contributions; (c) public education and outreach; (d) support from state and local governments; and (e) ability to achieve long-term stewardship for restored resources and to generate a community conservation ethic.

(5) Cost-effectiveness and Budget

Justification (20 percent)

Proposals will be evaluated on: (a) the percentage of funds that will be dedicated to all phases of restoration project implementation including physical, on-the-ground restoration compared to the percentage that is for administration and overhead to be used by the partner; (b) the overall leverage of NOAA funds anticipated, including the amount of cash match; (c) the ability to which the partnership and projects selected are likely to catalyze future restoration and protection of living marine resources; and (d) the ability of the applicant organization to demonstrate that a significant benefit will be generated for a reasonable cost. NOAA desires cost sharing to leverage funding and to further encourage partnerships among government, industry, and academia. In order to encourage on-the-ground restoration, if funding for salaries is requested, it must be used to support staff directly involved in overseeing the accomplishment of the restoration work that will take place under the partnership.

### XVI. Other Requirements

The Department of Commerce Pre-Award Notification Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements contained in the Federal Register notice of October 1, 2001 (66 FR 49917), will be applicable to this solicitation. However, please note that the Department of Commerce will not implement the requirements of Executive Order 13202 (66 FR 49921), pursuant to guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget in light of a court opinion which found that the Executive Order was not legally authorized. See Building and Construction Trades Department v. Allbaugh, 172 F. Supp. 2d 138 (D.D.C. 2001). This decision is currently on appeal. When the case has been finally resolved, the Department will provide further information on implementation of Executive Order 13202.

Applications under this program are subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, "Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs."

### Classification

Prior notice and an opportunity for public comment are not required by the Administrative Procedure Act or by any other law for this document concerning grants, benefits, and contracts. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The CRP will determine National Environmental Policy Act compliance on a project by project basis under each funded partnership.

This action has been determined to be not significant for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

The use of the standard NOAA grants application package referred to in this notice involves collection-of-information requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act. The use of Standard Forms 424, 424A, 424B, SF–LLL, and CD–346 have been approved by OMB under the respective control numbers 0348–0043, 0348–0044, 0348–0040. 0348–0046, and 0605–0001.

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person is required to respond to, nor shall any person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with, a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, unless that collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Dated: March 22, 2002.

#### Rebecca Lent,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 02–7511 Filed 3–27–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[I.D. 032502C]

# Western Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting

**SUMMARY:** The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold a meeting of its Bottomfish Plan Team (BPT) in Honolulu, HI.

**DATES:** The meeting of the BPT will be held on April 10 and 11, 2002, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. each day.

ADDRESSES: The BPT will be held at the Council Office Conference Room, Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI 96813; telephone: 808–522–8220.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kitty M. Simonds, Executive Director; telephone: 808–522–8220.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The BPT will meet on April 10 and 11, 2002, at the Council Conference Room to discuss the following agenda items: *Wednesday, April 10, 2002, 8:30 a.m.* 

(1) Introduction

(2) Annual Report review

- a. Review Status of 2000 Annual Report Recommendations
- b. Identify problems and possible solutions for uncompleted recommendations
- c. Review 2001 Annual Report modules and recommendations

American Samoa

Guam

Hawaii

Northern Mariana Island

- d. 2000 Annual Report region-wide recommendations
- (3) Research priorities for Western Pacific Region bottomfish fisheries
  - a. Bottomfish research needs
  - i. American Samoa
  - ii. Guam
  - iii. Hawaii
  - iv. CNMI
- b. Prioritize research needs and recommendations

Thursday, April 11, 2002, 8:30 a.m. (4) Guam offshore bottomfishery development

a. Report on the fishery

- b. Management considerations (5) Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI) Issues
- a. Management under the Clinton Executive Orders that establish the NWHI Coral Reef Reserve
  - b. Sanctuary Designation Process
- c. Pending management actions under the Magnuson-Stevens Act
  - i. New entry criteria for Mau Zone
- ii. Modification to permit renewal and lease charter provisions
- (6) Status of Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Biological Opinion, and Marine Mammal Protection Act requirements
- (7) Monk Seals
- a. Recommendations from the Hawaiian Monk Seal Recovery Team
- b. Review and classification of past monk seal hookings
- (8) Observer and Monitoring Program
- a. NMFS plan for observer coverage
   b. New technology options to monitor
   bottomfish vessels
- c. Vessel Monitoring System and depth sensor technology; and (9) Other Business.

