

meet the objectives described below and their beneficial and adverse impacts.

Objectives

The ROD gives direction on objectives for north of the Delta offstream storage:

- Enhance water management flexibility in the Sacramento Valley.
- Reduce water diversion on the Sacramento River during critical fish migration periods.
- Increase reliability of supplies for a portion of the Sacramento Valley.
- Provide storage and operational benefits for other CALFED programs including Delta water quality and the EWA.

Possible Alternatives

Since this EIR/EIS will be a tiered document from the CALFED PEIS/PEIR, the scope of alternatives will be limited to issues directly associated with water storage located north of the Delta. The following possible alternatives for the NDOS have been identified, and will be included in the alternative analysis along with other alternatives developed during the scoping process. The alternatives evaluated in the EIR/EIS will include consideration of CALFED Stage 1 actions as defined in the ROD:

1. No Project (Present Condition)

This alternative would be defined as present conditions when the Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent are filed, and without a north of the Delta offstream storage project. Neither the potential environmental benefits nor adverse effects would occur.

2. No Action (Future Condition)

The No Action Alternative is a description of the anticipated physical, project operation, and regulatory features that would be in place in 2020 without a north of the Delta offstream storage project. The No Action Alternative is used as a basis for comparison of the project alternatives in 2020.

3. Sites Reservoir Alternative

This alternative would consist of an offstream reservoir with a capacity of up to 1.9 million acre-feet in size and would be located approximately 10 miles west of Maxwell. The reservoir would inundate the community of Sites and most of Antelope Valley. The main dams would be constructed on Funks Creek and on Stone Corral Creek. Up to nine saddle dams would be needed. This alternative will be evaluated with different levels of conjunctive use.

Source and conveyance options for this reservoir include:

- a. The use of the Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District Diversion and Canal, either in its current capacity or in an enlarged capacity.
 - b. The use of the Tehama-Colusa diversion and canal in its current capacity or in an enlarged capacity.
 - c. A new diversion and conveyance facility from the Sacramento River near Moulton Weir.
 - d. A new conveyance facility from the Colusa Basin Drain. Diversions and conveyance tunnels from East Park Reservoir and/or Stony Gorge Reservoir.
 - e. A combination of these options.
- New or existing delivery facilities from the reservoir would be required, depending on the beneficial uses served.

4. Newville Reservoir Alternative

This alternative would consist of an offstream reservoir with a capacity between 1.9 million and 3.0 million acre-feet in size and would be located approximately 18 miles west of the City of Orland. A single earth embankment dam on North Fork Stony Creek along with various saddle dams would create the impoundment area. Since North Fork Stony Creek is a very small drainage area, diversion and conveyance facilities would be needed. This alternative will be evaluated with different levels of conjunctive use. The following options are being considered.

- a. Stony Creek Diversion which would move water from Black Butte Lake to the Reservoir by canal via a new, smaller reservoir, Tehenn Reservoir. Tehenn Reservoir would serve as a forebay/afterbay to the Newville Reservoir.
- b. A direct canal from Black Butte Reservoir to Newville to avoid an historical cemetery.
- c. A diversion from nearby Thomes Creek which has an annual runoff of approximately 200,000 acre-feet. This diversion would require a small dam and a pipeline over a ridge that separates the creek from Newville Reservoir.

d. Diversion and conveyance facility from the Sacramento River.

e. A combination of the above options.

New or existing delivery facilities from the reservoir would be required, depending on the beneficial uses served.

5. Other Possible Alternatives

As stated earlier, storage projects are not to be developed in isolation but rather as part of an overall water management strategy. Thus, this EIR/EIS will evaluate whether other possible alternatives meet the NDOS objectives. Two possible alternatives include the conjunctive use and enlarged Shasta

programs mentioned in the above Associated Programs section. These could be evaluated as stand-alone alternatives or as sub-alternatives operated in conjunction with north of the Delta offstream storage to optimize system flexibility and efficiency.

These and other possible alternatives will be considered and developed through comments received during the scoping process. During scoping, DWR and Reclamation will be seeking input about possible methods for evaluating conjunctive water management that will meet CALFED criteria for local management of conjunctive use projects.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: October 26, 2001.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-28138 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,035 and NAFTA-04767]

Twist Drill Co., Sandvik Division, Crystal Lake, Illinois, Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(C) an application for administrative reconsideration was filed with the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance for workers at Twist Drill Co., Sandvik Division, Crystal Lake, Illinois. The application contained no new substantial information which would bear importantly on the Department's determination. Therefore, dismissal of the application was issued.

TA-W-39,035 and NAFTA-04767;

Twist Drill Co., Sandvik Division,

Crystal Lake, Illinois (October 26, 2001)

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of November, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-28176 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,897]

American Steel & Wire Division of Birmingham Steel, Cuyahoga Heights, OH; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 27, 2001, in response to a worker petition filed on behalf of workers at American Steel & Wire, a Division of Birmingham Steel, Cuyahoga Heights, Ohio.

The petitioning group of workers is subject to an ongoing investigation (TA-W-39,639). Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 31st day of October, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-28175 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-38,900]

Borg Warner Air/Fluid Systems Corporation, Water Valley, Mississippi; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of September 17, 2001, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The denial notice was signed on June 8, 2001, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 2001 (66 FR 34254).

The petitioner asserts that the layoffs pertaining to the original investigation are the direct result of cost cutting and

the anticipated reduced orders from the subject's major customer. The petitioner further asserts that the Department of Labor reviewed the wrong sales and production period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of October, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-28168 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-40,070]

Engelhard Corporation, McIntyre, GA; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on September 4, 2001, in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at Engelhard Corporation, McIntyre, Georgia.

The company official submitting the petition has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of October, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-28172 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-39,775]

Harriet & Henderson Yarns, Inc., Henderson, NC; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 6, 2001, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Harriet & Henderson Yarns, Inc., located in Henderson, North Carolina.

A company official has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 30th day of October, 2001.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 01-28171 Filed 11-8-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Certifications of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than November 19, 2001.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than November 19, 2001.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C-5311, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 15th day of October, 2001.

Edward A. Tomchick,

Director, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.