

*Effective date:* As of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 6 months of the date of issuance.

*Amendment Nos.:* Unit 1—133; Unit 2—122.

*Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-76 and NPF-80:* The amendments revised the Technical Specifications.

*Date of initial notice in **Federal Register**:* The Commission's related evaluation of the amendments is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated November 7, 2001.

*No significant hazards consideration comments received:* No.

**Note:** The publication date for this notice will change from every other Wednesday to every other Tuesday, effective January 8, 2002. The notice will contain the same information and will continue to be published biweekly.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of November 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Elinor G. Adensam,**

*Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 01-29446 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Draft Regulatory Guide and Draft Standard Review Plan; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued for public comment a draft of a regulatory guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the NRC's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

The draft guide, temporarily identified as DG-1085 (which should be mentioned in all correspondence concerning this draft guide), is "Standard Format and Content of Decommissioning Cost Estimates for Nuclear Power Reactors." DG-1085 is being developed to provide guidance to licensees on the various cost estimates that are required for different stages and methods of decommissioning nuclear power reactors.

A conforming document, Draft NUREG-1713, "Standard Review Plan for Decommissioning Cost Estimates for Nuclear Power Reactors," is also being issued for public comment. The NRC

staff plans to use Draft NUREG-1713 in their review of licensees' cost estimates for decommissioning that are submitted to the NRC.

The NRC staff is soliciting comments on these draft documents and will incorporate appropriate changes to these documents based on the comments received.

This draft guide and draft standard review plan have not received complete staff approval and do not represent an official NRC staff position.

Comments may be accompanied by relevant information or supporting data. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules and Directives Branch, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. Copies of comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. Comments will be most helpful if received by January 30, 2002.

You may also provide comments via the NRC's interactive rulemaking web site through the NRC home page (<http://www.nrc.gov>). This site provides the ability to upload comments as files (any format) if your web browser supports that function. For information about the interactive rulemaking web site, contact Ms. Carol Gallagher, (301) 415-5905; e-mail [CAG@NRC.GOV](mailto:CAG@NRC.GOV). For information about the draft guide and the related standard review plan, contact Mr. W. Mike Ripley at (301) 415-1112; e-mail [WMR@NRC.GOV](mailto:WMR@NRC.GOV).

Although a time limit is given for comments on these drafts, comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time.

Electronic copies of these drafts are available through NRC's interactive rulemaking web site (see above) and from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC's web site (the Electronic Reading Room), <http://www.nrc.gov>. These drafts are available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD; the PDR's mailing address is USNRC PDR, Washington, DC 20555; telephone (301) 415-4737 or (800) 397-4205; fax (301) 415-3548; email [PDR@NRC.GOV](mailto:PDR@NRC.GOV). Requests for single copies of draft or final guides or standard review plans (which may be reproduced), or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future draft guides in specific divisions, should be made in writing to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Reproduction and Distribution Services Section; or by e-

mail to [DISTRIBUTION@NRC.GOV](mailto:DISTRIBUTION@NRC.GOV); or by fax to (301) 415-2289. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them. (5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of November, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Mabel F. Lee,**

*Director, Program Management, Policy Development and Analysis Staff, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.*

[FR Doc. 01-29445 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### Agreement on Social Security Between the United States and Chile; Entry Into Force

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commissioner of Social Security gives notice that an agreement coordinating the United States (U.S.) and Chilean social security programs will enter into force on December 1, 2001. The agreement with Chile, which was signed on February 16, 2000, is similar to U.S. social security agreements already in force with 18 other countries—Austria, Belgium, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Korea (South), Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. Agreements of this type are authorized by section 233 of the Social Security Act.

Like the other agreements, the U.S.-Chilean agreement eliminates dual social security coverage—the situation that exists when a worker from one country works in the other country and is covered under the social security systems of both countries for the same work. When dual coverage occurs, the worker or the worker's employer or both may be required to pay social security contributions to the two countries simultaneously. Under the U.S.-Chilean agreement, a worker who is sent by an employer in one country to work in the other country for 5 years or less remains covered only by the sending country. The agreement includes additional rules that eliminate dual U.S. and Chilean coverage in other work situations.

The agreement also helps eliminate situations where workers suffer a loss of benefit rights because they have divided their careers between the two countries. Under the agreement, workers may qualify for partial U.S. benefits or partial

Chilean benefits based on combined (totalized) work credits from both countries.

