quota and/or visa requirements is excluded from incremental release under the immediate delivery procedure set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of this section and § 142.21(g) of this chapter. Additionally, if by splitting a shipment any portion of it is subject to quota, no portion of the split shipment may be released incrementally.

(2) Other merchandise. In addition, the port director may deny the use of the incremental release procedure set forth in paragraph (d)(2) of this section and § 142.21(g) of this chapter, as circumstances warrant.

(3) Limited single entry available. For merchandise described in paragraphs (k)(1) and (k)(2) of this section, that is excluded from the immediate delivery procedure of paragraph (d)(2) of this section and § 142.21(g) of this chapter, the importer may still file a single entry or special permit for immediate delivery under paragraph (d)(1) of this section covering the entire split shipment of such merchandise following, and to the extent of, its arrival within the required 10 calendar day period.

### PART 142—ENTRY PROCESS

1. The general authority for part 142 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 19 U.S.C. 66, 1448, 1484, 1624.

- 2. It is proposed to amend § 142.21 as follows:
- a. By removing the second sentence in paragraph (e)(1) and adding in its place two new sentences.
- b. By removing the second sentence in paragraph (e)(2) and adding in its place two new sentences,
- c. By redesignating paragraph (g) as paragraph (h) and adding a new paragraph (g), and
- d. By revising newly redesignated paragraph (h).
- The additions and revision read as follows:

## § 142. 21 Merchandise eligible for special permit for immediate delivery.

\*

- (e) Quota-class merchandise. (1) Tariff rate. \* \* \* However, merchandise subject to a tariff-rate quota may not be incrementally released under a special permit for immediate delivery as provided in paragraph (g) of this section. Where a special permit is authorized, an entry summary will be properly presented pursuant to § 132.1 of this chapter within the time specified in § 142.23, or within the quota period, whichever expires first. \* \*
- (2) Absolute. \* \* \* However, merchandise subject to an absolute quota under this paragraph may not be incrementally released under a special

permit for immediate delivery as provided in paragraph (g) of this section. Where a special permit is authorized, a proper entry summary must be presented for merchandise so released within the time specified in § 142.23, or within the quota period, whichever expires first. \* \*

(g) Incremental release of split shipments. Merchandise subject to § 141.57(d)(2) of this chapter, which is purchased and invoiced as a single shipment, but which is shipped by the carrier in separate portions to the same port of arrival due to the carrier's inability to accommodate the merchandise on a single conveyance, may be released incrementally under a special permit. Incremental release means releasing each portion of such shipments separately as they arrive.

- (h) When authorized by Headquarters. Headquarters may authorize the release of merchandise under the immediate delivery procedure in circumstances other than those described in paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f) and (g) of this section provided a bond on Customs Form 301 containing the bond conditions set forth in § 113.62 of this chapter is on file.
- 3. It is proposed to amend § 142.22 by removing the first sentence of paragraph (a) and adding in its place the following two sentences to read as follows:

# §142.22 Application for special permit for immediate delivery.

(a) Form. An application for a special permit for immediate delivery will be made on Customs Form 3461, Form 3461 ALT, or its electronic equivalent, supported by the documentation provided for in § 142.3. A commercial invoice will not be required, except for merchandise released under the provisions of 19 U.S.C. 1484(j).\* \* \*

Approved: November 7, 2001.

### Charles W. Winwood,

Acting Commissioner of Customs.

### Timothy E. Skud,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc. 01–28551 Filed 11–15–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4820–02–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[CA 169-0272b; FRL-7100-7]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD), and South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) and oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>X</sub>) emissions from equipment tuning procedure for boilers, steam generators, and process heaters, pumps and compressor seals at petroleum refineries and chemical plants, and residential type, natural gas-fired water heaters. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**DATES:** Any comments on this proposal must arrive by December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR– 4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revision and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Division, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812

San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District, 1990 E. Gettysburg, Fresno, CA 93726

South Coast Air Quality Management District, 21865 E. Copley Dr. Diamond Bar, CA 91765–4182

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charnjit Bhullar, Rulemaking Office (AIR–4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, (415) 744–1153.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This proposal addresses the following local rules: SJVUAPCD Rule 4304, Equipment Tuning Procedure for Boilers, Steam Generators, and Process Heaters,

SJVUAPCD Rule 4452, Pumps and Compressor Seals at Petroleum Refineries and Chemical Plants, and SCAQMD Rule 1121, Control of Nitrogen Oxides from Residential Type, Natural Gas-fired Water Heaters. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone 3 interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: October 22, 2001.

