

new license for Project No. 2101. Pursuant to 18 CFR 16.9(b)(1) each application for a new license and any competing license applications must be filed with the Commission at least 24 months prior to the expiration of the existing license. All applications for license for this project must be filed by July 31, 2005.

A copy of the Notice of Intent is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link—select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in the item above.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19774 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Application Ready for Environmental Analysis and Soliciting Comments, Recommendations, Terms and Conditions, and Prescriptions

July 31, 2002.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

a. *Type of Application:* New Minor License.

b. *Project No.:* 2782-006.

c. *Date filed:* October 30, 2001.

d. *Applicant:* Parowan City.

e. *Name of Project:* Red Creek Hydroelectric Project.

f. *Location:* On Red Creek, near the City of Paragonah, in Iron County, Utah. The project occupies about 19 acres of United States lands administered by the Bureau of Land Management.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* Alden C. Robinson, Sunrise Engineering, Inc., 25 E. 500 N., Fillmore, Utah 84631-3513; (435) 743-1143.

i. *FERC Contact:* Steve Hocking at steve.hocking@ferc.gov or (202) 219-2656.

j. *Cooperating agencies:* We ask Federal, state, local, and tribal agencies with jurisdiction and/or special expertise with respect to environmental issues to cooperate with us in the preparation of an environmental document for this project. Agencies who would like to request cooperating

agency status should follow the instructions for filing comments described in item k below.

k. *Deadline for filing comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, prescriptions, and requests for cooperating agency status:* 60 days from the issuance of this notice.

All documents (original and eight copies) should be filed with: Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The Commission's rules of practice require all intervenors filing documents with the Commission to serve a copy of that document on each person on the official service list for the project. Further, if an intervenor files comments or documents with the Commission relating to the merits of an issue that may affect the responsibilities of a particular resource agency, they must also serve a copy of the document on that resource agency.

Comments, recommendations, terms and conditions, prescriptions, and requests for cooperating agency status may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site (<http://www.ferc.gov>) under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

l. This application has been accepted and is ready for environmental analysis at this time.

m. *Description of the Project:* The existing project consists of: (1) The Red Creek diversion dam which is a concrete structure 8 feet high and 48 feet long; an intake with a radial gate and trash rack connected to a 16,098-foot-long, 16 to 18-inch diameter steel penstock, (2) the South Fork diversion dam which is a concrete structure 8 feet high and 29 feet long; an intake with a radial gate and trash rack connected to a 4,263-foot-long, 10-inch diameter steel penstock, (3) a pump station at the junction of the South Fork and Red Creek penstocks housing a 15 horsepower and a 20 horsepower pump with control equipment, (4) a 27-foot by 32-foot concrete block powerhouse with a single 500-kilowatt (kW) generator, (5) two 270-foot-long transmission lines, and (6) appurtenant facilities.

n. A copy of the application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link—select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item h above.

o. The Commission directs, pursuant to Section 4.34(b) of the Regulations (see Order No. 533 issued May 8, 1991, 56 FR 23108, May 20, 1991) that all comments, recommendations, terms and conditions and prescriptions concerning the application be filed with the Commission within 60 days from the issuance date of this notice. All reply comments must be filed with the Commission within 105 days from the date of this notice.

Anyone may obtain an extension of time for these deadlines from the Commission only upon a showing of good cause or extraordinary circumstances in accordance with 18 CFR 385.2008.

All filings must: (1) Bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS," "REPLY COMMENTS," "RECOMMENDATIONS," "TERMS AND CONDITIONS," or "PRESCRIPTIONS;" (2) set forth in the heading the name of the applicant and the project number of the application to which the filing responds; (3) furnish the name, address, and telephone number of the person submitting the filing; and (4) otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 385.2001 through 385.2005. All comments, recommendations, terms and conditions or prescriptions must set forth their evidentiary basis and otherwise comply with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.34(b). Agencies may obtain copies of the application directly from the applicant. Each filing must be accompanied by proof of service on all persons listed on the service list prepared by the Commission in this proceeding, in accordance with 18 CFR 4.34(b) and 385.2010.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-19775 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[Regional Docket Nos. II-2000-04, 05, 06; FRL-7256-2]

Clean Air Act Operating Permit Program; Petitions for Objection to State Operating Permits for the Rochdale Village Power Plant; Tanagraphics, Inc.; and the North Shore Towers Apartments Total Energy Plant

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final orders on petitions to object to three State operating permits.

