advancement of this principle both in program administration and in program content. Please refer to the review criteria under the "Support for Diversity" section for specific suggestions on incorporating diversity into the total proposal. Public Law 104– 319 provides that "in carrying out programs of educational and cultural exchange in countries whose people do not fully enjoy freedom and democracy," the Bureau "shall take appropriate steps to provide opportunities for participation in such programs to human rights and democracy leaders of such countries." Public Law 106—113 requires that the governments of the countries described above do not have inappropriate influence in the selection process. Proposals should reflect advancement of these goals in their program contents, to the full extent deemed feasible.

# **Review Process**

The Bureau will acknowledge receipt of all proposals and will review them for technical eligibility. Proposals will be deemed ineligible if they do not fully adhere to the guidelines stated herein and in the Solicitation Package. All eligible proposals will be reviewed by the program office, as well as the Public Diplomacy section overseas, where appropriate. Eligible proposals will be subject to compliance with Federal and Bureau regulations and guidelines and forwarded to Bureau grant panels for advisory review. Proposals may also be reviewed by the Office of the Legal Adviser or by other Department elements. Final funding decisions are at the discretion of the Department of State's Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs. Final technical authority for assistance awards grants or cooperative agreements resides with the Bureau's Grants Officer.

#### **Review Criteria**

Technically eligible applications will be competitively reviewed according to the criteria stated below. These criteria are not rank ordered and all carry equal weight in the proposal evaluation:

1. Quality of the program idea: Proposals should exhibit originality, substance, precision, and relevance to the Bureau's mission.

- 2. Program planning: Detailed agenda and relevant work plan should demonstrate substantive undertakings and logistical capacity. Agenda and plan should adhere to the program overview and guidelines described above.
- 3. Ability to achieve program objectives: Objectives should be reasonable, feasible, and flexible. Proposals should clearly demonstrate

how the institution will meet the program's objectives and plan.

- 4. Multiplier effect/impact: Proposed programs should strengthen long-term mutual understanding, including maximum sharing of information and establishment of long-term institutional and individual linkages.
- 5. Support of diversity: Proposals should demonstrate substantive support of the Bureau's policy on diversity. Achievable and relevant features should be cited in both program administration (selection of participants, program venue and program evaluation) and program content (orientation and wrapup sessions, program meetings, resource materials and follow-up activities).
- 6. *Institutional capacity:* Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be adequate and appropriate to achieve the program or project's goals.
- 7. Institutional record/ability:
  Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of successful exchange programs, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by Bureau Grant Staff. The Bureau will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants.
- 8. Follow-on activities: Proposals should provide a plan for continued follow-on activity (without Bureau support) ensuring that Bureau supported programs are not isolated events.
- 9. Project evaluation: Proposals should include a plan to evaluate the activity's success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. A draft survey questionnaire or other technique plus description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives is recommended. Successful applicants will be expected to submit intermediate reports after each project component is concluded or quarterly, whichever is less frequent.
- 10. *Cost-effectiveness:* The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All other items should be necessary and appropriate.
- 11. Cost-sharing: Proposals should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.
- 12. Value to U.S.-partner country relations: Proposed projects should receive positive assessments by the U.S. Department of State's geographic area desk and overseas officers of program

need, potential impact, and significance in the partner country(ies).

# **Authority**

Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87-256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is "to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries \* \* \*; to strengthen the ties which unite us with other nations by demonstrating the educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other nations \* \* \* and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world." The funding authority for the program above is provided through legislation.

#### Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFGP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements.

#### Notification

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures.

Dated: June 13, 2002.

# Rick Ruth,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary, Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 02–15611 Filed 6–19–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4710–05–P** 

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### Office of the Secretary

# Aviation Proceedings, Agreements Filed During the Week Ending June 7, 2002

The following Agreements were filed with the Department of Transportation under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 412 and 414. Answers may be filed within 21 days after the filing of the application.

