

is granted to and including December 20, 2001.

**David P. Boergers,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-27674 Filed 11-2-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Office of the Attorney General

#### 28 CFR Part 104

[CIV 104P; AG Order No. 2531-2001]

RIN 1105-AA79

#### September 11th Victim Compensation Fund of 2001

**AGENCY:** Civil Division, Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice of inquiry and advance notice of rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** Shortly after the September 11, 2001 terrorist attack, the President signed legislation authorizing compensation to any individual (or the personal representative of a deceased individual) who was physically injured or killed as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes on that day. This Notice of Inquiry and Advance Notice of Rulemaking seeks public comment on a range of matters critical to implementing a program that will carry out the intent of the legislation of providing compensation to victims.

**DATES:** Comments in response to this document are due by November 26, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be submitted by e-mail to: [victimcomp.comments@usdoj.gov](mailto:victimcomp.comments@usdoj.gov), or by telefax to 301-519-5956. Telefaxes should be limited to 15 pages. Comments may also be mailed to Kenneth L. Zwick, Director, Office of Management Programs, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Main Building, Room 3140, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530. However, in view of the short time period for comments and the current delays in the delivery of mail, it is strongly recommended that comments be submitted by e-mail or telefax. Comments received are public records. The name and address of the commenter should be included with all submissions. The text of comments, along with the name and address of the commenter, will be available on the Victim Compensation Fund web site, [www.usdoj.gov/victimcompensation](http://www.usdoj.gov/victimcompensation). Comments will also be available for public inspection at a reading room in Washington, DC. Arrangements to visit

the reading room must be made in advance by calling 888-714-3385 (TDD: 888-560-0844).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kenneth L. Zwick, Director, Office of Management Programs, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice, Main Building, Room 3140, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530, telephone 888-714-3385 (TDD 888-560-0844).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

The President signed the "September 11 Victim Compensation Fund of 2001" (the "Fund") into law on September 22, 2001, as Title IV of Public Law 107-42, 115 Stat. 230 ("Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act") (the "Act"). The purpose of the Fund is to provide compensation to eligible individuals who were physically injured as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001, and compensation through a "personal representative" for those who were killed as a result of the crashes. Generally, eligibility extends to those who suffered physical harm or death as a result of the September 11 air crashes, which would include individuals on the planes at the time of the crashes (other than the terrorists) and individuals present at the World Trade Center, the Pentagon, or the site of the crash in Pennsylvania at the time of the crashes, as well as those present in the immediate aftermath of the crashes.

The Attorney General, acting through a Special Master appointed by the Attorney General, is responsible for the administration of the Fund. By law, regulations addressing certain administrative matters must be issued within 90 days of enactment (i.e. by December 21, 2001). Section 407 of the Act provides that the Attorney General, in consultation with the Special Master, promulgate regulations on four matters by December 21, 2001:

- (1) Forms to be used in submitting claims;
- (2) The information to be included in such forms;
- (3) Procedures for hearing and the presentation of evidence; and
- (4) Procedures to assist an individual in filing and pursuing claims.

In addition, section 407 authorizes the Attorney General to issue additional rules to implement the program.

After determining whether an individual is an eligible claimant under the Act and applicable regulations, the Special Master is to determine the extent of harm to the claimant and determine the amount of compensation

to be awarded based on "the harm to the claimant, the facts of the claim, and the individual circumstances of the claimant." Section 405(b)(1)(B)(i). The law also provides that the Special Master is to make a final determination on any claim within 120 days of its receipt and, if an award is made, to authorize payment within 20 days thereafter. Sections 405(b)(3), 406(a). The determinations of the Special Master are final and are not subject to judicial review. Section 405(b)(3).

The Fund is designed to provide a no-fault alternative to tort litigation for individuals who were physically injured or killed as a result of the aircraft hijackings and crashes on September 11, 2001. Individuals who may have suffered other kinds of losses as a result of those events (e.g., those without identifiable physical injuries but who lost employment) are not included in this special program. However, the Act provides that a claimant who files for compensation must, at the time of filing, waive any right to file a civil action (or to be a party to an action) in any federal or state court for damages sustained as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001.

