ACTION: Notice of Extension of Public Comment Period for Thirty Days.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the comment period for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the City of Albuquerque Drinking Water Project is extended an additional 30 days to September 12, 2002.

DATES: The end of the public comment period, as noted in the Federal Register (67 FR 40961) on June 14, 2002, was August 13, 2002. The public comment period is now extended to September 12, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the DEIS should be addressed to Lori Robertson, Bureau of Reclamation, Albuquerque Area Office, 505 Marquette, NW., Suite 1313, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102; faxogram (505) 248-5356; e-mail: lrobertson@uc.usbr.gov. Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lori Robertson, Environment and Lands Division Manager, (505) 248-5326.

Dated: August 1, 2002.

Shelly Wiser,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 02-20429 Filed 8-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-406]

Certain Lens-Fitted Film Packages, Consolidated Enforcement and **Advisory Opinion Proceedings; Notice** of Review-in-Part, Nonreview-in-Part, and Remand of Enforcement Initial **Determination and Initial Advisory** Opinion to the Presiding Administrative Law Judge

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade

Commission has determined to reviewin-part and remand to the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) the initial advisory opinion (IAO) and the enforcement initial determination (EID) issued by the ALJ on May 2, 2002, in the above-captioned proceedings under section 337 of the Tariff Act as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337. Specifically, the Commission determined to review and remand to the ALJ an issue of infringement under the doctrine of equivalents in view of the Supreme Court's decision in Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd., 122 S.Ct. 1831 (May 28, 2002). The Commission determined not to review the remainder of the IAO and EID.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean Jackson, Esq., telephone 202-205-3104, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Copies of all nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS-ON-LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol.public. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission's original investigation in this matter was terminated on June 2, 1999, with a finding of violation of section 337 by 26 respondents by reason of importation or sales after importation of certain lens-fitted film packages (LFFPs) (i.e., disposable cameras) that were found to infringe one or more claims of 15 patents held by complainant Fuji Photo Film Co. (Fuji). 64 FR 30541 (June 8, 1999). The Commission issued a general exclusion order, prohibiting the importation of LFFPs that infringe any of the claims at issue, and issued cease and desist orders to twenty domestic respondents. Id. Three respondents appealed the part of the Commission's determination that concerned refurbished LFFPs to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. No party appealed the Commission's determinations concerning newlymanufactured LFFPs.

On June 27, 2001, Fuji filed a "Complaint for Enforcement Proceedings Under Rule 210.75, Petition for Modification Under Rule 210.76 and/or Request for Advisory Opinion Under Rule 210.79." Fuji's enforcement complaint asserted 22 claims contained in nine utility patents and named twenty entities as respondents. Fuji later withdrew its complaint as to three of the respondents. Fuji's complaint concerns only newly-manufactured cameras that were not the subject of the appeal to the Federal Circuit. On July 31, 2001, the Commission instituted advisory opinion and enforcement proceedings and referred them to the presiding ALJ for issuance of a separate initial advisory opinion (IAO) and enforcement initial determination (EID). 63 FR 40721 (August 3, 2002).

On May 2, 2002, the ALJ issued his IAO and EID in which he made 59 separate infringement determinations involving seven patents and 13 respondents and 28 different types of accused LFFP. Eight petitions for review of the IAO and/or EID were filed on May 16, 2002. Responses were filed on May 24, 2002. On June 7, 2002, Fuji filed a supplemental brief concerning the application of intervening Supreme Court precedent. Responses to Fuji's motion were filed on June 19 and June 24, 2002, by the Commission investigative attorneys (IAs) and respondent Vastfame Camera Ltd., respectively.

The Commission, having examined the petitions for review and the responses thereto, determined to review the findings of the IAO and EID on the issue of infringement of claim 9 of U.S. Letters Patent 4,972,649 in view of the Supreme Court decision, Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd., 122 S.Ct. 1831, which was handed down after the IAO and EID were issued. The Commission has determined not to review any other part of the IAO or EID.

In connection with final disposition of the enforcement proceedings, the Commission may issue cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of lens fitted film packages. If the Commission contemplates issuance of cease and desist orders, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission issues cease and desist orders, the conduct prohibited by any cease and desist order may be continued during the sixty (60) day period in which the cease and desist orders are under review by the President pursuant to subsection (j) of section 337, 19 U.S.C. 1337(j). During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to be sold under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

The Commission may also levy civil penalties for violations of cease and desist orders that it issued at the completion of the Lens-Fitted Film

Packages investigation.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the recommended remedy determinations made by the ALJ in the EID that issued on May 2, 2002, including the ALJ's recommendations with regard to civil penalties. Complainant and the Commission investigative attorneys are also requested to submit proposed cease and desist orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed cease and desist orders must be filed no later than close of business on August 28, 2002. Response submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on September 6, 2002. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See section 201.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and

procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and sections 210.75 and 210.79 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.75 and 210.79).

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 7, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20430 Filed 8–12–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-02-024]

Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: United States International Trade Commission.

TIME AND DATE: August 22, 2002 at 2 p.m.

PLACE: Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, Telephone: (202) 205–2000.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- 1. Agenda for future meeting: none.
- 2. Minutes.
- 3. Ratification List.
- 4. Inv. No. 731–TA–1013 (Preliminary) (Saccharin from China)—briefing and vote. (The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determination to the Secretary of Commerce on or before August 26, 2002; Commissioners' opinions are currently scheduled to be transmitted to the Secretary of Commerce on or before September 3, 2002.).
 - 5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

By order of the Commission. Issued: August 9, 2002.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission. [FR Doc. 02–20577 Filed 8–9–02; 11:52 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Policing Services: Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day notice of Information Collection Under Review: Reinstatement, With Change, of a Previously Approved Collection for Which Approval has Expired, Regional Community Policing Institute Quarterly Progress Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register Volume 67, Number 73, page 18636 on April 16, 2002, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until September 12, 2002. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to The Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202)–395–7285.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility:
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and