

product is being materially injured and is threatened with material injury, by reason of the imports of the subject merchandise sold at less than NV. The Petitioners contend that the industry's injured condition is evident in (1) reduced shipments; (2) reduced prices; (3) declining employment; (4) declining production and capacity utilization; (5) growing inventories; and (6) significant financial losses.

The Department assessed the allegations and supporting evidence regarding material injury and causation and determined that these allegations are supported by accurate and adequate evidence and meet the statutory requirements for initiation.

Initiation of Antidumping Investigation

Based upon our examination of the Petition on frozen fish fillets from Vietnam, we find that the Petition meets the requirements of section 732 of the Act. Therefore, we are initiating an antidumping duty investigation to determine whether imports of frozen fish fillets from Vietnam are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value. Unless postponed, we will make our preliminary determination no later than 140 days after the date of this initiation.

Distribution of Copies of the Petition

In accordance with section 732(b)(3)(A) of the Act, a copy of the public version of the Petition has been provided to the government representatives of Vietnam. We will attempt to provide a copy of the public version of the Petition to each exporter named in the Petition, as appropriate.

International Trade Commission Notification

We have notified the ITC of our initiation, as required by section 732(d) of the Act.

Preliminary Determination by the ITC

The ITC will preliminarily determine, no later than August 12, 2002, whether there is a reasonable indication that imports of frozen fish fillets from Vietnam are causing material injury, or threatening to cause material injury, to a U.S. industry. A negative ITC determination will result in this investigation being terminated;

otherwise, this investigation will proceed according to statutory and regulatory time limits.

This notice is published pursuant to section 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 18, 2002.
Faryar Shirzad,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 02-18731 Filed 7-23-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration
[A-570-855]

Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.
ACTION: Notice of Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214, we are initiating this new shipper review.
EFFECTIVE DATE: July 24, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Craig Matney, Audrey Twyman or Stephen Cho, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-1778, (202) 482-3534, and (202) 482-3798 respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"), effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act"). The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting this new

shipper review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act. In addition, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2002).

Background

On June 25, 2002, the Department received a request from Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Co., Ltd. ("Gansu Tongda"), pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(b), to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on non-frozen apple juice concentrate ("NFAJC") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). This order has a June anniversary month.

Initiation of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b), Gansu Tongda certified in its request that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI") (October 1, 1998 through March 31, 1999), that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer who exported the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, and that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC. Gansu Tongda submitted documentation establishing: (i) the date on which its NFAJC was first shipped to the USA; (ii) the volume of that shipment; and (iii) the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on NFAJC from the PRC. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(h)(i), we intend to issue the preliminary results of this review not later than 180 days from the date of publication of this notice. All provisions of 19 CFR 351.214 will apply to Gansu Tongda throughout the duration of this new shipper review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A), the standard period of review in a new shipper review initiated in the month immediately following the anniversary month will be the twelve-month period immediately preceding the anniversary month.

Antidumping Duty Proceeding	Period to be Reviewed
People's Republic of China: Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate, A-570-855: Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Co., Ltd.	06/01/01 through 05/31/02

Concurrent with publication of this notice, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e), we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for

each entry of the merchandise exported by the company listed above, until the completion of the review.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation notice is in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: July 11, 2002.

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-18729 Filed 7-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-583-833]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from Taiwan: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a May 31, 2002, request made by Far Eastern Textile, Ltd., and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd., producers/exporters of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, the Department of Commerce published the initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. covering the period May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. This review has now been rescinded as a result of the withdrawal of the requests for review by Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. and Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 24, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suresh Maniam, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the

“Act”) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce’s (“Department”) regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (2002).

Background

On May 25, 2000, the Department published an antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan. *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Taiwan*, 65 FR 33807. On May 31, 2002, Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. (“FETL”) and Nan Ya (“Nan Ya”) Plastics Corporation, Ltd., producers/exporters of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan covering the period May 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on June 25, 2002. *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocations in Part*, 67 FR 42753. On July 9, 2002, FETL and Nan Ya withdrew their requests for review.

Rescission of Review

The Department’s regulations provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). FETL’s and Nan Ya’s requests for review were withdrawn within the ninety-day deadline. Therefore, we have accepted FETL’s and Nan Ya’s withdrawal of their requests for review.

As a result of the withdrawals of the requests for review and because the Department received no other request for review, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: July 17, 2002

Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-18728 Filed 7-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 071702E]

Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Groundfish Stock Assessment Review (STAR) Panel for yelloweye rockfish will hold a work session which is open to the public.

DATES: The yelloweye rockfish Stock Assessment Review Panel will meet beginning at 11:30 a.m. on Sunday, August 11, 2002. The meeting will continue on August 12, 2002 beginning at 8 a.m. through August 14, 2002. The meetings will end at 5 p.m. each day, or as necessary to complete business.

ADDRESSES: The yelloweye rockfish Stock Assessment Review Panel meeting will be held at the NMFS Northwest Fisheries Science Center, 2725 Montlake Blvd. E, Seattle, WA 98112; telephone: 206-860-3200 August 11 through August 13, 2002 in the Auditorium, and on August 14, 2002 in Room 370 W.

Council address: Pacific Fishery Management Council, 7700 NE Ambassador Place, Suite 200, Portland, OR 97220-1384.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John DeVore, Staff Officer; 503-820-2280; toll-free: 866-806-7204.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the meeting is to review draft stock assessment documents and any other pertinent information, work with the Stock Assessment Team to make necessary revisions, and produce a STAR Panel report for use by the Council family and other interested persons.

Entry to the Northwest Fisheries Science Center requires identification with photograph (such as a student ID, state drivers license, etc.) A security guard will review the identification and