The order in which the agenda items are addressed may change. The BPT will meet as late as necessary to complete scheduled business. Although non-

emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before the BPT for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. Plan Team action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issue arising after publication of this document that requires emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

## **Special Accommodations**

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Kitty M. Simonds, 808–522–8220 (voice) or 808–522–8226 (fax), at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: March 25, 2002.

#### Matteo Milazzo.

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 02–7513 Filed 3–27–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207. TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, April 3, 2002, 2:00 p.m.

**LOCATION:** Room 410, East West Towers, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

**STATUS:** Closed to the Public—Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(f)(1) and 16 CFR 1013.4(b) (3), (7), (9), and (10) and submitted to the **Federal Register** pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Compliance Status Report. The staff will brief the Commission on the status of various compliance matters.

For a recorded message containing the latest agenda information, call (301) 504–0709.

CONTACT PERSON FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: Todd A. Stevenson, Office of the Secretary, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20207 (301) 504–0800.

Dated: March 25, 2002.

## Todd A. Stevenson,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02-7722 Filed 3-26-02; 3:08 pm] BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

### Office of the Secretary

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Consideration will be given to all comments received by April 29, 2002.

Title, Form, and OMB Number: Commissary Evaluation and Utility Surveys—Generic; OMB Number 0704– 0407.

Type of Request: Revision.
Number of Respondents: 50,000.
Responses Per Respondent: 1.
Annual Responses: 50,000.
Average Burden Per Response: 6
minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 5,000. Needs and Uses: The Defense Commissary Agency will conduct a variety of surveys to include, but not limited to customer satisfaction, transaction based comment cards, transaction based telephone interviews, commissary sizing, and patron migration. The information collection will provide customer perceptions, demographics, and will identify agency operations that need quality improvement, provide early detection of process or system problems, and focus attention on areas where customer service and functional training, new construction/renovations, and changes in existing operations that will improve service delivery.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households; Business or Other For-Profit.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.

OMB Desk Officer: Ms. Jackie Zeiher.

Written comments and

recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Ms. Zeiher at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: March 22, 2002.

### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 02-7391 Filed 3-27-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

Office of the Secretary; Preparation of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Airborne Laser Program

**AGENCY:** Missile Defense Agency (MDA), Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Missile Defense Agency is preparing a Supplement to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for the Program Definition and Risk Reduction (PDRR) Phase of the Airborne Laser (ABL) Program (April 1997) and Record of Decision (September 1997). This Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) will analyze proposed ABL Program test activities at Kirtland Air Force Base (KAFB) Holloman Air Force Base (HAFB), and White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), New Mexico; and Edwards Air Force Base (EAFB), Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB), and the Adjacent Point Mugu Naval Air Warfare Center (PMNAWC) Sea Range, California. The SEIS will be prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.), and the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500-1508). The ABL is a laser weapon system installed on a Boeing 747-400F aircraft capable of operating for extended periods of time. Up to two such aircraft would be developed. The ABL weapon system is proposed to include four lasers:

- Active Ranging System (ARS) Laser (a small carbon dioxide laser used to begin tracking a target),
- Track Illuminator Laser (TILL), (a solid state laser used to provide detailed tracking of a target),
- Beacon Illuminator Laser (BILL), (a solid state laser used to measure atmospheric distortion), and
- High-Energy Laser (HEL), (i.e., Chemical Oxygen-Iodine Laser (COIL) a chemical laser used to destroy a target).

An additional laser, a surrogate for the High-Energy Laser (SHEL), will be used during testing in place of the HEL. The SHEL is a low-power solid-state laser that would be used in both ground- and flight-testing. The ABL also would