Claims with the Fund must be filed within two years after the initial regulations are promulgated. Payments from the Fund are made by the United States government, which in turn obtains the right of subrogation to each award.

#### *General Approach to Regulations That Must Be Promulgated by December 21, 2001*

(a) The purpose of this notice.

As noted above, the Act requires that the Attorney General promulgate regulations in consultation with the Special Master. The Department is currently considering potential candidates for the Special Master position. In addition, the Department is in the process of seeking information from state and local agencies, as well as many other sources, that may be useful in crafting proposed regulations. In the meantime, however, the Department believes that it is very important, to the extent feasible within the time frames involved, to involve the public in the development of any rules established under the program "including, but not limited to, potential beneficiaries of the program, their employers, the legal community, and all those who have come forward to help those impacted. For this reason, the Department has decided to issue this notice to obtain as much public comment as feasible before issuing the rules that it is required to

promulgate by December 21, 2001. This notice describes the issues involved, identifies possible courses of action, and invites comment on a number of points. At various points the Department solicits views on interpretations or applications of the Act. Although the Department welcomes comments, it is ultimately the Department's responsibility to interpret and apply the Act.

(b) The Department's plan to issue implementing regulations by December 21, 2001 as "interim final" rules.

Although the Attorney General, in consultation with the Special Master, is to issue certain implementing rules by December 21, 2001, the law does not specifically define how such rules must be issued. The Department welcomes comments on these procedural issues.

The Department is considering promulgating the initial rules on December 21, 2001 as "interim final" rules. "Interim final" rules are "final" rules that can be relied upon (and challenged) under the law, but that also become the subject of a new round of immediate comment and review—essentially, asking the public for comment on whether the newly-adopted rules should be amended. The Department wishes to begin processing claims as soon as possible. This procedural methodology should permit the program to commence operations as soon as practicable.

(c) How to comment in response to this notice.

There are a number of issues presented in this notice, and 21 days are provided for comment. The Department has only a limited time to evaluate the information received in response to this notice. Accordingly, the Department would appreciate comments that are transmitted as soon as possible and in a form that is as succinct as possible. As indicated at the beginning of this notice, we encourage commenters to use e-mail and telefax for this purpose.

Although the Department will endeavor to review every submission it receives in response to this notice, from handwritten letters to copies of scholarly articles and books, it reserves the right under the circumstances to set aside any information that it lacks the time to consider before the Department must make its determination, even if that information is received before the end of the comment period. The Department urges commenters to submit materials as early within the comment period as possible.

If the Department cannot fully consider all the comments it receives before it must act, the comments will be retained by the Department for

subsequent consideration as appropriate. As discussed above, the Department contemplates providing another opportunity for notice and comment after the initial rules are issued in December; any information submitted to the Department in response to this notice that cannot be reviewed before the December rules are issued will be considered during the subsequent review. Similarly, to the extent that any issues addressed in the comments are not addressed in this initial regulatory action, those comments will be retained by the Special Master for appropriate consideration as the program is implemented.

(d) Will there also be meetings and hearings to gather information before December 21, 2001?

The Department has received many requests for meetings from individuals and groups who wish to provide input on these rules. And it is likely that the Department will wish to initiate such meetings on its own as well. The Department will endeavor to accommodate any such requests as best it can be given the available time and any applicable legal requirements.

As a matter of general policy, the Department has declined to take a position prohibiting so-called "ex parte communications" in informal rulemaking proceedings. 28 CFR 50.17. Such a prohibition would inhibit the ability of the Department to obtain valuable information, and would be inconsistent with the nature of informal rulemaking under 5 U.S.C. 553 (as contrasted with so-called "formal" rulemaking under 5 U.S.C. 554, which is handled in a quasi-judicial manner). Consistent with the policy set forth in 28 CFR 50.17, the Department will endeavor to make notes on any relevant meetings or other communications part of the public record.

(e) The effective date of the rules to be promulgated on December 21, 2001.

