

that will increase the likelihood of continued use by agencies. This project supports CDC's Replicating Effective Programs (REP) project. The REP converts the intervention protocols from effective HIV prevention studies into packages (kits) containing manuals, videos, posters, penile models, and other materials needed by HIV prevention providers to implement the particular intervention on their own.

The surveys will be disseminated to staff members of 16 prevention agencies that implemented one of five unique, packaged interventions between 1997 and 2000 as part of CDC's ongoing REP project. One survey will be administered over the telephone to Agency Administrators from the 16 prevention agencies that implemented an intervention packaged by the REP project. Additional surveys will be administered in-person to one

Intervention Supervisor and two Intervention Facilitators at 15 prevention agencies that are continuing to implement the REP-packaged intervention.

The objectives of the surveys include, but are not limited to, (1) Identification of factors associated with maintenance and discontinuation of REP-packaged interventions; (2) determination of why and how agencies adapted the packaged interventions; (3) examination of the impact of elapsed time on maintenance of the intervention and adherence to defined intervention protocols; (4) identification of any differences between the type of agency (i.e., community-based organization, health department) on maintenance and adherence; (5) identification of any difference between the type of original researcher (i.e., academic, non-profit) on maintenance and adherence; and (6)

identification of perceived and actual benefits, as well as "instrumental" and "conceptual" utility, of REP-packaged interventions that can be used in marketing the intervention packages to other HIV prevention providers. Researchers administering the in-person surveys also will assess adherence to defined intervention protocols by observing facilitators delivering the intervention and by recording their observations on a checklist designed for the particular intervention being observed.

Survey questionnaire data will be collected once from each respondent (e.g., Agency Administrator, Intervention Supervisor, Intervention Facilitator). There are no costs to respondents for participation in the survey.

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses/respondent	Avg. burden/response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Agency Administrators .....	16	1	108/60	29
Intervention Supervisors .....	15	1	90/60	23
Intervention Facilitators .....	30	1	105/60	53
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	105

Dated: May 2, 2002.

**Nancy E. Cheal,**

*Acting Associate Director for Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 02-11664 Filed 5-9-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4163-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day-02-51]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404) 498-1210.

*Comments are invited on:* (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Seleda Perryman, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS-D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

*Proposed Project:* Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) Measurement—New—National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Intimate partner violence (IPV) is considered by many to be a serious problem that cuts across cultures, socioeconomic status and gender. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) considers IPV to be a "substantial public health problem for

Americans that has serious consequences and costs for individuals, families, communities and society." The past twenty years have witnessed an extraordinary growth in research on the prevalence, incidence, causes and effects of IPV. Various disciplines have contributed to the development of research on the subject including psychology, epidemiology, criminology and public health.

Still, there is a lack of reliable information on the extent and prevalence of IPV. Estimates vary widely regarding the magnitude of the problem. This variance is due in large part to the different contexts, instruments, and methods that are used to measure IPV. Thus, the CDC is engaged in work to improve the quality of data, and hence knowledge, about violence against women. Part of this process includes identifying the strengths and limitations of different scales used to measure IPV and to determine the appropriateness of each of the scales for use with individuals of different racial/ethnic backgrounds.

The purpose of this project is to administer and test the statistical properties of four scales, via telephone interviews, that measure both victimization from and perpetration of

intimate partner violence (IPV). The scales will be administered to a random sample of women ages 18–50, from five racial/ethnic backgrounds: African-American, American Indian, Asian, Caucasian and Hispanic.

The four scales are: The Sexual Experiences Survey (SES), the Conflict Tactics Scale 2 (CTS2), the Index of

Spouse Abuse (ISA) and the Women's Experience with Battering (WEB) scale. The survey instrument will contain each of these scales and introductory and transitional text developed specifically for this study.

The overall benefit of this project is to increase knowledge about the reliability and validity of these scales, which have

been used in previous studies. Ultimately, this knowledge will assist the CDC in establishing an on-going data collection system for monitoring IPV. The National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (NCIPC) intends to contract with an agency to conduct the survey. There is no cost to respondents.

Survey IPV measurement	Type of respondent	Number of respondents/survey	Number of responses/respondent	Avg. burden/responses in hours	Total burden hours
African-American .....	Female .....	400	1	30/60	200
American Indian .....	Female .....	400	1	30/60	200
Asian .....	Female .....	400	1	30/60	200
Caucasian .....	Female .....	400	1	30/60	200
Hispanic .....	Female .....	400	1	30/60	200
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,000

Dated: May 1, 2002.

**Nancy E. Cheal,**

*Acting Associate Director for Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 02–11665 Filed 5–9–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4163–18–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[60Day–02–49]

#### Proposed Data Collections Submitted for Public Comment and Recommendations

In compliance with the requirement of section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 for opportunity for public comment on proposed data collection projects, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the data collection plans and instruments, call the CDC Reports Clearance Officer on (404)498–1210.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have

practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Send comments to Anne O'Connor, CDC Assistant Reports Clearance Officer, 1600 Clifton Road, MS–D24, Atlanta, GA 30333. Written comments should be received within 60 days of this notice.

*Proposed Project:* 2003 National Health Interview Survey, Basic Module (0920–0214)—Revision—National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The annual National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is a basic source of general statistics on the health of the U.S. population. In accordance with the 1995 initiative to increase the integration of surveys within the Department of Health and Human Services, respondents to the NHIS serve as the sampling frame for the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey. This survey is conducted by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The NHIS has long been used by government, university, and private researchers to evaluate both general health and specific issues, such as cancer, AIDS, and childhood

immunizations. Journalists use its data to inform the general public. It will continue to be a leading source of data for the Congressionally mandated “Health US” and related publications, as well as the single most important source of statistics to track progress toward the National Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Objectives, “Healthy People 2010.”

Because of survey integration and changes in the health and health care of the U.S. population, demands on the NHIS have changed and increased, leading to a major redesign of the annual core questionnaire, or Basic Module, and a shift from paper questionnaires to computer assisted personal interviews (CAPI). These redesigned elements were partially implemented in 1996 and fully implemented in 1997. This clearance is for the seventh full year of data collection using the core questionnaire on CAPI, and for the implementation of supplements on asthma, heart disease, children's mental health, cancer screening, and diabetes. The supplements will help track many of the Health People 2010 objectives. This data collection, planned for January–December 2003, will result in publication of new national estimates of health statistics, release of public use microdata files, and a sampling frame for other integrated surveys. There is no cost to the respondents other than their time.

Respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses/respondent	Avg. burden/response (in hrs.)	Total burden (in hrs.)
Family .....	39,000	1	21/60	13,650
Sample adult .....	32,000	1	42/60	22,400
Sample child .....	13,000	1	15/60	3,250