. Docket Number: OST–2002–12464. Date Filed: June 7, 2002.

Parties: Members of the International Air Transport Association. Subject:

CTC COMP 0392 dated 7 June 2002

(r1-r10), Expedited Composite Resolutions,

Extract of Minutes attached, Intended effective date: 1 September 2002.

# Dorothy Y. Beard,

Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 02-15500 Filed 6-19-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

[Summary Notice No. PE-2002-41]

#### Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petition Received

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of petition for exemption received.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of a petition seeking relief from specified requirements of 14 CFR. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

**DATES:** Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before July 1, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition to the Docket Management System, U.S. Department of Transportation, Room Plaza 401, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590-0001. You must identify the docket number FAA-2000-XXXX at the beginning of your comments. If you wish to receive confirmation that FAA received your comments, include a selfaddressed, stamped postcard.

You may also submit comments through the Internet to http:// dms.dot.gov. You may review the public docket containing the petition, any

comments received, and any final disposition in person in the Dockets Office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The Dockets Office (telephone 1-800-647-5527) is on the plaza level of the NASSIF Building at the Department of Transportation at the above address. Also, you may review public dockets on the Internet at http:/ /dms.dot.gov.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sandy Buchanan-Sumter, (202) 267-7271, Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 14,

#### Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

# **Petitions for Exemption**

Docket No.: FAA-2002-12133. Petitioner: SkyWest Airlines. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 121.463(c).

Description of Relief Sought: To allow SkyWest to permit newly hired dispatchers to accomplish the required 5 hours of dispatcher operating familiarization time in the Canadair Regional Jet (CL-65) to fulfill the dispatcher operating familiarization time requirement for the CL-65 and the Embraer EMB-120 Brasilia aircraft. [FR Doc. 02-15602 Filed 6-19-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

**RTCA Special Committee 172: Future** Air-Ground Communications in the Very High Frequency (VHF) Aeronautical Data Band (118-137 MHz)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of RTCA Special Committee 172 meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The FAA is issuing this notice to advise the public of a meeting of RTCA Special Committee 172: Future Air-Ground Communications in the VHF Aeronautical Data Band (118–137) MHz).

DATES: The meeting will be held July 9-12, 2002 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. each day. ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at RTCA, Inc., 1828 L Street, NW., Suite 805, Washington, DC, 20036.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

RTCA Secretariat, 1828 L Street, SW.,

Washington, DC 20036, telephone (202) 833-9339; fax (202) 833-9434; web site http://www.rtca.org.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant** to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2), notice is hereby given for a Special Committee 172 meeting. The agenda will include:

- Iuly 9:
  - Öpening Plenary Session (Welcome and Introductory Remarks, Review of Agenda, Review Summary of Previous Meeting)
- Resolve DO-224A Minimum **Aviation System Performance** Standards Change 2 for Final Review and Comment (FRAC)
- July 10:
  - Continue work on DO-224A Change 2 FRAC comments
  - Approve DO–224A Change 2
  - Work on DO-271A Mode 3 Minimum Operational Performance Standard FRAC comments
- July 11:
  - Continue work on DO–271A FRAC comments
- July 12:
  - Continue work on DO–271A FRAC comments
  - Approve DO-271A
  - Review Relevant International Activities (EUROCAE WG-47 status and issues, Others as appropriate)
- Closing Plenary Session (Other Business, Date and Place of Next Meeting, Adjourn)

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to space availability. With the approval of the chairmen, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present statements or obtain information should contact the person listed in the for further information **CONTACT** section. Members of the public may present a written statement to the committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 13, 2002.

#### Norman Fujisaki,

Deputy Director, System Architecture and Investment Analysis.

[FR Doc. 02-15526 Filed 6-19-02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# **Federal Aviation Administration**

**RTCA Special Committee 198: Next-Generation Air/Ground** Communications System (NEXCOM)

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.