The law generally provides that rules not go into effect for at least 30 days after promulgation absent "good cause" to waive this requirement. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). The Department is seeking comment on an appropriate effective date for these rules, including whether "good cause" exists under 5 U.S.C. 553(d) to waive the 30-day requirement. In ideal circumstances, the Department would prefer not to waive the APA's requirements for at least a 30-day delay in the effective date for rules. Given the circumstances here, however, it seems likely that there may be good cause for taking such action. The Department welcomes comments on this issue and on other alternatives. For example, a 30-

day effective date delay might not significantly hinder implementation of the program and would provide time for some initial counseling and other information dissemination prior to the filing of any claims.

(f) Issues relating to the rules to be promulgated by December 21, 2001.

The Department welcomes comment on whether the rules that must be issued by December 21, 2001, should, pursuant to section 407(5), cover matters in addition to those specifically identified in section 407(1)–(4) of the Act. One reason for making the set of regulations to be published in December as comprehensive as possible is the possibility that there are some potential claimants who have already filed or will soon be filing civil actions seeking damages arising out of the September 11 incidents. Section 405(c)(3)(B)(ii) of the Act provides that, if an individual is already a party to a civil action when the regulations enumerated in section 407 are promulgated, the individual cannot submit a compensation claim under this federal program unless he or she withdraws from the legal action within 90 days from the date the rules are promulgated. Without having information about how the compensation program works, such individuals might not be able to assess whether the compensation program is a viable alternative to continuing their litigation.

The Department believes that the number of individuals who already have filed a civil claim, or who have irrevocably committed to doing so in the next few months, may well be very small. The Department would welcome information on this point. The Department also would welcome comment as to whether the statutory requirement that a claimant "withdraw[]" from such action by 90 days after the date the initial rules are promulgated was intended to preclude such a claimant from refile or rejoining a civil action: (a) should the claimant ultimately elect not to file a compensation claim under the federal program; or (b) if the claimant is determined by the Special Master not to be eligible to file a claim.

In short, potential claimants have an interest in knowing as soon as possible how the program is likely to operate in their circumstances. Litigation to obtain damages, particularly in a mass tort context, can be a lengthy, uncertain, and complex process, filled with substantial risk and expense. The purpose of this compensation program is to offer all potential claimants a more expeditious, predictable, and less complex alternative to that process. The

Department recognizes that unless and until it can provide some certainty as to how the compensation award program will work, some claimants may be reluctant to commit themselves to the Fund as an alternative to tort awards.

We now turn to a set of specific topics on which comment is solicited. The first four topics concern the Department's obligations under section 407(1)–(4) of the Act. The remainder discuss key issues that may also be the subject of regulatory action.

*Topics #1 & 2: The Forms To Be Used in Submitting Claims Under This Program and the Information To Be Included on the Claims Form*

Section 405(a) of the statute establishes some specific requirements with respect to the claims form and the information to be included. The law requires the Special Master to develop a claims form to use in filing claims for compensation under this program. The Special Master is to ensure that the form can be filed electronically if practicable.

The form must include a statement of the factual bases for eligibility and for the amount of compensation sought. In addition, the form is to request information from the claimant as to: (1) The physical harm suffered by a victim, or information confirming the death of the victim, of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001; (2) any possible economic and noneconomic losses that the claimant suffered as a result of the crashes; and (3) any collateral sources of compensation the claimant has received or is entitled to receive as a result of such crashes.

It would appear that these requirements, combined with the statutory time frame for the Special Master to reach a decision once a claim is filed, contemplate a detailed form and filing, including submission by the claimant of supporting documents and relevant medical records. Accordingly, the Department invites comment on whether the Special Master should determine that a claim has not been "filed" in those circumstances in which the Special Master determines that there is insufficient information submitted to permit a reasonably informed determination to be made. Along similar lines, the Department invites comments on whether there are actions the Special Master should be required to take before he or she can accept a claim, or deem a claim "filed."

The Department welcomes comment on the design and content of the claims forms in light of the statutory requirements. Specific comments on making the form and its instructions

readable and readily available are welcome.

*Topic #3: Procedures for Hearing and the Presentation of Evidence*

Section 405(b)(4) provides that a claimant has the right, after the filing of the claim, to present evidence to the office of the Special Master. The statute specifically provides that the claimant has the right to present witness statements and documents, the right to be represented by an attorney, and such other due process rights as are determined to be appropriate by the Special Master.

