

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. EL00-95-000, EL00-98-000 and ER02-1656-000]

San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents, Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, and California Independent System Operator (MD02); Amended Notice of Technical Conference and Agenda

August 8, 2002.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Staff is convening a technical conference to facilitate continued discussions between the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO), market participants, state agencies and other interested participants on the development of a revised market design for the CAISO. Attached is the proposed agenda for the conference. The conference will be held in San Francisco, California, at the Renaissance Parc 55 Hotel, 55 Cyril Magnin Street, San Francisco, CA, on August 13, 14 and 15, 2002, beginning at 9 a.m.

For additional information concerning the conference, interested persons may contact Susan G. Pollonais at (202) 502-6011 or by electronic mail at "susan.pollonais@ferc.gov." No telephone communication bridge will be provided at this technical conference.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,
Deputy Secretary.

Discussion Issues for FERC Technical Conference on California Market Design (MD02), August 13-15, 2002

1. Introduction and Statement of Goals for Technical Conference
2. Overview and Discussion on Forthcoming Process
 - a. Short-term Issues—Process for Resolving Issues Related to Phase II
 - b. Long-term Issues—Process for Resolving Issues Related to Phase III
3. Standard Market Design (SMD) Overview
 - a. Market Power Mitigation b. Day-Ahead and Real-Time Markets
 - c. Resource Adequacy
 - d. Congestion Revenue Rights (CRRs)
4. Implementation Issues and Milestones
 - a. Introduction—Overview of FERC Directives
 - b. Phase IA
 - i. Status Report on Development of Automatic Mitigation Procedures(AMP)

- ii. Status Report on RFP for Independent Entity to Develop AMP Reference Prices
- c. Phase IB
 - i. Status Report on Implementation of Real-Time Economic Dispatch/Deviation Penalties (enhanced Scheduling Logging for the ISO of California (SLIC))
- d. Phase 2
 - i. Update on Implementation Requirements and Timeline
 - ii. Issues:
 - Integrated Forward Markets and Simultaneous Optimization
 - Residual Unit Commitment
 - Financial v. Physical Forward Schedules
- e. Phase III (including Locational Marginal Pricing (LMP) and CRRs)
 - i. Update on Implementation Timeline
 - ii. Issues:
 - Network Model and State Estimator MP and Optimal Power Flow (OPF)
 - CRRs
 - Financial v. Physical Forward Schedules
5. California ISO Market Surveillance Committee—Opinion and Comment
6. Next Steps/Future Conferences

[FR Doc. 02-20543 Filed 8-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP02-416-000]

Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Application

August 8, 2002.

On July 31, 2002, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA), as amended, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules and Regulations thereunder. Williams requests authorization to: construct 15.67 miles of pipeline; and, perform piping upgrades at a compressor station. The facilities are necessary to provide additional incremental firm transportation service of 66,800 Decatherms per Day(Dth/d) for electric power generation expansion and LDC load growth, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, call (202) 502-8222 or for TTY, (202) 208-1659. Following its open season, Williams received binding

requests from Empire District Electric Co.(63,800 Dth/d) and Kansas Gas Service(3,000 Dth/d) for 15 years of firm transportation service.

Williams requests authority to: (1) Construct approximately 15.67 miles of 20-inch pipeline from the Southern Trunk 20-inch Loop Line "FR" in Cherokee County, Kansas to Jasper County, Missouri; and, (2) install piping upgrades at the Saginaw compressor station in Newton County, Missouri to increase maximum allowable operating pressure from 820 psig to 900 psig. The cost of these modifications is estimated to be approximately \$10,500,000. Further, Williams requests that the Commission determine that costs of the proposed facilities should be rolled-in with existing facility costs in their next general rate case.

Questions regarding the application may be directed to David N. Roberts, Manager of Certificates and Tariffs, P.O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, or call (270) 688-6712.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before August 28, 2002, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to

the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the nonparty commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. *See*, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-20533 Filed 8-13-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG02-159-000, *et al.*]

Delaware Mountain Wind Farm, LP, *et al.*; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 8, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

1. Delaware Mountain Wind Farm, LP

[Docket No. EG02-159-000]

Take notice that on August 6, 2002, Delaware Mountain Wind Farm, LP

(Applicant), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an amendment to its June 26, 2002 application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant states that it is a Delaware limited partnership engaged directly and exclusively in the business of owning and operating an approximately 30 MW wind-powered generation facility located in Culberson County, Texas. Electric energy produced by the facility will be sold at wholesale.

Comment Date: August 29, 2002.

2. Williams Generating Memphis, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG02-176-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 2002, Williams Generating Memphis, L.L.C. (WGM) tendered for filing pursuant to Part 365 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR part 365, its application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status.

WGM, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Williams Refining & Marketing, L.L.C., will own a natural gas-fired electric generating facility with a capacity of approximately 75 MW net in summer ambient conditions and 80 MW net in winter conditions.

Comment Date: August 29, 2002.

3. LMB Funding, Limited Partnership

[Docket No. EG02-177-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 2002, LMB Funding, Limited Partnership (LMB), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an Application for Determination of Exempt Wholesale Generator Status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's Regulations and section 32 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act, as amended (the Application).

The Application seeks a determination that LMB qualifies for Exempt Wholesale Generator status. LMB is a Delaware limited partnership that will own, but not operate a gas-fired combined cycle electric generating facility rated at approximately 600 MW capacity. The facility will be used for the generation of electricity exclusively for sale at wholesale. Copies of this application have been served upon the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Pennsylvania Utility Commission.

Comment Date: August 28, 2002.

4. Cargill-Alliant, LLC v. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.

[Docket No. EL02-116-000]

Take notice that on August 6, 2002, Cargill-Alliant, LLC (Cargill-Alliant), filed a complaint against New York Independent System Operator, Inc. (NYISO). Cargill-Alliant alleges that the NYISO, in violation of its tariff, has unlawfully withheld interest on Cargill-Alliant's cash deposit held in escrow by the NYISO.

Comments and Answers: August 28, 2002.

5. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER01-2985-002]

Take notice that on August 5, 2002, Commonwealth Edison Company (Com Ed) submitted for filing, in compliance with the Commission's letter order dated February 13, 2002 in Docket Nos. ER01-2985-000 and -001, an executed copy of the Interconnection Agreement between Com Ed and Zion Energy LLC (Zion).

ComEd states that a copy of this filing has been served on Zion and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment Date: August 26, 2002.

6. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER02-2417-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 2002, Arizona Public Service Company tendered for filing Service Agreement No. 147 under FERC Electric Tariff, Eleventh Revised Volume No. 2, effective date January 1, 2001 and filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Arizona Public Service Company is to be cancel effective June 30, 2002.

Comment Date: August 26, 2002.

7. Northeast Utilities Service Companies

[Docket No. ER02-2418-000]

Take notice that on August 5, 2002, the Northeast Utilities Service Company (NUSCO), on behalf of The Connecticut Light and Power Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, Holyoke Water Power Company, and Holyoke Power and Electric Company, submitted pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act and Part 35 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, rate schedule changes to modify the Northeast Utilities Companies' existing transmission arrangement with the Connecticut Municipal Electric Energy Cooperative (CMEEC) to provide for the delivery of firm power to the Fort Hill Farms substation at the Mohegan Trust Land Border.