

SUMMARY: Pursuant to sections 14(b)(1) and 9(c) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), and after consultation with the Committee Management Secretariat, General Services Administration, the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration has determined that a renewal of the Centennial of Flight Commission (Commission) is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon NASA by law. The structure and duties of the Commission remain unchanged.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Sharon Foster, Code I, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/358–1903.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Information regarding the Centennial of Flight Commission is available on the World Wide Web at <http://www.centennialofflight.gov>.

Sylvia K. Kraemer,

*Advisory Committee Management Officer,
National Aeronautics and Space
Administration.*

[FR Doc. 02–1914 Filed 1–24–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–245, 50–336 and 50–423]

Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc.; Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR–21 issued to Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (the licensee) for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, a permanently shutdown nuclear facility located in Waterford, Connecticut, and to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–65 and NPF–49, issued to Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc., for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in Waterford, Connecticut. Therefore, as required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the physical protection (security) related license condition to indicate that the physical security program plans listed may, rather than do, contain safeguards

information; and change the name of the ‘Millstone Nuclear Power Station’ to the ‘Millstone Power Station.’

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee’s application dated August 8, 2001.

The Need for the Proposed Action

Currently, License Condition 2.C.(4) for Units 1 and 2 and License Condition 2.E for Unit 3, identifies the plans which describe the NRC approved program for physical protection of Millstone Units 1, 2, and 3. They are the Millstone Nuclear Power Station Physical Security Plan, the Millstone Nuclear Power Station Suitability, Training, and Qualification Plan, and the Millstone Nuclear Power Station Safeguards Contingency Plan. License Conditions 2.C.(4) and 2.E also indicate that the plans contain safeguards information protected under 10 CFR 73.21. However, Revision 15 to the Millstone Nuclear Power Station Suitability, Training, and Qualification Plan removed safeguards information to allow declassification of the document. The proposed revision to the license conditions would allow declassification of the document. Additionally, the licensee also proposed the deletion of the word “Nuclear” from the title of the physical security program plans listed under the security related license condition and when it is used in the phrase “Millstone Nuclear Power Station” elsewhere in the operating license. This change is purely administrative and does not alter any regulatory requirements or commitments made by the licensee.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the issuance of the proposed amendment will not have an environmental impact. The proposed changes to the licenses are considered editorial or administrative in nature. The licensee does not propose any changes to structures, systems, components, site boundaries or operational practices.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed

action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Millstone Nuclear Power Station.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On December 12, 2001, the staff consulted with the State of Connecticut official, Mr. Michael Firsick of the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee’s letter dated August 8, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Public Electronic Reading Room). Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, or 301–415–4737, or by e-mail at pdr@nrc.gov.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of January 2002.

Stephen Dembek,

*Chief, Section 2, Project Directorate IV,
Division of Licensing Project Management,
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 02-1893 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Draft Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued for public comment a draft of a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. Regulatory Guides are developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

This draft guide, temporarily identified by its task number, DG-1113 (which should be mentioned in all correspondence concerning this draft guide), is "Methods and Assumptions for Evaluating Radiological Consequences of Design Basis Accidents at Light-Water Nuclear Power Reactors." This draft guide is being developed to provide guidance to licensees of operating power reactors on acceptable methods and assumptions for performing evaluations of fission product releases and radiological consequences of several postulated light-water reactor design basis accidents.

This draft guide has not received complete staff approval and does not represent an official NRC staff position.

Comments may be accompanied by relevant information or supporting data. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Comments will be most helpful if received by April 30, 2002.

You may also provide comments via the NRC's interactive rulemaking web site through the NRC homepage, <http://www.nrc.gov>. This site provides the ability to upload comments as files (any format) if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive rulemaking web site, contact

Ms. Carol Gallagher, (301) 415-5905; e-mail CAG@NRC.GOV. For information about the draft guide and the related documents, contact Mr. W.M. Blumberg at (301) 415-1083; e-mail WMB1@NRC.GOV.

Although a time limit is given for comments on this draft guide, comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD; the PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555; telephone (301) 415-4737 or (800)397-4205; fax (301) 415-3548; e-mail PDR@NRC.GOV. Requests for single copies of draft or final guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future draft guides in specific divisions should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section; or by e-mail to DISTRIBUTION@NRC.GOV; or by fax to (301)415-2289. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a)).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of January, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mabel F. Lee,

Director, Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 02-1892 Filed 1-24-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL SERVICE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIMES AND DATES: 10:00 A.M., Monday, February 4, 2002; 8:30 a.m., Tuesday, February 5, 2002.

PLACE: Phoenix, Arizona, at the Biltmore Hotel, 24th Street and Missouri, in the Canyon and Grand Rooms.

STATUS: February 4—10 a.m. (Closed); February 5—8:30 a.m. (Open).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Monday, February 4—10 a.m. (Closed)

1. Financial Performance.
2. Preliminary Annual Performance Plan Target FY 2003.

3. Strategic Planning.
4. Personnel Matters and Compensation Issues.

Tuesday, February 5—8:30 a.m. (Open).

1. Minutes of the Previous Meeting, January 7-8, 2002.
2. Remarks of the Postmaster General and CEO.
3. Appointment of Members to Board Committees.
4. Report on the Western Area and Phoenix Performance Cluster.
5. Tentative Agenda for the March 4-5, 2002, meeting in Washington, DC.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

David G. Hunter, Secretary of the Board, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20260-1000. Telephone (202) 268-4800.

David G. Hunter,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-2014 Filed 1-23-02; 2:01 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 25372; 812-12702]

The Hartford Mutual Funds Inc.; Notice of Application

January 18, 2002.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission").

ACTION: Notice of an application for an order under section 6(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") for an exemption from section 15(f)(1)(A) of the Act.

Summary of Application: Applicants request an order to permit certain registered open-end investment companies advised by HL Investment Advisors, LLC and Hartford Investment Financial Services, LLC (together, the "Hartford Advisers") not to reconstitute their boards of trustees to meet the 75 percent non-interested director requirement of section 15(f)(1)(A) of the Act, following the acquisition of the assets of certain other registered open-end investment companies.

Applicants: The Hartford Mutual Funds, Inc., ("Mutual Funds"), Hartford Series Fund, Inc., ("Series Fund"), Hartford Advisers HLS Fund, Inc., ("Advisers HLS"), Hartford Money Market HLS Fund, Inc., ("Money Market HLS"), Hartford Bond HLS Fund, Inc., ("Bond HLS"), Hartford Index HLS Fund, Inc., ("Index HLS") (collectively, the "Hartford Funds"), and the Hartford Advisers.