published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 27, 1995 (60 FR 33233).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 6, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2002 (67 FR 10761).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–20417 Filed 8–12–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International ("SEMI")

Notice is hereby given that, on July 3, 2002, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Semiconductor Equipment and Materials International ("SEMI") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Asyst Technologies, Inc., Fremont, CA acquired Domainlogix, Austin, TX and has changed its name to Asyst Connectivity Technologies, Inc., Austin, TX.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and SEMI intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 8, 2002, SEMI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 8, 2002 (67 FR 10762).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–20415 Filed 8–12–02; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—VSI Alliance

Notice is hereby given that, on July 12, 2002, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), VSI Alliance has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ARC International PLC, Nashua, NH; Beijing Hongsi Electronic Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Himanshu Dwivedi (individual member), San Francisco, CA; Robert Helt (individual member), Moraga, CA; Dr. Rabi Mahapatra (individual member), College Station, TX; Seijiro Morivama (individual member), Yokohama, JAPAN; Prosilog SA, Cergy-Prefectire. FRANCE; TTChip Entwicklungsges.m.b.H., Vienna, AUSTRIA; University of Kitakyushu, Dept. of Information & Media Sciences, Kitakyusha-shi, JAPAN; and Vast Systems Technology, Sunnyvale, CA have been added as parties to this

Also, Adaptive Silicon, Inc., Lost Gatos, CA; Alcatel Internetworking (PE), Inc., Spokane, WA; Altera Corporation, San Jose, CA; Arc Cores Ltd., San Jose, CA; Patrick Beauvillard (individual member), Saint Lambert des Bois, FRANCE; BOPS, Inc., Chapel Hill, NC; Easics NV, Leuven, BELGIUM; HCL Technologies India Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, INDIA; IDEC-IC Design Education Center, Taejon, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Logic Research Corporation, Fukuokashi, JAPAN; Memec Core, Raleigh, NC; MystiCom Ltd, Netanya, ISRAEL; Nazomi Communications, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; Nogatech Ltd., Kfar-Saba, ISRAEL; Palmchip, San Jose, CA; Qualis Design Corporation, Lake Oswego, OR; RealChip, Sunnyvale, CA; Tensilica, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; and Virtual Silicon Technology, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA have been dropped as parties to this

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VSI Alliance intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On November 29, 1996, VSI Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 1997 (62 FR 9812).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 16, 2002. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on May 29, 2002 (67 FR 37441).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–20412 Filed 8–12–02 8:45am]
BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—XtremeSpectrum Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on July 23, 2002, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), XtremeSpectrum Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are XtremeSpectrum Inc., Vienna, VA; and Motorola Inc., Schaumburg, IL. The nature and objectives of the venture are cooperative research to develop a Fireground Personnel Location & Communication System.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 02–20409 Filed 8–12–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Immigration Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: 60-day notice of information collection under review; INS case status service online.

The Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service has submitted the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104–13 and the Privacy Act of 1974 as amended, and Pub. L. 93–589.

INS now provides case status to individuals and their representatives by request through an INS toll phone line or walk-in at INS local offices. INS proposes to implement case status online service that will provide case status of an immigration or naturalization action to customers (and their representatives) via an interactive voice response system on a toll free, 1-800 line or a web-based application. Individuals or their representatives will look up status on the Internet by typing in the receipt number of the submitted form or through interaction on a toll-free telephone line. For individuals or organizations such as law firms and non-profit organizations that represent many individuals and their respective cases, the INS proposes to implement a capability for each requestor to develop their own log of pending cases on the web. The log will include multiple receipt numbers that correspond to individuals' cases. A requestor will have access only to a non-attributable case status receipt number.

INS will provide an option for the requestor to receive email notification of status. The requestor would query the system and, if desired, request email notification of further status changes. This option reduces the number of times that a customer must access the system

for case status.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for sixty days until October 15, 2002.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more

of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the

use of appropriate automated, electronic mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

- (1) Type of Information Collection: New information collection.
- (2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* INS Case Status Service Online.
- (3) Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: No Agency Form No. (File No. OMB–33). National Customer Service Center, Immigration and Naturalization Service.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: Individual or households. The INS proposes to implement an electronic website as a part of the Customer Relationship Interface System (CRIS) initiative. The system will allow individuals or their representatives to request case status. The INS proposes to permit the requestor to look up status by entering on a website the receipt number of the submitted action.
- (5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 1,000,000 responses at 4.5 minutes (0.75) per response.
- (6) An estiamte of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 75,000 annual burden hours.

If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Richard A. Sloan 202-514-3291, Director, Regulations and Forms Services Division, Immigration and Naturalization Service, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 4034, 425 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20536. Additionally, comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time may also be directed to Mr. Richard A. Sloan.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Suite 1600, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 6, 2002.

Richard A. Sloan,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Immigration and Naturalization Service.

[FR Doc. 02–20476 Filed 8–12–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–10–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60-Day notice of information collection under review: extension of a currently approved collection; Equal Employment Opportunity Plan certification and short form.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Office for Civil Rights, has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until October 15, 2002.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions with instructions or additional information, please contact Daphne Felten-Green (202) 305–3010, Office of Justice Programs, US Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who