The Department solicits comments on the procedures to be used in taking and evaluating such evidence. In formulating comments, commenters should keep in mind that the Act gives the Special Master a very limited time to evaluate such evidence before making a decision: Section 405(b)(3) of the statute provides that the Special Master must make final decisions on claims within 120 days of the date of filing. Comments as to whether the statute permits the Special Master to temporarily halt or toll the running of this clock, at the initiative of the claimant or otherwise, are welcomed. In addition, the Department invites comment on whether the Special Master should be permitted to dismiss a claim as not properly filed for lack of adequate supporting information and, if so, whether an individual should thereafter be permitted to refile the claim.

Among other matters, the Department welcomes comment on whether every claimant should be granted an oral hearing or whether paper hearings may be sufficient, and comments on what types of oral hearing may be practicable, consistent with the statutory deadlines. If oral hearings are provided, should the Special Master always use "hearing officers" to hear witnesses and review written evidence? What qualifications and training should those who perform such tasks have? In addition, the Department welcomes comment on whether there are other specific duties and powers that should be delegated to hearing officers (e.g., to ask questions of the claimant or witnesses, to request submissions of such further information as the hearing officer may deem valuable in reaching a decision, and/or to prepare recommended decisions for the consideration of the Special Master).

The Department welcomes comment on whether claimants should have the opportunity to appeal directly to the Special Master specific "rulings" or "working decisions" of a hearing officer on questions that arise in the course of his or her evaluation of the claim. The

Department also seeks comment on whether it is authorized to enforce requests made by the hearing officer to third parties for evidence that is necessary to a proceeding—e.g., evidence that might bear on whether all aspects of the claim file on which the decision will be based are accurate and complete. The Department also welcomes comment on whether such proceedings should be recorded, whether such proceedings must be held in a location convenient to the claimant and how to deal with scheduling conflicts, and whether the opportunity for a hearing can be waived by a claimant through inaction or unwarranted delay.

The Department particularly welcomes comments that reference the practices or experience of existing compensation programs with respect to the hearing of evidence.

*Topic #4: Procedures to Assist an Individual in Filing and Pursuing Claims Under This Title*

The statute does not provide guidance on what actions the Special Master is to take to assist claimants in filing and pursuing claims. However, the Department believes that it is important that claimants be able to proceed without economic experts. Accordingly, the Department welcomes any and all suggestions as to how it can assist claimants, including suggestions for office locations, toll-free phone lines, outreach meetings, and newsletters.

In addition, the Department welcomes comments on whether the Special Master has the authority to limit the types and amounts of fees that can be charged by legal counsel, accountants, experts or others who are retained by claimants to assist them in filing and pursuing compensation claims, and whether such fees can and should be paid by the Special Master directly out of compensation awards. The Department welcomes information about practices in this regard with respect to other federal compensation programs, and welcomes specific suggestions on any appropriate fee schedule or policy. The Department also welcomes comments on what limitations, if any, the rules should impose on non-attorney, non-claimant representatives' participation in filing claims and in subsequent proceedings.

The Department is also interested in comments as to whether it needs to take any actions to ensure that individuals who have the option of filing a compensation claim with this program are not improperly solicited or influenced by those with an interest in having them make such an election.

### Topic #5: Claimant Eligibility

Section 405(b) of the statute requires the Special Master to determine whether a claimant is an "eligible individual" under section 405(c). "Eligibility," in turn, is defined to include: (i) victims (other than the terrorists) aboard American Airlines flights 11 and 77 and United Airlines flights 93 and 175; or (ii) victims who were "present at" the World Trade Center, the Pentagon, or the site of the aircraft crash at Shanksville, Pennsylvania at the time or in the immediate aftermath of the crashes, and who suffered physical harm or death as a result of such an air crash. The Department seeks comment on whether a Departmental regulation or a statement of policy by the Special Master would be appropriate to clarify these criteria and, if so, what those criteria should be.

