# PART 100—SAFETY OF LIFE ON NAVIGABLE WATERS

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1233 through 1236; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 100.35.

2. 100.527 is added to read as follows:

# § 100.527 St. Mary's River, St. Mary's City, Maryland.

- (a) Definitions:
- (1) Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Coast Guard Patrol Commander is a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer of the Coast Guard who has been designated by the Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore.
- (2) Official Patrol. The Official Patrol is any vessel assigned or approved by Commander, Coast Guard Activities Baltimore with a commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board and displaying a Coast Guard ensign.
- (3) Regulated Area. The regulated area includes all waters of the St. Mary's River, from shoreline to shoreline, bounded to the south by a line at latitude 38°10′05″ North, and bounded to the north by a line at latitude 38°12′00″ North, All coordinates reference Datum NAD 1983.
  - (b) Special Local Regulations:
- (1) Except for persons or vessels authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area.
- (2) The operator of any vessel in this area shall:
- (i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any Official Patrol, including any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign; and
- (ii) Proceed as directed by any Official Patrol, including any commissioned, warrant, or petty officer on board a vessel displaying a Coast Guard ensign.
- (c) *Effective Dates*. This section is effective annually from 7 a.m. to 4 p.m. on the second Saturday in April.

Dated: March 13, 2002.

#### L. Mizell,

Captain, Coast Guard, Acting Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 02–7233 Filed 3–25–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Coast Guard**

33 CFR Part 117 [CGD07-02-008]

RIN 2115-AE47

## Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Oklawaha River, Marion County, FLa

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

summary: The Coast Guard proposes to change the regulations governing the operation of the Muclan Farms swingbridge across the Oklawaha River, mile 63.9, Marion County, Florida by allowing the span to remain permanently in the closed position. The bridge has not received a request for an opening since 1998. This action should accommodate the needs of the bridgeowner and provide for the reasonable needs of navigation.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before May 28, 2002.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments and related material to Commander (obr), Seventh Coast Guard District, 909 S.E. 1st Ave, Room 406, Miami, FL 33131. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in the preamble as being available in the docket, are part of [CGD07–02–008] and are available for inspection or copying at Commander (obr), Seventh Coast Guard District, 909 S.E. 1st Avenue, Room 406, Miami, FL 33131 between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Barry Dragon, Bridge Branch, 909 S.E. 1st Ave Miami, FL 33130 Coast Guard, telephone number 305–415–6743.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Request for Comments**

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments and related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking [CGD07-02-008], indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. You may submit your comments and material by mail, hand delivery, fax, or electronic means to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES; but please submit your comments and material by only one means. If you submit them by mail or hand delivery, submit them in

an unbound format, no larger than  $8\frac{1}{2}$  by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

#### **Public Meeting**

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

#### **Background and Purpose**

The Muclan Farms swingbridge is located in a rural section of Marion County. The current regulations in 33 CFR 117.319 require the swingbridge to open if 3 hours advance notice is given to the St. Johns River Water Management District. The Water Management District has not received any requests for an opening since 1998. The Water Management District requested the Coast Guard change the current regulation to allow the bridge to remain closed. The Water Management District is currently negotiating a contract to repair the swingbridge. If the swingbridge is allowed to remain closed, moveable parts may not need to be repaired and the repair costs will decrease. There are obstructions in the waterway and the waterway is not being maintained for navigation.

#### **Discussion of Proposed Rule**

The Coast Guard proposes to create a permanent rule allowing the Muclan Farms swingbridge to permanently remain closed. The reference to the Muclan Farms swingbridge in the current regulation at 33 CFR 117.319(a) would be deleted. A new subparagraph at 33 CFR 117.39(c) would be created for the Muclan Farms swingbridge regulation. We will reconsider this proposed rule if navigation on the River resumes.

## **Regulatory Evaluation**

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. It is not

"significant" under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT)(44 FR 11040, February 26, 1979). We expect the economic impact of this proposed rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary because there have been no bridge openings since 1998.

#### **Small Entities**

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this proposed rule would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000.

The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because there have been no bridge openings since 1998. If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment to the Docket Management Facility at the address under ADDRESSES. In your comment, explain why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

# **Assistance for Small Entities**

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this proposed rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions, please consult the person listed under: FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

#### Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

### Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on State or local governments and would either preempt State law or impose a substantial direct cost of compliance on them. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

#### **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act**

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires federal agencies to assess the effects of their regulatory actions not specifically required by law. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule would not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

### **Taking of Private Property**

This proposed rule would not effect a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

#### **Civil Justice Reform**

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

## **Protection of Children**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

## Environment

We have considered the environmental impact of this proposed rule and concluded that, under figure 2–1, paragraph (32)(e), of Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

#### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

## **Energy Effects**

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations that Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

## List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

# PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); Section 117.255 also issued under authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 Stat. 5039.

2. In § 117.319, revise paragraph (a) and add paragraph (c) to read as follows:

#### §117.319 Oklawaha River.

(a) The draw of the Sharpes Ferry (SR 40) bridge, mile 55.1, shall open on signal if at least three hours notice is given.

(b) \* \* \*

(c) The draw of the Muclan Farms bridge, mile 63.9, need not open for the passage of vessels.

Dated: March 11, 2002.

## John E. Crowley, Jr.,

Captain, Coast Guard, Acting Commander Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 02-7229 Filed 3-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P