

specifically address the protocols to be used for any project disturbing historically eligible segments of Line No. 1007 and that such protocols would include the documentation, photography, and any research that will record the historical aspects of Line No. 1007. Based upon the circumstances surrounding the instant project (*i.e.*, lack of any other regulatory options and having an active natural gas pipeline operating in the construction zone), El Paso states that it is seeking case-specific Section 7 authorization under the NGA.

El Paso states that the cost of abandonment by removal, relocation and replacement of facilities is approximately \$277,000. El Paso states it will continue to charge its existing Part 284 rates for transportation and will not propose to collect the cost of the relocation, replacement and abandonment of a segment of Line No. 1007 until El Paso files its next general system-wide rate filing scheduled for January 1, 2006.

Any questions regarding this application should be directed to Robert T. Tomlinson, Director, Regulatory Affairs Department, El Paso Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colorado 80944, at (719) 520-3788.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before December 12, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commenters will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a

final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29573 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-176-001]

Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline LP; Notice of Amendment

November 21, 2001.

Take notice that on October 11, 2001, Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline LP (GSX-US), 295 Chipeta Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, filed in Docket No. CP01-176-001, an amendment to its April 24, 2001 application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity filed in Docket No. CP01-176-000. With this amendment, GSX-US is requesting authorization to construct and operate a new interstate natural gas transmission system consisting of approximately 47 miles of pipeline, the Cherry Point Compressor Station and other related facilities in the state of Washington, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket #" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

GSX-US states that it has amended its original application to reflect: (i) Minor route variations that add about 1/2 mile of pipeline to the project, along with the relocation/resizing of the site for the proposed Cherry Point Compressor Station; (ii) selection of a more efficient compressor package that will result in increased system design capacity and lowered recourse reservation rates; and (iii) the relocation of an onshore delivery tap and addition of a offshore delivery tap to facilitate potential future delivery interconnects.

Any questions concerning this application may be directed to Gary Kotter, Manager, Certificates, GSX Pipeline, L.L.C., P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158, call (801) 584-7117.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party

to the proceedings for this project should, on or before December 12, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Comments and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29571 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL02-24-000]

Mid-Tex G&T Electric Cooperative, Inc., Big Country Electric Cooperative, Inc., Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., Coleman County Electric Cooperative, Inc., Concho Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc., Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc., Rio Grande Electric Cooperative, Inc., Southwest Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Taylor Electric Cooperative, Inc., Complainants, v. West Texas Utilities Company, Respondent; Notice of Complaint

November 21, 2001.

Take notice that on November 20, 2001, Mid-Tex G&T Electric Cooperative, Inc., Big Country Electric Cooperative, Inc., Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc., Coleman County Electric Cooperative, Inc., Concho Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc., Golden Spread Electric Cooperative, Inc., Lighthouse Electric Cooperative, Inc., Rio Grande Electric Cooperative, Inc., Southwest Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc., and Taylor Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a Complaint against West Texas Utilities Company (WTU), alleging violations of WTU's Wholesale Power Choice Tariff, TR-1 Tariff, and the Commission's Fuel Adjustment Clause Regulations, 18 CFR 35.14. The Complainants have requested fast track processing.

WTU has been served with a copy of the Complaint.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed on or before December 10, 2001. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Answers to the complaint shall also be due on or before December 10, 2001. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). Comments, protests and

interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29574 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 7019-050 Georgia]

Eastern Hydroelectric Corporation; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

November 21, 2001.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Energy Projects has reviewed the application for license amendment for the East Juliette Hydroelectric Project, located on the Ocmulgee River in Monroe County, Georgia, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the proposed license amendment. No federal lands or Indian reservations are occupied by project works or are located within the project boundary.

The FEA contains the staff's analysis of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed amendment and concludes that the proposed action, with staff recommended measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the FEA are available for review at the Commission's Public Reference Room, located at 888 First Street NE, Washington, DC 20426, or by calling (202) 208-1371. The FEA may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the RIMS link and selecting "Dockets" (call (202) 208-2222 for assistance).

For further information contact Jarrad Kosa, FERC Project Coordinator, at (202) 219-2831.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-29568 Filed 11-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P