Public commentators have suggested differing interpretations of the statutory terms "present at," "physical harm," and "immediate aftermath." The Department invites comment on the appropriate scope of each of those terms. In particular, how should "present at" be interpreted? Should the term "physical harm" be limited to serious injuries, as it is under some other no-fault compensation schemes (see, e.g., N.Y. Insurance Law § 5102 (d) (McKinney 2000)), or should it be construed more broadly? Further, should "physical harm" be limited to currently identifiable injuries? Can and should the program address latent, but not yet evident, harm? What documentation or other evidence should be required by the Special Master as to the claimant's presence at the World Trade Center or physical harm resulting from the air crash? Moreover, what documentation or other evidence should the Special Master seek to verify the identity of those lost for whom claims are filed? Finally, what duration of time is intended by the statutory phrase "immediate aftermath"?

Section 405(c)(2)(C) provides that the "personal representative" of an eligible decedent is the appropriate person to file a claim on a decedent's behalf. The Department seeks comment on whether a Departmental regulation or a statement of policy by the Special Master would be appropriate to clarify questions concerning personal representatives, for example:

- Whether the Special Master should require that all those who consider themselves to be survivors of someone lost in the crashes be notified of a claim by a "personal representative";
- Whether the Special Master should require that the "personal

representative" identify all those who consider themselves to be survivors of someone lost in the crashes and obtain from each a signed statement waiving the right to litigation prior to the acceptance of a claim;

- Whether the Special Master can, within the brief statutory period identified by the statute, determine who among different claimants is the appropriate "personal representative";
- Whether the Special Master should, in any matter involving a dispute as to the identity of the "personal representative," require prior adjudication and judgment by a state court of competent jurisdiction; and
- Whether the Special Master should make determinations of compensation for claimants and escrow payment until disputes regarding the identity of the "personal representative" can be resolved by a court of competent jurisdiction.

### Topic #6: Nature and Amount of Compensation

Section 405(b) of the statute indicates that the Special Master shall determine the amount of compensation based on "the harm to the claimant, the facts of the claim, and the individual circumstances of the claimant." Yet each of the perhaps thousands of determinations must be made in a very short period of time. Moreover, such determinations should be founded on consistent and clear principles that treat each claimant fairly. The Department invites comments that identify the practical means to achieve these results all within the very short time period that Congress has permitted. Among other topics, the Department would welcome comment on whether and how schedules or statistical methodologies should be developed and used in reaching a determination for each claimant within the mandated time period. In addition, comments are welcomed on whether publication of such schedules or hypothetical or presumptive awards for classes of individuals would assist potential claimants in determining whether to file.

**Economic Loss:** As indicated above, the Department is of the view that the Special Master should not require that any claimant employ any experts on economic or other theories of losses. It may therefore be appropriate for regulations to draw on available information from appropriate specialists in relevant fields to analyze economic losses. The Department invites comment regarding the necessary qualifications for such specialists, the data that should be utilized, the methodologies that

should be employed in analyzing economic losses, the documentation that should be required for every claimant, and how state law should bear upon such determinations. In addition, the Department invites comments on how to address the economic losses of individuals whose lost future income streams would have been highly contingent, variable, or unpredictable.

**Noneconomic Losses:** Section 402(7) lists several types of noneconomic losses that should be considered. The Department invites comments regarding whether, and in what manner, the Special Master can or should draw meaningful distinctions between individuals who died in different locations and, similarly, whether the Special Master can or should draw meaningful distinctions between individuals who suffered similar injuries. The Department also invites comments on whether the Department should issue regulations determining the amount of noneconomic loss for classes of similarly situated individuals or whether, instead, the Special Master should determine all noneconomic loss on a detailed claim-by-claim basis. Further, what facts and circumstances should be considered in determining noneconomic losses for each individual, and what standards should be employed?

**Collateral Sources:** Section 405(b)(6) provides that the Special Master *shall* reduce the amount of compensation by the amount of the collateral source compensation the claimant has received or is entitled to receive as a result of the terrorist-related aircraft crashes of September 11, 2001. The Department invites comments on how to determine what constitutes a "collateral source" for purposes of this provision, and other related issues. For example, the Department appreciates the strong policy reasons for excluding charitable contributions from the definition of "collateral sources" and invites comment regarding whether the Act indeed permits the Department to exclude such contributions from the definition. Similarly, the Department invites comments on whether "in kind" and/or material contributions could or should be considered collateral sources. Finally, the Department invites comments on how to determine whether potential future collateral source payments are ones that individuals are "entitled to receive" for purposes of Section 405(b)(6).

