Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street, NE, Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208–1371. The application may be viewed on the web at www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm (Call (202) 208–2222 for assistance). A copy is also available for inspection and reproduction at the address in item g above.

l. Individuals desiring to be included on the Commission's mailing list should so indicate by writing to the Secretary of the Commission.

m. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS". "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative

n. Filing and Service of Responsive

o. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

of the Applicant specified in the

particular application.

### David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-2845 Filed 2-1-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6615-1]

# **Environmental Impact Statements;** Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa.

Weekly Receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed January 22, 2001 Through January 26, 2001, Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 010027, FINAL EIS, FRC, AL, FL, MS, Gulfstream Natural Gas System Project, Construction and Operation, To Provide Natural Gas Transportation Service, AL, MS and FL, Due: March 05, 2001, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208–1611.

EIS No. 010028, DRAFT EIS, FHW, IL, Illinois Route 3 (FAP–14) Relocation, Improved Transportation from Sauget to Venice, Funding, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Madison and St. Clair Counties, IL, Due: March 19, 2001, Contact: Ronald C. Marshall (217) 492–4640.

Amended Notices:

EIS No. 000447, DRAFT EIS, HUD, NY, City of Yonkers Construction of a 524 Units of Mixed-Income Housing at 1105–11354 Warburton Avenue, River Club Apartment Complex, City of Yonkers, Westchester County, NY, February 05, 2001, Published FR 12–22–00—This draft statement was inadvertently filed with EPA on 12–11–00. The correct NOA was published in the 12–01–00 FR, CEQ #000410, Filed 11/22/01, the correct date comments are due back to the preparing agency is 01/30/01.

Dated: January 30, 2001.

### Joseph C. Montgomery,

 $\label{linear_problem} \textit{Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office} \\ \textit{of Federal Activities.}$ 

[FR Doc. 01–2880 Filed 2–1–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6614-9]

# Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 OR www.epa.gov/oeca/ofa. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed January 16, 2001 Through January 19, 2001 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

**Note:** This notice of availability of EPA EISs filed during the week of January 16,

2001 through January 19, 2001 which should have appeared in the January 26, 2001 **Federal Register** is appearing in the February 2, 2001 **Federal Register**. The 45-day review period and 30-day wait periods will be calculated from January 26, 2001.

EIS No. 010019, DRAFT EIS, EDA, CT, Adrian's Landing Project, Development from Columbus Boulevard south of the Founders Bridge and Riverfront Plaza, City of Hartford, CT, Due: March 12, 2001, Contact: Dennis Johnson (860) 522– 4888.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001.

EIS No. 010020, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, EPA, MO, Lower Meramec Basin Wastewater Management Plan, Proposed New Regional Wastewater Treatment Plan and Associated Facilities, St. Louis and Jefferson Counties, MO, Due: March 12, 2001, Contact: Joe Cothern (913) 551–7148.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001. EIS No. 010021, DRAFT EIS, IBR, SD, Angostura Unit—(Dam, Reservoir and Irrigation Facilities) Renewal of a Long-Term Water Service Contract, Chayanna Piyar Basin, Pina Pidga

Cheyenne River Basin, Pine Ridge Reservation, Bismarck County, SD, Due: April 27, 2001, Contact: Kenneth Parr (605) 394–9751.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001. EIS No. 010022, FINAL EIS, FHW, MN, TH–23 Reconstruction, MN–TH–22 in Richmond extending through the Cities of Richmond, Cold Spring and

Cities of Richmond, Cold Spring and Rockville to I–94, Funding, Stearns County, MN, Due: February 26, 2001, Contact: Cheryl Martin (651) 291–6120.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 30-day Wait Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001.

EIS No. 010023, FOURTH DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, NOA, AK, Groundfish Fishery Management Plan, Implementation, Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, AK, Due: April 26, 2001, Contact: James W. Balsiger (907) 586–7221.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 02/26/2001.

EIS No. 010024, DRAFT EIS, FAA, CA, Los Angeles International Airports, Proposed Master Plan Improvements on Runway, New Taxiways, New Terminal, New Air Cargo and Maintenance, Funding, Los Angeles, Los Angeles County, CA, Due: July 25, 2001, Contact: David B. Kessler (310) 725–3615.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001. EIS No. 010025, DRAFT EIS, FHW, MI,

M–24 Reconstruction Project, From One Mile North of the Oakland County Line to I–69, Funding, Lapeer County, MI, Due: March 12, 2001, Contact: James A. Kirschensteiner (517) 377–1880.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 45-day Review Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001.

EIS No. 010026, FINAL EIS, USN, Surveillance Towed Array Sensor System (SURTASS) Low Frequency Active (LFA), To Improved Capability to Detect Quiter and Harder-to-Find Foreign Submarines, Implementation, Due: February 26, 2001, Contact: J. S. Johnson (703) 601–1687.