### Wayne Nastri,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.
[FR Doc. 01–28344 Filed 11–15–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[0139-1139; FRL-7104-4]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; State of Missouri

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision concerning the Missouri Control of Gasoline Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP) rule submitted by the Missouri Department or Natural Resources (MDNR). This action would approve amendments to State controls on the summertime Reid Vapor Pressure (RVP) of gasoline distributed in Clay, Jackson, and Platte Counties. This amendment changed the RVP limit from 7.2 pounds per square inch (psi) to 7.0 psi, and from 8.2 psi to 8.0 psi for gasoline containing at least 9.0 percent by volume but not more than 10.0 percent by volume ethanol. This is a part of the state's plan to maintain its clean air quality.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before December 17, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to Leland Daniels, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 901 North 5th Street, Kansas City, Kansas 66101.

Copies of documents relative to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the above-listed Region 7 location. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the office at least 24 hours in advance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leland Daniels at (913) 551–7651. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This section provides additional information by addressing the following questions:

What is a SIP?

What is the Federal approval process for a SIP?

What are the criteria for SIP approval? What does Federal approval of a state regulation mean to me?

What is being addressed in this document? Have the requirements for approval of a SIP revision been met?

What action is EPA taking?

#### What Is a SIP?

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) requires states to develop air pollution regulations limiting emissions and control strategies to ensure that state air quality meets the national ambient air quality standards established by EPA. These ambient standards are established under section 109 of the CAA, and they currently address six criteria pollutants. These pollutants are: carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, lead, particulate matter, and sulfur dioxide.

Each state must submit these regulations and control strategies to us for approval and incorporation into the

Federally-enforceable SIP.

Each Federally-approved SIP protects air quality primarily by addressing air pollution at its point of origin. These SIPs can be extensive, containing state regulations or other enforceable documents and supporting information such as emission inventories, monitoring networks, and modeling demonstrations.

## What Is the Federal Approval Process for a SIP?

In order for state regulations to be incorporated into the Federally-enforceable SIP, states must formally adopt the regulations and control strategies consistent with state and Federal requirements. This process generally includes a public notice, public hearing, public comment period, and a formal adoption by a state-authorized rulemaking body.

Once a state rule, regulation, or control strategy is adopted, the state submits it to us for inclusion into the SIP. We must provide public notice and seek additional public comment regarding the proposed Federal action on the state submission. If adverse comments are received, they must be addressed prior to any final Federal action by us.

All state regulations and supporting information approved by EPA under section 110 of the CAA are incorporated into the Federally-approved SIP. Records of such SIP actions are maintained in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at Title 40, part 52, entitled "Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans." The actual state regulations which are approved are not reproduced in their entirety in the CFR outright but are "incorporated by reference," which means that we have approved a given state regulation with a specific effective date.

## What Are the Criteria for SIP Approval?

In order to be approved into a SIP, the submittal must meet the requirements of section 110. In determining the approvability of a SIP revision, EPA must evaluate the proposed revision for consistency with the requirements of the CAA and our regulations, as found in section 110 and part D of Title I of the CAA amendments and 40 CFR part 51 (Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans).

The CAA has additional requirements for the approval of SIPs containing certain state fuel controls. Section 211(c)(4)(A) of the CAA prohibits states from prescribing or attempting to enforce regulations respecting fuel characteristics or components if EPA has adopted Federal controls under section 211(c)(1) applicable to such fuel characteristics or components, unless the state control is identical to the Federal control. Section 211(c)(4) includes two exceptions to this prohibition. First, under section 211(c)(4)(B), California is not subject to the preemption in section 211(c)(4)(A). Second, a State may prescribe or enforce such otherwise preempted fuel controls if the measure is approved into a SIP.

Under section 211(c)(4)(C), we may approve such state fuel controls into a SIP, if the state demonstrates that the measure is necessary to achieve the NAAQS. Section 211(c)(4)(C) specifies that a state fuel requirement is "necessary" if no other measures would bring about timely attainment, or if other measures exist but are unreasonable or impracticable. As discussed in more detail below, the State rule proposed for SIP approval today merely amends the State fuel