

*Order and Amendments to Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands*, 58 FR 44172 (August 19, 1993)). On December 15, 2000, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a revocation of the order effective January 1, 2000 (*see Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders on Certain Carbon Steel Products From Canada, Germany, Korea, the Netherlands, and Sweden*, 65 FR 78467 (December 15, 2000)). On September 18, 2001, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, LTV Steel Company, Inc., National Steel Corporation, and United States Steel LLC (collectively, "petitioners") requested that the order be revoked retroactively to August 18, 1993. In this letter, petitioners indicated that their revocation request applies to all unliquidated entries for consumption of the subject merchandise made from August 18, 1993 through January 1, 2000, and that domestic producers accounting for at least 85 percent of production have expressed a lack of interest in the order with respect to this period prior to January 1, 2000.

#### **Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed-Circumstances Reviews and Intent To Revoke the Order**

Pursuant to section 751(d)(1) of the Act, the Department may revoke an antidumping duty order based on a review under section 751(b) of the Act (*i.e.*, a changed circumstances review). Section 782(h)(2) of the Act and section 351.222(g)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations provide that the Secretary may revoke an order, in whole or in part, based on changed circumstances if "[p]roducers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the order (or the part of the order to be revoked) \* \* \* have expressed a lack of interest in the order, in whole or in part \* \* \*". In this context, the Department has interpreted "substantially all" production normally to mean at least 85 percent of domestic production of the like product (*see, e.g., Certain Hot-Rolled Lead and Bismuth Carbon Steel Products From the United Kingdom: Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, Revocation of Orders, and Recission of Administrative Reviews*, 65 FR 13713, 13714 (March 14, 2000)).

Petitioners are domestic interested parties as defined by section 771(9)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.102(b). Petitioners indicate that they, along

with other domestic producers that have expressed a lack of interest in the order retroactive to August 18, 1993, represent at least 85 percent of the domestic production of the domestic like product to which this order pertains, and thus account for "substantially all" of the production of the domestic like product.

Based on the submission by the petitioners, the Department has preliminarily determined that domestic producers expressing a lack of interest in the order account for at least 85 percent of domestic production of the like product and, therefore, that revocation of the order in part, retroactive to August 18, 1993 for unliquidated entries, is warranted. We are hereby notifying the public of our intent to revoke in whole the antidumping duty order on certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands retroactive to August 18, 1993.

If final revocation of the order occurs, we intend to instruct the Customs Service to refund any estimated antidumping duties collected for all unliquidated entries of certain cold-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 18, 1993. We will also instruct the Customs Service to pay interest on any refunds with respect to the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after August 18, 1993, in accordance with section 778 of the Act.

#### **Public Comment**

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in these proceedings are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held no later than 21 days after the date of publication of this notice, or, if that day is not a workday, the first workday thereafter. Case briefs may be submitted by interested parties not later than 7 days after the publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to the issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 12 days after the date of publication of this notice. All written comments shall be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303 and shall be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303. Persons interested in attending the hearing, should one be requested,

should contact the Department for the date and time of the hearing.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e), and barring any legal prohibition, the Department will issue its final results of review within 270 days after the date on which the changed circumstance review was initiated (*i.e.*, within 270 days after the publication of this **Federal Register** notice serving as both initiation and preliminary results).

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and (d) and 777(i) of the Act, and with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3).

Dated: November 5, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-28640 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

### **International Trade Administration**

**[A-570-822]**

#### **Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Final Results of the Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the final results of the seventh administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain helical spring lock washers from the People's Republic of China. The period of review is October 1, 1999 through September 30, 2000.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sally Hastings or Craig Matney, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement I, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3464 or (202) 482-1778, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:**

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

## Background

On July 11, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its administrative review of helical spring lock washers ("HSLWs") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") (*Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers from the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 36251 (July 11, 2001) ("Preliminary Results"). The final results are currently due on November 8, 2001.

## Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the final results within 120 days of the publication of the preliminary results of the review. However, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend this deadline to a maximum of 180 days.

## Postponement

Because additional time is necessary to analyze data used in the calculation of normal value, the Department has determined that it is not practicable to issue the final results within the original time period. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, we are postponing the final results of this administrative review for 180 days, until no later than May 7, 2002.

This notice is published pursuant to sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-28650 Filed 11-14-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-807]

### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet and Strip From Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review and revocation in part.

**SUMMARY:** On July 10, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of

the antidumping duty order on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from the Republic of Korea (66 FR 35933). The review covers three manufacturers/exporters of the subject merchandise to the United States: H.S. Industries (HSI), Hyosung Corporation (Hyosung) and SKC Limited (SKC). The review covers the period June 1, 1999 through May 31, 2000. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results.

The final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed firms are listed in the section entitled Final Results of Review. As a result of comments received, we have made changes to the final margin calculations for HSI and SKC.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael J. Heaney or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4475 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

## Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Background

On July 10, 2001, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PET film from Korea. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Company and Mitsubishi Polyester Film, LLC (collectively Petitioners) submitted their case brief on August 8, 2001. SKC Co., Ltd. and SKC America, Inc. (collectively SKC) filed their case brief on August 9, 2001. Petitioners and SKC submitted rebuttal comments on August 24, 2001. HSI filed rebuttal comments on August 13, 2001 and August 24, 2001. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

## Revocation in Part

In its submission of June 30, 2000, HSI requested, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(e)(1), partial revocation of the

order with respect to its sales of PET film. HSI certified that (1) it sold the subject merchandise in commercial quantities at not less than NV for a period of at least three consecutive years, (2) in the future it will not sell the subject merchandise at less than NV; and (3) it agreed to immediate reinstatement of the order if the Department determines that, subsequent to revocation, it sold the subject merchandise at less than NV.

Based upon the final results in this review and the final results of the two preceding reviews (*see Polyethylene Terephthalate, Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 55003 (September 12, 2000), and *Polyethylene Terephthalate, Film, Sheet, and Strip from the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 64 FR 42670 (August 5, 1999)), HSI has demonstrated three consecutive years of sales at not less than normal value. Furthermore, we have determined that HSI's aggregate sales to the United States have been made in commercial quantities during three consecutive segments of this proceeding. The company also agreed in writing to immediate reinstatement of the antidumping order, as long as any exporter or producer is subject to the order, if the Department concludes that subsequent to the partial revocation, HSI sold the subject merchandise at less than normal value. Based on the above facts, and absent a determination that the continued application of the antidumping order is otherwise necessary to offset dumping, the Department determines that continued application of the order to HSI is not necessary to offset dumping. Therefore, we are revoking the order in part with respect to merchandise produced and exported by HSI. In accordance with 19 CFR § 351.222(f), we will terminate the suspension of liquidation for any such merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption after May 31, 2000.

## Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of all gauges of raw, pretreated, or primed polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip, whether extruded or coextruded. The films excluded from this review are metallized films and other finished films that have had at least one of their surfaces modified by the application of a performance-enhancing resinous or inorganic layer of more than 0.00001 inches (0.254 micrometers) thick. Roller transport cleaning film which has at