciting comments on the proposed three-year extension to the "Recordkeeping Requirements of DOE's General Allocation and Price Rules," ERA-766R.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed by November 25, 2002. If you anticipate difficulty in submitting comments within that period, contact the person listed below as soon as possible.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to Mr. John D. Bullington. To ensure receipt of the comments by the due date, submission by FAX (202-586-6191) or e-mail, to *Dan.Bullington@hq.doe.gov* is recommended. The mailing address is Office of General Counsel, GC-90, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20585-0103. Alternatively, Mr. Bullington may be contacted by telephone at 202-586-7364.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to Mr. Bullington at the address listed above.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Background
- II. Current Actions
- III. Request for Comments

#### I. Background

The Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-275, 15 U.S.C. 761 *et seq.*) and the DOE Organization Act (Pub. L. 95-91, 42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq.*) require the EIA to carry out a centralized, comprehensive, and unified energy information program. This program collects, evaluates, assembles, analyzes, and disseminates information on energy resource reserves, production, demand, technology, and related economic and statistical information. This information is used to assess the adequacy of energy resources to meet near and longer term domestic demands.

The EIA, as part of its effort to comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), provides the general public and other Federal agencies with opportunities to comment on collections of energy information conducted by or in conjunction with the EIA. Any comments received help the EIA to prepare data requests that maximize the utility of the information collected, and to assess the impact of collection requirements on the public. Also, the EIA will later seek approval by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under Section 3507(a) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

The recordkeeping requirements are authorized by section 203(a)(1) of the Economic Stabilization Act (ESA) of 1970, as amended (Pub. L. 92-210, 85 Stat. 743) and by section 13(g) of the Federal Energy Administration Act (FEAA) of 1974, as amended (Pub. L. 93-275). DOE proposes to extend for three years the limited recordkeeping requirements presently contained in 10 CFR 210.1. The antecedent regulation was narrowed by amendment in January 1985. This limited extension is

proposed as a protective measure to preserve records relating to the prior price and allocation regulations for an additional three years.

## II. Current Actions

This is an extension with no change of the existing requirements. The requirements are proposed to be extended for a period of three years, from December 31, 2002, to December 31, 2005.

## III. Request for Comments

Prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on the actions discussed in item II. The following guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of comments.

### General Issues

A. EIA is interested in receiving comments from persons regarding whether the proposed recordkeeping requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency and does the information have practical utility? Practical utility is defined as the actual usefulness of information to or for an agency, taking into account its accuracy, adequacy, reliability, timeliness, and the agency's ability to process the information it collects.

B. What enhancements can be made to the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

### As a Potential Respondent to the Request for Information

A. Are the instructions regarding the recordkeeping requirements clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions require clarification.

B. Can information be maintained as specified in the recordkeeping requirements?

C. Public reporting burden for the recordkeeping requirements are estimated to average 4 hours per respondent. The estimated burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, disclose and provide the information.

D. The agency estimates respondents will incur no additional costs other than the hours required to maintain the records. What is the estimated: (1) Total dollar amount annualized for capital and start-up costs, and (2) recurring annual costs of operation and maintenance, and purchase of services associated with these recordkeeping requirements.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB

approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

**Statutory Authority:** Section 3507(h)(1) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. No. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Issued in Washington, DC, September 19, 2002.

**Jay H. Casselberry,**

*Agency Clearance Officer, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-24336 Filed 9-24-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7382-8]

### Risk Management Programs Under Section 112(r)(7) of the Clean Air Act as Amended; Contractor Access to Confidential Business Information and Address Change for the Submission of Risk Management Plans

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA has authorized the following contractor to access information that has been, or will be, submitted to EPA under section 112(r) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) as amended: Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) and its subcontractor, Creative Information Technology Inc. (CITI), (GSA Contract #GSOOT99ALD0203, expiring March 27, 2009). The EPA announces a new address to which Risk Management Plans (RMPs) are to be sent.

Some of the information submitted under section 112(r) may be claimed to be confidential business information (CBI) by the submitter.

**DATES:** Access to confidential data submitted to EPA will occur no sooner than October 7, 2002. RMPs may be sent to the new address effective September 25, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dorothy McManus, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, MC: 5104a, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC 20460.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The EPA is issuing this notice to inform all submitters of information under section 112(r) of the CAA that EPA may provide the above mentioned contractor and its subcontractor access to these materials on a need-to-know basis. This contractor will provide technical support to the Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response in the receipt, processing and

storage of risk management plans submitted to EPA under the CAA.

In accordance with 40 CFR 2.301(h), EPA has determined that the contractor and its subcontractor require access to CBI submitted to EPA under sections 112(r) and 114 of the CAA in order to perform work satisfactorily under the above noted contract. The contractor's and subcontractor's personnel will be given access to information submitted under section 112(r) of the CAA. Some of the information may be claimed or determined to be CBI. The contractor's and subcontractor's personnel will be required to sign nondisclosure agreements and will be permitted access to CBI. All contractor access to CAA CBI will take place at the contractor's facility. The contractor will have appropriate procedures and facilities in place to safeguard the CAA CBI to which the contractor and its subcontractor have access. Clearance for access to CBI is scheduled to expire on March 27, 2009 or at contract termination.

Risk Management Plans submitted to EPA should be mailed to: Risk Management Plan (RMP) Reporting Center, P.O. Box 1515, Lanham-Seabrook, MD 20703-1515. Courier deliveries and express mail should be addressed to the RMP Reporting Center, c/o CSC, Suite 300, 8400 Corporate Drive, New Carrollton, MD 20785.

Dated: September 13, 2002.

**Deborah Y. Dietrich,**

*Director, Chemical Emergency Preparedness and Prevention Office, Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response.*

[FR Doc. 02-24341 Filed 9-24-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-2002-0259; FRL-7275-6]

### The Association of American Pesticide Control Officials; State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group; Working Committee on Water Quality and Pesticide Disposal

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Association of American Pesticide Control Officials (AAPCO), The State Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), and the Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG) Working Committee on Water Quality and Pesticide Disposal will hold a 2-day meeting. This notice announces the