parties to the agreements plus clarify how the funds will be advanced/ transferred and whether interest will be paid and at what rate of interest to the companies providing the funds.

The Commission intends to clearly define the roles and responsibilities of all parties regarding transfers of cash, payment of bills, payment of interest, and the funds that can be taken from the regulated subsidiary. Cash management agreements should be reviewed and updated periodically to ensure that change in the corporate structure has not made the agreements obsolete. Additionally, cash management agreements must provide assurance for Commission-regulated entities and regulators that non-regulated parents aren't exposing their subsidiaries to severe financial harm for the benefit of non-regulated affiliated companies.

Under the statutes that it administers, the Commission has broad authority to act in the public interest and to ensure that adequate supplies of energy are available to the nation at a reasonable cost. Because of the Commission's concern that cash management accounts not be used improperly to impair the financial health of regulated entities, so as to cause harm to the rate paying public, it believes it is appropriate to put into place these requirements to protect the ratepayers.

The Commission has submitted this collection of information to OMB for approval. OMB's regulations describe the process that federal agencies must follow in order to obtain OMB approval for collections of information. See 5 CFR 1320. The standards for emergency processing of information collections appear at 5 CFR 1320.13. If OMB approves a reporting requirement, then it will assign an information control number to that requirement. OMB requires federal agencies seeking approval of information collections to allow the public an opportunity to comment on the proposed information collection. 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv). Therefore, the Commission is soliciting comment on:

(1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information will have practical utility:

(2) The accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the collection of this information, including the validity of methodology and assumptions used;

(3) The quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) How to minimize the burden of the collection of this information on respondents, including the use of

appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology.

OMB Control No.: (to be assigned).
Expiration Date: 01/31/2002.
Title: Regulation of Cash Management

IC No.: FERC-907.

 $\label{eq:Respondents:Businesses} \textit{ ar other for -profit.}$ 

Estimated annual burden: 896 hours. Estimated Annual Reporting and/or Recordkeeping cost: \$50,418.

Frequency of Response: On occasion. Obligation to Respond: Mandatory.

#### Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20043 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-112-000]

FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., FirstEnergy Generation Corp., Complainant, v. PJM Interconnection, LLC, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

August 2, 2002.

Take notice that on August 1, 2002, FirstEnergy Solutions Corp. and FirstEnergy Generation Corp. (FirstEnergy) filed a Complaint against PJM Interconnection, LLC ("PJM"). In the Complaint, FirstEnergy requests that the Commission issue an order directing PJM to eliminate its eFuel reporting requirement. In the alternative, FirstEnergy requests that the Commission issue an order directing PJM to address the eFuel reporting requirement under the MMU information gathering rules in effect at the time PJM initiated reporting requirement in February 2002.

Copies of this filing were served upon PJM, state regulatory agencies in Ohio, Pennsylvania and New Jersey and others FirstEnergy reasonably knows may be expected to be affected by the Complaint.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party

must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before August 21, 2002. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

## Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20042 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG02-172-000, et al.]

# Genova Oklahoma I, LLC, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

July 31, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

# 1. Genova Oklahoma I, LLC

[Docket No. EG02-172-000]

Take notice that on July 25, 2002, Genova Oklahoma I, LLC, 5700 West Plano Parkway, Suite 1000, Plano, Texas 75093, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to part 365 of the Commissions regulations.

Genova Oklahoma I, LLC states it is a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, and is engaged directly and exclusively in owning and operating the Genova Oklahoma I, LLC electric generating facility (the Project) to be located in Grady County, Oklahoma, and selling electric energy at wholesale from the Project. The Project will consist of a combined cycle combustion turbine unit with a nominal rating of approximately 580 megawatts and associated transmission interconnection components.

Comment Date: August 21, 2002.