SUMMARY: This document announces that the EPA Administrator has responded to three citizen petitions asking EPA to object to operating permits issued to three facilities by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). Specifically, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied a petition submitted by the New York Public Interest Research Group (NYPIRG) to object to the State operating permit issued to the power plant operated by Rochdale Village, Inc. in Queens, NY. Second, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied a petition submitted by NYPIRG to object to the State operating permit issued to Tanagraphics, Inc., in New York, NY. Third, the Administrator has partially granted and partially denied a petition submitted by NYPIRG to object to the State operating permit issued to North Shore Towers Apartments Total Energy Plant, in Floral Park, NY.

Pursuant to section 505(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act (Act), petitioner may seek judicial review of those portions of the petitions which EPA denied in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit. Any petition for review shall be filed within 60 days from the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**, pursuant to section 307 of the Act.

ADDRESSES: You may review copies of the final orders, the petitions, and other supporting information at the EPA, Region 2, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. If you wish to examine these documents, you should make an appointment at least 24 hours before visiting day. Each of the final orders is also available electronically at: <http://www.epa.gov/region07/programs/artd/air/title5/petitiondb/petitiondb2000.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Riva, Chief, Permitting Section, Air Programs Branch, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, EPA, Region 2, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, telephone (212) 637-4074.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Act affords EPA a 45-day period to review, and object to as appropriate, operating permits proposed by State permitting authorities. Section 505(b)(2) of the Act authorizes any person to petition the EPA Administrator within 60 days after the expiration of this review period to object to State operating permits if EPA

has not done so. Petitions must be based only on objections to the permit that were raised with reasonable specificity during the public comment period provided by the State, unless the petitioner demonstrates that it was impracticable to raise these issues during the comment period or the grounds for the issues arose after this period.

I. Rochdale Village

On June 7, 2000, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit to Rochdale Village. The petition raises issues regarding the permit application, the permit issuance process, and the permit itself. NYPIRG asserts that (1) NYSDEC violated the public participation requirements of 40 CFR 70.7(h) by inappropriately denying NYPIRG's request for a public hearing; (2) the permit is based on an incomplete permit application in violation of 40 CFR 70.5(c); (3) the permit lacks a statement of basis as required by 40 CFR 70.7(a)(5); (4) the permit repeatedly violates the 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(A) requirement that the permittee submit reports of any required monitoring at least every six months; (5) the permit distorts the annual compliance certification requirement of CAA section 114(a)(3) and 40 CFR 70.6(c)(5); (6) the permit does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.1(b) and 70.6(a)(1) because it illegally sanctions the systematic violation of applicable requirements during startup/shutdown, malfunction, maintenance, and upset conditions; (7) the permit does not require prompt reporting of all deviations from permit requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.6(a)(3)(iii)(B); and (8) the permit does not assure compliance with all applicable requirements as mandated by 40 CFR 70.1(b) and 70.6(a)(1) because many individual permit conditions lack adequate periodic monitoring and are not practically enforceable.

NYPIRG raises each of these issues in the petitions on Tanagraphics and North Shore Towers Apartments, as well. In each of these petitions, the eighth issue is subdivided into several detailed points, some which are permit-specific and some which are shared among the other permits.

On July 3, 2002, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition on Rochdale Village. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Indicate the facility employs continuous opacity monitors, and to require quarterly reporting of

opacity data; (2) require quarterly reporting of natural gas and fuel oil consumption data, as required by the approved plan for complying with the Reasonably Available Control Technology requirements for nitrogen oxides; and (3) remove a condition that improperly applies an inapplicable sulfur requirement to the facility. The order also explains the reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

II. Tanagraphics

On July 7, 2000, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit to Tanagraphics, on the grounds listed above. On July 3, 2002, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Include periodic monitoring to assure compliance with DEC's rules on the use of open containers; (2) require testing of fountain solutions, inks, and coatings more frequently than one time; and (3) include two opacity conditions (with periodic monitoring) that were omitted from the original permit. The order also explains the reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

III. North Shore Towers Apartments

On August 1, 2000, the EPA received a petition from NYPIRG, requesting that EPA object to the issuance of the title V operating permit to North Shore Towers Apartments on the grounds listed above. On July 3, 2002, the Administrator issued an order partially granting and partially denying the petition. The order explains the reasons behind EPA's conclusion that the NYSDEC must reopen the permit to: (1) Revise a nitrogen oxides monitoring provision to reference the most recently approved stack test results; and (2) revise a sulfur-in-fuel recordkeeping requirement to retain records on-site for 5-years. The order also explains the reasons for denying NYPIRG's remaining claims.

Dated: July 23, 2002.

Jane M. Kenny,

Regional Administrator, Region 2.

[FR Doc. 02-19795 Filed 8-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U