

the agenda for the open Parole Commission meeting:

1. Approval of minutes of Previous Commission Meeting.

2. Reports from the Chairman, Commissioners, Legal, Chief of Staff, Case Operations, and Administrative Sections.

3. Approval of policy to apply 28 CFR § 2.100 to combine initial hearings for DC prisoners with dispositional revocation hearings.

AGENCY CONTACT: Sam Robertson, Case Operations, United States Parole Commission, (301) 492-5962.

Dated: November 30, 2001.

Rockne Chickinell,

General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission.

[FR Doc. 01-30242 Filed 12-3-01; 11:12 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-31-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,593 and NAFTA-04454]

Innovative Home Products, Inc. Birmingham, Michigan; Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Innovative Home Products, Inc., Birmingham, Michigan. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-38,593 and NAFTA-04454; Innovative Home Products, Inc., Birmingham, Michigan, (November 27, 2001)

Signed at Washington, DC this 27th day of November, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-30070 Filed 12-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the

Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) issued during the period of November, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or sub-division have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-39,242; *Osram Sylvania Products, Inc., Glass Technologies Div., Wellsboro, PA*

TA-W-39,609; *Valeo Engine Cooling, Inc., Jamestown, NY*

TA-W-39,711; *L & N Metallurgical Products Co., Ellwood City, PA*

TA-W-39,762; *Edinboro Molding, Inc., Edinboro, PA*

TA-W-40,179; *Ruppe Hosiery, Inc., Kings Mountain, NC*

TA-W-40,113; *Kings Mountain Hosiery Mill, Inc., Kings Mountain, NC*

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-40,055; *GFC Fabricating, LLC, Berwick, PA*

TA-W-39,273; *United States Steel LLC, Fairless Hills, PA*

All workers of United States Steel LLC, Fairless Hills, PA engaged in employment related to the production of tin mill products are denied.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company

name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

TA-W-39,071; *H.H. Fessler Knitting Co., Crown-Globe Div., Shoemakersville, PA: April 6, 2000.*

TA-W-39,633; *Gamco Manufacturing Co., Inc., Jamestown, TN: June 29, 2000.*

TA-W-39,902; *Suncook Trim Corp., Allentown, NH: August 16, 2000.*

TA-W-40,203; *Hamrick's, Inc., St. Matthews Plant, St. Matthews, SC: September 27, 2000.*

TA-W-40,231; *Weiser Lock, Tucson, AZ: December 29, 2001.*

TA-W-40,189; *Philadelphia Glass Bending Co., Philadelphia, PA: September 10, 2001*

TA-W-39,788; *Lancer Partnership, Ltd., Screw Machine Department, San Antonio, TX: July 31, 2000.*

Also, pursuant to Title V of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182) concerning transitional adjustment assistance hereinafter called (NAFTA-TAA) and in accordance with section 250(a), subchapter D, chapter 2, title II, of the Trade Act as amended, the Department of Labor presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA issued during the month of November, 2001.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for NAFTA-TAA the following group eligibility requirements of section 250 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, (including workers in any agricultural firm or appropriate subdivision thereof) have become totally or partially separated from employment and either—

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely,

(3) That imports from Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have increased, and that the increases imports contributed importantly to such workers' separations or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

(4) That there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to Mexico or Canada of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by the firm or subdivision.

Negative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criteria (3) and (4) were not met. Imports from Canada or Mexico did not contribute importantly to workers' separations. There was no shift in production from the subject firm to Canada or Mexico during the relevant period.

NAFTA-TAA-05183; *Cognis Corp., Lock Haven, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05448; *Kings Mountain Hosiery Mills, Inc., Kings Mountain, NC*

NAFTA-TAA-05102; *General Mills, Carlisle, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05316; *GFC Fabricating, LLC, Berwick, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05202; *General Cable Corp., Montoursville, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05229; *Edinboro Molding, Inc., Edinboro, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-05161; *Greenbrier Leasing Corp., Gunderson, Inc., Lake Oswego, OR*

NAFTA-TAA-05106; *L.E. Smith Glass Co., Mount Pleasant, PA*

NAFTA-TAA-04684; *Crane Pumps and Systems, Piqua, OH*

NAFTA-TAA-05158; *Valeo Engine Cooling, Inc., Jamestown, NY*

NAFTA-TAA-04750; *H.H. Fessler Knitting Co., Crown-Globe Div., Shoemakersville, PA*

Affirmative Determinations NAFTA-TAA

NAFTA-TAA-05296; *Parker Hannifin Corp., Integrated Hydraulics Div., Lincolnshire, IL: August 17, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04840; *Osram Sylvania Products, Inc., Glass Technologies Div., Wellsboro, PA: May 1, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05057; *Gamco Manufacturing Co., Inc., Jamestown, TN: July 9, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05447; *VF Imagewear (West), Inc., Mathiston, MS: October 16, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-04636; *Freightliner LLC, Truck Manufacturing Plant, Portland, OR: March 9, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05383; *Hamrick's, Inc., St. Matthews Plant, St. Matthews, SC: September 27, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05329; *Emerson Process Management, Regulator Div., McKinney, TX: September 11, 2000.*

NAFTA-TAA-05442; *Weiser Lock, Tucson, AZ: December 29, 2001.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the month of November, 2001. Copies of these determinations are available for inspection in Room C-5311, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210 during normal business hours

or will be mailed to persons who write to the above address.

Dated: November 26, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-30055 Filed 12-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-39,029]

Atofina Chemicals, Inc. Including Contract Workers of Washore Mechanical and Blessing Electric, Portland, Oregon; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 19, 2001, applicable to workers of Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on July 5, 2001 (66 FR 35463).

At the request of the State agency, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. Information provided by the State and the company shows that employees of Washore Mechanical and Blessing Electric were employed by Atofina Chemicals, Inc. to repair chlorine and chlorate cells, perform pipe maintenance and installation duties and maintain and install high voltage electric systems necessary to produce chloralkali chemicals at the Portland, Oregon location of the subject firm.

Worker separations occurred at Washore Mechanical and Blessing Electric as a result of worker separations at Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending the certification to include workers of Washore Mechanical and Blessing Electric employed at Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-39-029 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon and all workers of Washore

Mechanical and Blessing Electric, Portland, Oregon engaged in activities related to the production of chloralkali chemicals at Atofina Chemicals, Inc., Portland, Oregon, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 4, 2000, through June 19, 2003, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC this 13th day of November, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-30061 Filed 12-4-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-38,900]

Borg Warner Air/Fluid Systems Corporation, Water Quality Valley, MS; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On October 29, 2001, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The notice will soon be published in the **Federal Register**.

The Department initially denied TAA to workers of Borg Warner Air/Fluid Systems Corporation, Water Valley, Mississippi based on criterion (2) of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, not being met. The workers at the subject firm were engaged in employment related to the production of transmission control solenoids, transmission control modules, throttle bodies, and air suspension control solenoids.

The petitioner indicated that the Department of Labor reviewed the wrong sales and production period. The petitioner also indicated that the layoffs pertaining to the original investigation were the direct result of anticipated reduced orders from the subject firm's major customer. The petitioner further indicated that increased imports of automobiles reduced the demand for the customers' products and in turn the customer reduced their purchases from the subject plant.

The Department, upon the request of the petitioner, acquired additional subject plant sales and production data for an additional portion of the relevant period. That data were not available during the initial investigation. The additional data obtained from the