This EIS should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001. The 30-day Wait Period is Calculated from 01/26/2001.

#### Amended Notices

EIS No. 010003, DRAFT EIS, NOA, HI, GU, AS, Coral Reef Ecosystems of the Western Pacific Region, Fishery Management Plan, Including Amendments to Four Existing (FMPs), Amendment 7—Bottomfish and Seamount Groundfish Fisheries, Amendment 11—Crustaceans Fisheries; Amendment 5—Precious Corals Fisheries and Amendment 10—Pelagics Fisheries, HI, GU and AS, Due: February 26, 2001, Contact: Charles Karnella (808) 673–2937.

Revision of FR notice published on 01/12/2001: Contact Person's Phone Number Changed from 202–482–5916 to 808–673–2937. This amended notice should have appeared in the FR on 01/26/2001.

Dated: January 30, 2001.

### Joseph C. Montgomery,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 01–2881 Filed 2–1–01; 8:45 am]

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6615-2]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

#### **Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-L65370-OR Rating LO, South Bend Weigh and Safety Station Establishment, Special Use Permit for Construction, Maintenance and Operation, Deschutes National Forest Lands along US 97 near the Newberry National Volcanic Monument, Deschutes County, OR.

Summary: EPA expressed a lack of objections with the proposed project. However, EPA recommended that the cumulative effects analyses on Mule Deer be revised including additional information to support conclusions presented in the EIS.

ERP No. D–BLM–G65077–NM Rating LO, Santo Domingo Pueblo and Bureau of Land Management Proposed Land Exchange Project, Sandoval and Santa Fe Counties, NM.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative and was pleased with the inclusion of conservation easements.

ERP No. D–IBR–L28008–ID Rating EO2, Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation, Construction and Operation, To Remove 10 Lower Level Ensign Valves and Replace with 10 Clamshell Gates, Boise River, City of Boise, ID.

Summary: EPA expressed objections because all alternatives would likely result in violations of Idaho water quality standards (WQS), including the exceedance of the Total Maximum Daily Load allocation of sediment, and endanger threatened bull trout populations that overwinter in Arrowrock Reservoir. EPA recommends that the Bureau examine additional alternatives and mitigation measures to avoid or minimize impacts to water quality and bull trout and that the EIS compare results of numerical modeling for water quality to WQS thresholds, include additional information on the effects to bull trout, and contain a more comprehensive monitoring plan.

ERP No. DS-FHW-J40149-CO Rating EC2, Colorado Forest Highway 80, Guanella Pass Road (also known as Park County Road 62, Clear Creek County Road 381 and Forest Development Road 118), Additional Alternative includes Rehabilitation, Light Reconstruction and

Full Construction, Funding, Clear Creek and Park Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA continues to express concerns regarding project impacts to wetlands, water quality and wildlife.

ERP No. DS-NOA-B91025-00 Rating LO, Federal Lobster Management in the Exclusive Economic Service, Implementation, American Lobster Fishery Management Plan, NY, NH and MA.

Summary: EPA had no objections to the project.

#### Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-A65168-00, Forest Service Roadless Area Conservation, Implementation, Proposal to Protect Roadless Areas.

Summary: While the final EIS generally addressed EPA's major concerns, EPA did suggest that the Record of Decision outline the details of the Tongass transition regarding duration of timber sale contracts pursuant to 36 CFR 223.31.

ERP No. F–AFS–J60020–00 Yellowstone Pipeline Proposed Changes to Existing Pipeline between Thompson Fall and Kingston, Sanders County, MT and Shoshone County, ID.

Summary: EPA supports the Forest Service's efforts to reduce the risk of future pipeline exposoures, and conflicts between maintenance and repair of the pipeline and stream protection goals. EPA also suggests that additional recommended mitigation measures shown in Appendix E (FEIS) be included in final preferred alternative selected in the Record of Decision, to further reduce the potential for petroleum releases.

ERP No. F-AFS-J65326-MT, Ashland Post-Fire Project, Proposal to Implement Restoration Activities to Maintain Watershed, Custer National Forest, Powder River and Rosebud Counties, MT.

Summary: EPA supports the purposed project to stabilize soils and maintain watershed function by minimizing soil erosion and maintaining soil productivity, stream function and water quality. EPA continues to express concerns with erosion and sediment production from timber harvest activities.

ERP No. F–BLM–A99217–00, Programmatic EIS—Surface Management Regulations for Locatable Mineral Operation, (43 CFR 3809), Public Land.

Summary: While the FEIS did address EPA's concerns with performance standards, bonding, reclamation provisions and "unnecessary or undue degradation" EPA continues to express