

## Background

On May 6, 2002, the Department published in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 30356) a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order regarding frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil for the period May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(1), on May 31, 2002, the domestic interested parties of Florida Citrus Mutual, Citrus Belle, Citrus World, Inc., Orange-Co of Florida, Inc., Peace River Citrus Products, Inc., and Southern Gardens Citrus Processors Corp. requested a review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil with respect to the following producers/exporters: Citrovita Agro Industrial Ltda. and its affiliated parties Cambuhy MC Industrial Ltda. and Cambuhy Citrus Comercial e Exportadora (collectively "Citrovita"), Branco Peres Citrus S.A. (Branco Peres), CTM Citrus S.A. (CTM), and Sucorrico S.A. (Sucorrico).

In June 2002, the Department initiated an administrative review for Citrovita, Branco Peres, CTM, and Sucorrico (67 FR 42753 (June 25, 2002)) and issued questionnaires to them.

In July and August 2002, Branco Peres, CTM, Citrovita, and Sucorrico notified the Department that neither they nor any of their affiliates had any sales or exports of subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). The Department has been able to confirm with the Customs Service that Branco Peres, CTM, Citrovita, and Sucorrico had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. See the August 5, 2002, memorandum from Elizabeth Eastwood to the file entitled "Intent to Rescind the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review on Frozen Concentrated Orange Juice from Brazil."

## Rescission of Review

As Branco Peres, CTM, Citrovita, and Sucorrico had no sales or exports of subject merchandise for this POR, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3) and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review of the antidumping duty order on frozen concentrated orange juice from Brazil for the period of May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: August 8, 2002.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-20772 Filed 8-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A-533-810]**

### Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Bar From India

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 15, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ryan Langan or Cole Kyle, Office 1, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-2613 or (202) 482-1503, respectively.

### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2000).

### Scope of Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of stainless steel bar ("SSB"). SSB means articles of stainless steel in straight lengths that have been either hot-rolled, forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled or otherwise cold-finished, or ground, having a uniform solid cross section along their whole length in the shape of circles, segments of circles, ovals, rectangles (including squares), triangles, hexagons, octagons, or other convex polygons. SSB includes cold-finished SSBs that are turned or ground in straight lengths, whether produced from hot-rolled bar or from straightened and cut rod or wire, and reinforcing bars that have indentations, ribs, grooves, or other deformations produced during the rolling process.

## Amended Final Results

On July 5, 2002, the Department determined that stainless steel bar from India is not being sold in the United States at less than fair value, as provided in section 735(a) of the Act. See *Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review* ("Final Results"), 67 FR 45956 (July 11, 2002). On July 15, 2002, we received ministerial error allegations, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(2), from the petitioners regarding the Department's final margin calculations. Viraj did not submit any ministerial error allegations. However, on July 18, 2002, Viraj submitted comments, timely filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(c)(3), responding to petitioners' ministerial error allegations.

The petitioners contend that the Department inadvertently omitted certain expenses and overstated indirect selling expense deductions when calculating the general and administrative expense ratio in our final results. The petitioners also allege that we incorrectly calculated entered value. The petitioners requested that we correct the errors and publish a notice of amended final results in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(e). Viraj counters that the Department calculated the general and administrative expense ratio correctly and that petitioners' allegation concerning the indirect selling expense deduction is, in fact, a methodological argument and not a ministerial error. Viraj did not comment on the entered value allegation.

In accordance with section 735(e) of the Act, we have determined that certain ministerial errors were made in our final margin calculations. We corrected the general and administrative expense ratio to include certain additional expenses that we inadvertently omitted in the final results. We also corrected the entered value calculation. For a detailed discussion of these ministerial error allegations and the Department's analysis, see Memorandum to Richard W. Moreland, "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Bar from India; Allegations of Ministerial Errors" dated August 8, 2002, which is on file in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room B-099 of the main Department building.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from India to correct these ministerial errors. However, the

amended weighted-average margin is identical to the weighted-average

margin in the final results (*see Final Results*). The weighted-average

dumping margin for Viraj is listed below:

Producer/manufacturer/exporter	Original weighted-average margin percentage	Amended results weighted-average margin percentage
Viraj Group, Ltd. ....	0.47	0.47

### Cash Deposit Rates

The following antidumping duty deposits will be required on all shipments of stainless steel bar from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, effective on or after the publication date of the amended final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For Viraj, no antidumping duty deposit will be required; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original less-than-fair-value investigation or a previous review, the cash deposit will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received an individual rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, the previous review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous reviews, the cash deposit rate will be 12.45 percent, the "all others" rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation (*see Stainless Steel Bar from India; Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 59 FR 66915 (December 28, 1994)).

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 8, 2002.

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02-20773 Filed 8-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews: Notice of Termination of Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Withdrawal of Request for Panel Review of the amended final antidumping duty administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Greenhouse Tomatoes from Canada (Secretariat File No. USA-CDA-2002-1904-06).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Notice of Withdrawal of the Request for Panel Review by the complainants, the panel review is terminated as of May 20, 2002. A panel has not been appointed to this panel review. Pursuant to Rule 71(2) of the *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Review*, this panel review is terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Caratina L. Alston, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Chapter 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the

**Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter was requested and terminated pursuant to these Rules.

Dated: July 19, 2002.

**Caratina L. Alston,**

*United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.*  
[FR Doc. 02-20722 Filed 8-14-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-GT-M**

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### Secretarial Business Development Mission to Ghana and South Africa

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice to Announce Secretary Evans-Business Development Mission to Ghana and South Africa, November 12-15, 2002.

**SUMMARY:** Secretary of Commerce Donald L. Evans will lead a senior-level business development mission to Accra, Ghana and Johannesburg, South Africa November 12-15, 2002. The delegation will include approximately 15 U.S.-based senior executives of small, medium, and large U.S. firms representing a variety of business sectors but not limited to leading sectors for each country as listed below in Section II. These key sectors reflect Africa's infrastructure needs, the growth of consumer society, and the increase in manufacturing created by the Africa Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA).

**DATES:** Applications should be submitted to the Office of Business Liaison by September 20, 2002.

Applications received after that date will be considered only if space and scheduling constraints permit.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Office of Business Liaison; Room 5062; Department of Commerce; Washington, DC 20230; Tel: (202) 482-1360; Fax: (202) 482-4054.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**