## 2. San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Complainant, v. Sellers of Energy and Ancillary Services Into Markets Operated by the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange, Respondents

[Docket Nos. EL00–95–066 and EL01–68–018]

## Investigation of Practices of the California Independent System Operator and the California Power Exchange

[Docket No. EL00-98-055]

Take notice that on July 24, 2002, the California Independent System Operator Corporation (ISO) submitted a filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) in compliance with the Commission's July 11, 2002, "Order on Rehearing, Reconsideration and Clarification" 100 FERC ¶61,050.

The ISO states that it has served copies of this filing upon all parties listed on the official service list for this proceeding.

Comment Date: August 23, 2002.

#### 3. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket Nos. ER02–358–003, ER01–2998–003, and EL02–64–003]

Take notice that on July 25, 2002, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) tendered for filing an errata to its filing dated July 15, 2002 of a Settlement Agreement pursuant to Rule 602 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.602. The Settlement Agreement replaces Interconnection Agreements between PG&E and the Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) and between PG&E and the City of Santa Clara, Silicon Valley Power (SVP), on file with the Commission as PG&E First Revised Rate Schedules FERC Nos. 142 and 85. The errata consists of an appendix F to each of these Interconnection Agreements, which Appendices were inadvertently omitted from the July 15, 2002 filing.

Copies of this filing have been served upon all members of he Official Service Lists of the above-mentioned Dockets, the California Public Utilities Commission, and the California Independent System Operator Corporation.

Comment Date: August 15, 2002.

# 4. Tampa Electric Company

[Docket No. ER02-1663-002]

Take notice that on July 26, 2002, Tampa Electric Company (Tampa Electric) filed a revised unexecuted transmission service agreement between Tampa Electric and Calpine Energy Services, Inc. in compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's "Order Conditionally Accepting for Filing Unexecuted Service Agreement, As Modified," issued in Docket No. ER02–1663–000 on June 27, 2002.

A copy of the compliance filing has been served on each person on the service list in Docket No. ER02–1663– 000 and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: August 16, 2002.

## 5. Blythe Energy, LLC

[Docket No. ER02-2018-001]

Take notice that on July 26, 2002, Blythe Energy, LLC (Blythe) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission a letter submitting certain additional information with respect to Blythe's Application for market-based rate authority filed on June 5, 2002.

Comment Date: August 12, 2002.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date, and, to the extent applicable, must be served on the applicant and on any other person designated on the official service list. This filing is available for review at the Commission or may be viewed on the Commission's web site at http:// www.ferc.gov using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

#### Magalie R. Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–20026 Filed 8–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EC02-97-000, et al.]

# Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

August 1, 2002.

The following filings have been made with the Commission. The filings are listed in ascending order within each docket classification.

#### 1. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation; De Pere Energy L.L.C.

[Docket No. EC02-97-000]

Take notice that on July 26, 2002, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC) and De Pere Energy L.L.C. (De Pere Energy) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) a joint Application pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act and Part 33 of the Commission's Regulations requesting authorization for De Pere Energy to sell to WPSC the De Pere Energy Center, a 180 MW electric generating facility, including associated transformers and switchyard equipment.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Comment Date: August 16, 2002.

## 2. American Atlas No. 1, Ltd., L.L.L.P.

[Docket No. EC02-98-000]

Take notice that on July 26, 2002, American Atlas No. 1, Ltd., L.L.P. (Atlas) tendered for filing an application requesting all necessary authorizations under Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for the sale by Atlas to Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. of Atlas's interests in the jurisdictional assets associated with a nominal 75-megawatt cogeneration power plant located in Rifle, Colorado, and known as the American Atlas No. 1 Cogeneration Facility.

Comment Date: August 16, 2002.

# 3. La Paloma Generating Trust Ltd.; La Paloma Generating Company, LLC

[Docket No. EC02-99-000]

Take notice that on July 26, 2002, La Paloma Generating Trust Ltd. (La Paloma Trust) and La Paloma Generating Company, LLC (La Paloma Gen), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 824b (1994), and part 33 of the