Individuals who wish to obtain copies of the agreement or want more information about its provisions may write to the Social Security Administration, Office of International Programs, Post Office Box 17741, Baltimore, MD 21235-7741 or visit the Social Security Web site at [www.ssa.gov/international](http://www.ssa.gov/international).

Dated: November 19, 2001.

**JoAnne B. Barnhart,**

*Commissioner of Social Security.*

[FR Doc. 01-29562 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Transit Administration

[FTA Docket No. FTA-2001-11040]

#### Agency Information Collection Activity Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for extension of the currently approved information collection. The **Federal Register** Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments was published on August 10, 2001.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted before December 28, 2001. A comment to OMB is most effective if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sylvia L. Marion, Office of Administration, Office of Management Planning, (202) 366-6680.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* 49 U.S.C. Sections 5309 and 5307 Capital Assistance Programs (OMB Number: 2132-0543).

*Abstract:* 49 U.S.C. Sections 5309 Capital Program and Section 5307 Urbanized Area Formula Program authorize the Secretary of Transportation to make grants to State and local governments and public transportation authorities for financing mass transportation projects. Grant recipients are required to make information available to the public and to publish a program of projects for

affected citizens to comment on the proposed program and performance of the grant recipients at public hearings. Notices of hearings must include a brief description of the proposed project and be published in a newspaper circulated in the affected area. FTA also uses the information to determine eligibility for funding and to monitor the grantees' progress in implementing and completing project activities. The information submitted ensures FTA's compliance with applicable federal laws and OMB Circular A-102.

*Estimated Annual Burden on Respondents:* 54 hours for each of the 3,675 respondents.

**ADDRESSES:** All written comments must refer to the docket number that appears at the top of this document and be submitted to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725-17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503, Attention: FTA Desk Officer.

*Comments Are Invited On:* Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Department, including whether the information will have practical utility; the accuracy of the Department's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collection; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways to minimize the collection burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Issued: November 21, 2001.

**Dorrie Y. Aldrich,**

*Associate Administrator for Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-29516 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-57-M

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 01-10257; Notice 2]

#### Aprilia, S.p.A.; American Honda Motor Co., Inc.; Grant of Applications for Temporary Exemption and Request for Extension of Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 123

This notice grants the applications by Aprilia S.p.A. of Noale, Italy, and by American Honda Motor Co. of Torrance, California ("Honda"), for a temporary exemption of two years, from a requirement of S5.2.1 (Table 1) of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard

No. 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays*. This notice also grants Aprilia's request for an extension of NHTSA Temporary Exemption No. EX99-9 from the same requirement. Both Aprilia and Honda assert that "compliance with the standard would prevent the manufacturer from selling a motor vehicle with an overall level of safety at least equal to the overall safety level of nonexempt vehicles," 49 U.S.C. Sec. 30113(b)(3)(iv).

Notice of receipt of Aprilia's application for a temporary exemption of its Habana 150 model was published in the **Federal Register** on August 1, 2001, and an opportunity afforded for comment (66 FR 39825). Because the safety issues raised by the Honda petition and Aprilia extension request are identical to those raised by Aprilia's Habana 150 petition, and given the recent opportunity for public comment, we have concluded that a further opportunity to comment on the same issues is not likely to result in any substantive submissions, and that we may proceed to decisions on the Honda petition and Aprilia extension request. See our similar decision on Aprilia's previous request for an extension of NHTSA Temporary Exemption No. EX99-9 (65 FR 1225). See also our decisions on applications by Dan Hill & Associates and Red River Manufacturing, Inc., for temporary exemptions from Standard No. 224 (66 FR 20028).

#### The Reason Why Aprilia and Honda Need a Temporary Exemption

The problem is one that is common to the two Aprilia motorcycles and the one Honda motorcycle covered by the applications. If a motorcycle is produced with rear wheel brakes, S5.2.1 of Standard No. 123 requires that the brakes be operable through the right foot control, although the left handlebar is permissible for motor driven cycles (Item 11, Table 1). Aprilia petitioned to use the left handlebar as the control for the rear brakes of its Habana 150 motorcycle, whose 150 cc engine produces more than the 5 hp maximum that separates motor driven cycles from motorcycles. According to Aprilia, the Habana frame has not been designed to mount a right foot operated brake pedal (i.e., a scooter-type vehicle provides a platform for the feet and operates only through hand controls). Applying considerable stress to this sensitive pressure point of the frame could cause failure due to fatigue unless proper design and testing procedures are performed. The Habana 150 is described as a retro-style cruiser scooter, as contrasted with the Aprilia Leonardo