### Fraud Prevention Measures

The Department is committed to preventing and prosecuting any fraudulent attempts to collect from the

Fund. The Department therefore invites comments regarding any measures that the Department should take to prevent and detect fraud.

#### Other Topics for Comment

The Department reiterates that it welcomes public comments on any and all aspects of the administration of the fund.

#### Application of Various Laws and Executive Orders to This Rulemaking

There are a number of laws and Executive Orders whose provisions may have implications for this rulemaking process. Due to the preliminary nature of this notice, it does not address these requirements. Nonetheless, the Department welcomes comments that will help it address the applicability of any laws or Executive Orders to future rulemaking under the Act.

Dated: November 1, 2001.

**John Ashcroft,**

*Attorney General.*

[FR Doc. 01-27821 Filed 11-1-01; 2:54 pm]

BILLING CODE 4410-12-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 80

[AMS-FRL-7096-6]

RIN 2060-AJ69

#### Revision to the Requirements on Variability in the Composition of Additives Certified Under the Gasoline Deposit Control Program; Proposed Rule

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Deposits that form in gasoline-fueled motor vehicle engines and fuel supply systems have been shown to increase emissions of harmful air pollutants. All gasoline used in the U.S. must contain additives that have been certified with EPA as effective in limiting the formation of such deposits. During certification, additive manufacturers must provide EPA with information on additive composition. To ensure that in-use additives meet EPA requirements, manufacturers are required to limit variation in the composition of additive production batches from that reported during certification.

Today's action proposes changes to the information that must be provided on additive composition by the manufacturer at the time of certification.

We are also proposing clarifications to the requirements associated with limiting variability in additive production batches. These changes would address additive manufacturer concerns that compliance with the existing requirements would be burdensome and difficult, while maintaining the emissions control benefits of the gasoline deposit control program.

In the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, we are making these regulatory changes as a direct final rule without a prior proposal because we view these changes as noncontroversial revisions and anticipate no adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for these revisions in the preamble to the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comment, we will withdraw the amendments, paragraphs, or sections of the direct final rule receiving such comment and those amendments, paragraphs, or sections will not take effect. We will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. We are not planning to hold a public hearing regarding this action.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by January 4, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may submit written comments in response to this notice (in duplicate if possible) to Public Docket No. A-2001-15, at: Air Docket Section, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Attention: Docket No. A-2001-15, First Floor, Waterside Mall, Room M-1500, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460 (Telephone 202-260-7548; Fax 202-260-4400). We also request that a copy of the comments be sent to Jeff Herzog by mail at, U.S. EPA, Assessment and Standards Division, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105-2498, or by E-Mail at [herzog.jeff@epa.gov](mailto:herzog.jeff@epa.gov)

This proposed rule and the accompanying direct final rule are available electronically on the day of publication from the EPA **Federal Register** internet Web site listed below. Prepublication electronic copies of these notices are also available from the EPA Office of Transportation and Air Quality Web site listed below. This service is free of charge, except for any cost that you already incur for internet connectivity.

**Federal Register Web Site:**

<http://www.epa.gov/docs/fedrgstr/EPA-AIR/> (Either select desired date or use Search feature.)

Office of Transportation and Air Quality Web Site:

<http://www.epa.gov/otaq/> (Look in "What's New" or under the specific rulemaking topic.)

Please note that due to differences between the software used to develop the document and the software into which the document may be downloaded, changes in format, page length, etc. may occur.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Herzog, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Assessment and Standards Division, 2000 Traverwood, Ann Arbor, MI, 48105-2498. Telephone (734) 214-4227; Fax (734) 214-4816; E-Mail [herzog.jeff@epa.gov](mailto:herzog.jeff@epa.gov)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This document concerns proposes changes to the requirements on variability in the composition of additives certified under the gasoline deposit control additive program. For further information, including the rationale, administrative requirements, statutory authority, and regulatory text for these technical amendments, please see the information provided in the direct final action that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication.

#### Administrative Requirements

##### A. Administrative Designation

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), the Agency must determine whether this regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to OMB review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines a "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

- (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;
  - (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
  - (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or
  - (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.
- EPA has determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action"