raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F.Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). As shown by these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: November 27, 2001.

Rick Prausa,

Lewis and Clark Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 01–29841 Filed 11–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a request from South Texas Electric Cooperative (STEC) for assistance from RUS to finance the construction and operation of a 177 MW combined-cycle combustion turbine generation facility in Victoria County, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis E. Rankin, Environmental Protection Specialist, RUS, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–1571, telephone: (202) 720–1953 or e-mail: drankin@rus.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: STEC is proposing to construct a combined-cycle combustion turbine generation plant at the existing Sam Rayburn Plant which is located in Nursery, Texas. The 177 MW capacity project would consist of three combustion turbine units connected to a single combined-cycle unit. Approximately 5.5 acres of the existing site will be needed for the proposed project. The existing plant infrastructure will be utilized for this new generation addition including existing gas lines, cooling water ponds and switchyard.

Copies of the Environmental Assessment and FONSI are available for review at, or can be obtained from, RUS at the address provided herein, or from Mr. John Packard, STEC, FM 447, Nursery, Texas 77976, telephone: (361) 575–6491.

Dated: November 26, 2001.

Blaine D. Stockton,

Assistant Administrator, Electric Program, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 01-29877 Filed 11-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 351.213 (2001) of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations, that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity To Request a Review

Not later than the last day of December 2001, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in December for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Brazil:	
Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–351–602 Silicomanganese, A–351–824 Chile: Certain Preserved Mushrooms,A–337–804 India: Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A–533–808	12/1/00-11/30/01
Silicomanganese, A-351-824	12/1/00-11/30/01
Chile: Certain Preserved Mushrooms, A-337-804	12/1/00-11/30/01
India: Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A-533-808	12/1/00-11/30/01
Japan:	
Drafting Machines and Parts Thereof, A–588–811 Polychloroprene Rubber, A–588–046 P.C. Steel Wire Strand, A–588–068 Vector Supercomputers, A–588–841 Mexico: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware, A–201–504	12/1/00-11/30/01
Polychloroprene Rubber, A-588-046	12/1/00-11/30/01
P.C. Steel Wire Strand, A-588-068	12/1/00–11/30/01
Vector Supercomputers, A-588-841 1	10/1/00-9/30/01
Mexico: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware, A-201-504	12/1/00-11/30/01
Republic of Korea: Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A-580-810	12/1/00-11/30/01
Taiwan:	
Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A-583-605	12/1/00-11/30/01
Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware, A-583-508	12/1/00-11/30/01
Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A-583-815	12/1/00–11/30/01

	Period
The People's Republic of China: Cased Pencils, A–570–827	12/1/00–11/30/01
Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware, A-570-506 Silicomanganese, A-570-828	12/1/00–11/30/01 12/1/00–11/30/01
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
None	
Suspension Agreements	
None	

¹On October 1, 2001 (66 FR 49923) this order was inadvertently listed in the opportunity notice for October cases. This case has been revoked and the effective date of the revocation is 1/1/2000.

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/ Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(l)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of December 2001. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of December 2001, a request for

review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: November 27, 2001.

Holly A. Kuga,

Senior Office Director, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–29895 Filed 11–30–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 3510-DS-P$

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of five-year ("sunset") reviews.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), the Department of Commerce ("the Department") is automatically initiating a five-year ("sunset") review of the suspended antidumping investigation listed below. The International Trade Commission ("the Commission") is publishing concurrently with this notice its notice of Institution of Five-Year Review covering this same suspended investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Martha V. Douthit, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, at (202) 482–5050, or Vera Libeau, Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, at (202) 205–3176.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001). Pursuant to sections 751(c) and 752 of the Act, an antidumping ("AD") or countervailing duty ("CVD") order will be revoked, or the suspended investigation will be terminated, unless revocation or termination would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of (1) dumping or a countervailable subsidy, and (2) material injury to the domestic

The Department's procedures for the conduct of sunset reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of sunset reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98:3—Policies Regarding the Conduct of Fiveyear ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders; Policy Bulletin, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998) ("Sunset Policy Bulletin").

Background

Initiation of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.218 we are initiating a sunset review of the following suspended investigation: