have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities or publish a final regulatory flexibility analysis.

#### **Executive Order 12372**

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. (See 7 CFR part 3015, subpart V.)

#### **Executive Order 12988**

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

## National Environmental Policy Act

An environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact have been prepared for this interim rule. The site-specific environmental assessment provides a basis for the conclusion that the implementation of integrated pest management to eradicate the Oriental fruit fly will not have a significant impact on human health or the natural environment. Based on the finding of no significant impact, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that an environmental impact statement need not be prepared.

The environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact were prepared in accordance with: (1) The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), (2) regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), (3) USDA regulations implementing NEPA (7 CFR part 1b), and (4) APHIS' NEPA ImplementingProcedures (7 CFR part 372).

Copies of the environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact are available for public inspection at USDA, room 1141, South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Persons wishing to inspect copies are requested to call ahead on (202) 690–2817 to facilitate entry into the reading room. In addition, copies may be obtained by writing to the individual listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. The environmental assessment and finding

of no significant impact may also be viewed on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppd/es/ppq/offsd.pdf.

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This interim rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 301

Agricultural commodities, Plant diseases and pests, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Transportation.

Accordingly, we are amending 7 CFR part 301 as follows:

# PART 301—DOMESTIC QUARANTINE NOTICES

1. The authority citation for part 301 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 166, 7711, 7712, 7714, 7731, 7735, 7751, 7752, 7753, and 7754; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.3.

Section 301.75–15 also issued under Sec. 204, Title II, Pub. L. 106–113, 113 Stat. 1501A–293; sections 301.75–15 and 301.75–16 also issued under Sec. 203, Title II, Pub. L. 106–224, 114 Stat. 400 (7 U.S.C. 1421 note).

2. In § 301.93–3, paragraph (c), the entry for California is amended by adding, in alphabetical order, an entry for San Diego County to read as follows:

## § 301.93-3 Quarantined areas.

San Diego County. That portion of the county beginning at the intersection of State Highway 94 and Sweetwater Springs Boulevard; then south along Sweetwater Springs Boulevard to its intersection with U.S. Elevator Road; then south from the intersection of Sweetwater Springs Boulevard and U.S. Elevator Road along an imaginary line to the intersection of ProctorVallev Road and Lane Avenue; then south on Lane Avenue to Otay Lakes Road; then west on Otay Lakes Road to Telegraph Canvon Road; then west on Telegraph Canyon Road to Hilltop Drive; then north on Hilltop Drive to J Street; then west on J Street to 4th Avenue; then north on 4th Avenue to H Street; then west on H Street to Broadway; then north on Broadway to E Street; then west on E Street to Interstate Highway 5; then north on Interstate Highway 5 to StateHighway 15; then north on State Highway 15 to State Highway 94; then east on State Highway 94 to Interstate

Highway 805; then north on Interstate Highway 805 to Home Avenue; then northeast on Home Avenue to Euclid Avenue; then north on Euclid Avenue to University Avenue; then east on University Avenue to Massachusetts Avenue; then south on Massachusetts Avenue to State Highway 94; then east on State Highway 94 to the point of beginning.

Done in Washington, DC, this 26th day of October 2001.

#### W. Ron DeHaven,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 01–27460 Filed 10–31–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

#### 9 CFR Part 93

[Docket No. 01-055-1]

States Approved To Receive Stallions and Mares From CEM-Affected Regions; Rhode Island

**AGENCY:** Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

SUMMARY: We are amending the animal importation regulations by adding Rhode Island to the list of States approved to receive certain stallions and mares imported into the United States from regions affected with contagious equine metritis (CEM). We are taking this action becauseRhode Island has entered into an agreement with the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service to enforce its State laws and regulations to control CEM and to require inspection, treatment, and testing of horses, as required by Federal regulations, to further ensure the horses' freedom from CEM. This action relieves unnecessary restrictions on the importation of mares and stallions from regions where CEM exists.

**DATES:** This rule will be effective on December 31, 2001 unless we receive written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments on or before December 3, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Please send four copies (an original and three copies) of your comments or notice of intent to submit adverse comments to: Docket No. 01–055–1, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, Suite 3C03,

4700 River Road Unit 118, Riverdale, MD 20737–1238

Please state that your comment refers to Docket No. 01–055–1.

You may read any comments that we receive on this docket in our reading room. The reading room is located in room 1141 of the USDA South Building, 14th Street and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC. Normal reading room hours are 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. To be sure someone is there to help you, please call (202) 690–2817 before coming.

APHIS documents published in the Federal Register, and related information, including the names of organizations and individuals who have commented on APHIS dockets, are available on the Internet at http://www.aphis.usda.gov/ppd/rad/webrepor.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Barbara Bischoff, Staff Veterinarian, National Center for Import and Export, Technical Trade Services, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 39, Riverdale, MD

# 20737–1231; (301) 734–8364. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

## Background

The animal importation regulations (contained in 9 CFR part 93 and referred to below as the regulations), among other things, prohibit or restrict the importation of certain animals, including horses, into the United States to protect U.S. livestock from communicable diseases.

In § 93.301, paragraph (c)(1) prohibits the importation of horses into the United States from certain regions where contagious equine metritis (CEM) exists. Paragraph (c)(2) lists categories of horses that are excepted from this prohibition, including, in § 93.301(c)(2)(vi), horses over 731 days of age imported for permanent entry if the horses meet the requirements of § 93.301(e).

One of the requirements in § 93.301(e) is that mares and stallions over 731 days old imported for permanent entry from regions where CEM exists must be consigned to States listed in § 93.301(h)(6), for stallions, or in

§ 93.301(h)(7), for mares. The Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) has approved these States to receive stallions or mares over 731 days of age from regions where CEM exists because each State has entered into a written agreement with the Administrator to enforce State laws and regulations to control CEM, and each State has agreed to quarantine, test, and treat stallions and mares over 731 days of age from any region where CEM exists in accordance with § 93.301(e).

Rhode Island has entered into a written agreement with the Administrator of APHIS and has agreed to comply with all of the requirements in § 93.301(e) for importing stallions and mares over 731 days old from regions where CEM exists. Therefore, this direct final rule will add Rhode Island to the lists of States in § 93.301(h)(6) and (h)(7) approved to receive certain stallions and mares imported into the United States from regions where CEM exists.

## **Dates**

We are publishing this rule without a prior proposal because we view this action as noncontroversial and anticipate no adverse public comments. This rule will be effective, as published in this document, on December 31, 2001, unless we receive written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments by December 3, 2001.

Adverse comments are comments that suggest the rule should not be adopted or that suggest the rule should be changed.

If we receive written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments, we will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** withdrawing this rule before the effective date. We will then publish a proposed rule for public comment.

As discussed above, if we receive no written adverse comments or written notice of intent to submit adverse comments within 30 days of publication of this direct final rule, this direct final rule will become effective 60 days following its publication. We will

publish a notice in the **Federal Register** before the effective date of this direct final rule confirming that it is effective on the date indicated in this document.

# Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. For this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review process required by Executive Order 12866.

Horse Imports From CEM-affected Regions

The share of purebred breeding horse imports coming from CEM-affected regions is a relatively small fraction of the total number of horses imported, ranging between 5 and 10 percent between 1996 and 1999 (table 1). However, horses supplied by CEMaffected countries are generally highly valued. In 1999, for example, the average value of a purebred breeding horse imported from a CEM-affected region was \$52,300, whereas the average value of a purebred breeding horse imported from anywhere in the world (i.e., from both CEM-affected and CEMfree regions) was \$11,700.

During these same 4 years, the United States imported 28,374 horses classified as "except purebred breeding" from CEM-affected regions (table 2). While it is possible that some of these horses from CEM-affected regions may be for breeding, it is more likely that they are imported for racing or exhibition.1 During 1996-1999, about one of every five "except purebred breeding" horses imported into the United States came from CEM-affected countries. Their combined annual value comprised, on average, 60 percent of the value of all "except purebred breeding" horse imports.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As stated in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (2000), "The expression 'purebred breeding animals' covers only animals certified to the U.S. Customs Service by the Department of Agriculture as being purebred of a recognized breed and duly registered in a book of record recognized by the Secretary of Agriculture for that breed, imported specially for breeding purposes, whether intended to be used by the importer himself or for sale for such purposes."

Table 1.—Quantity and Value of Purebred Breeding Horses Imported From CEM-Affected Regions, 1996-1999

Quantity				
Year	Number	Percent of all purebred breed- ing imports (percent)	Dollars (million)	Percent of all purebred breed- ing imports (percent)
1996 1997 1998 1999	69 115 200 187	5.2 7.2 10.0 8.1	\$2.0 2.7 31.3 9.8	26.7 19.9 77.8 36.2

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS), "Global Agricultural Trade System," using data from the United Nations Statistical Office. Harmonized tariff schedule 010111.

Table 2.—Quantity and Value of Horses "Except Purebred Breeding" Imported From CEM-Affected Regions, 1996–1999

Quantity			Value	
Year	Number	Percent of all "except purebred breeding" imports	Dollars (million)	Percent of all "except purebred breeding" imports (percent)
1996 1997 1998 1999	2,642 3,677 17,044 5,011	8.7 15.5 40.7 17.9	93.5 99.9 147.9 170.9	26.7 76.7 83.6 54.8

Source: USDA, FAS, "Global Agricultural Trade System," using data from the United Nations Statistical Office. Harmonized tariff schedule 010119.

#### CEM Testing

To minimize the risk of the CEM organism entering the United States, restrictions are applied to stallions and mares imported from CEM-affected regions, including health certification and preembarkation and postentry testing and treatment. During 1996 through 1999,21,882 cultures were tested at approved laboratories for CEM and a similar CEM-like organism. Forty of the cultures tested positive, of which at least one-third to one-half were infections by the CEM-like organism (several of domestic origin). Thus, the likelihood of a specimen testingCEMpositive during this period was roughly about 0.1 percent.

As this small percentage indicates, breeding horses imported from CEM-affected regions rarely test positive for CEM. When they do, they are treated and remain in isolation until examined and subsequent cultures test negative. Nevertheless, the potential consequences of the establishment of CEM in the United States make the risk posed by this disease a serious concern.

Besides the health costs associated with infected horses, establishment of CEM would have a disruptive impact on U.S. horse exports, especially on high-value breeding horses. At a minimum, more extensive testing and extended quarantining would be required of exporters.

The addition of Rhode Island to the list of approved States is explicit recognition of the capability of Rhode Island facilities to carry out postentry testing and treatment requirements.

### Affected Entities

The rule will allow Rhode Island horse operations to import stallions and mares directly from CEM-affected regions, whereas at present they must be imported and undergo post-entry testing and treatment in another, currently approved State. There are now 21 States approved to receive stallions and mares from CEM-affected regions. Neither of Rhode Island's neighboringStates, Connecticut and Massachusetts, is on the list of approved States; breeding horse importers in both of these States may benefit as well from this rule, given their proximity.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires that agencies consider the impacts of their rules on small entities. Whether affected entities may be considered small depends on their annual gross receipts. Annual receipts of \$750,000 or less is the small-entity criterion set by the SmallBusiness Administration for establishments primarily engaged in raising horses and other equines(North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) code 112920). For operations owning race horses (NAICS code

711219), the small-entity criterion is annual gross receipts of \$5 million or less.

Importers of breeding horses in Rhode Island presumably, owners of horse farms and race horses are the entities that will be affected by this rule, but only those importing from CEM-affected regions. It is not known how many such firms there may be, but it is reasonable to assume that at least some of them may be small entities. According to the 1997 Census of Agriculture, there were 163 horse farms in Rhode Island that year, 32 of which sold 79 horses that had a total value of \$510,000. These data imply an average income per farm from horse sales of about \$16,000.

The economic effects of this rule on affected Rhode Island establishments will be positive. Breeding horses from CEM-affected regions will be allowed to be moved directly into Rhode Island following their postentry quarantine, thereby benefitting Rhode Island importers, as well as importers in neighboring States, through lower transport costs. The benefits are not, however, expected to be large when compared to the value of the imported horses.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

#### Executive Order 12988

This rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform. This rule: (1) Preempts all State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule; (2) has no retroactive effect; and (3) does not require administrative proceedings before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

#### **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule contains no information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

## List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 93

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Poultry and poultry products, Quarantine, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 93 is amended as follows:

## PART 93—IMPORTATION OF CERTAIN ANIMALS, BIRDS, AND POULTRY, AND CERTAIN ANIMAL, BIRD, AND POULTRY PRODUCTS; REQUIREMENTS FOR MEANS OF CONVEYANCE AND SHIPPING CONTAINERS

1. The authority citation for part 93 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1622; 19 U.S.C. 1306; 21 U.S.C. 102–105, 111, 114a, 134a, 134b,134c, 134d, 134f, 136, and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

#### § 93.301 [Amended]

- 2. Section 93.301 is amended as follows:
- a. In paragraph (h)(6), by adding, in alphabetical order, "The State of Rhode Island".
- b. In paragraph (h)(7), by adding, in alphabetical order, "The State of Rhode Island".

Done in Washington, DC, this 26th day of October 2001.

## W. Ron DeHaven,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. [FR Doc. 01–27459 Filed 10–31–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

## Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

12 CFR Part 32

[Docket No. 01-12]

[RIN 1557-AB82]

# Community Bank-Focused Regulation Review: Lending Limits Pilot Program

**AGENCY:** Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) recently published a final rule amending part 32, the regulation governing the percentage of capital and surplus that a national bank may loan to any one borrower. Inadvertently, six cross-references in the existing regulation were not amended to reflect changes made by the final rule. This document amends these cross-references.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** Effective on September 10, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Katz, Senior Counsel, Legislative Regulatory Activities Division, (202) 874–5090; or Jonathan Fink, Senior Attorney, Bank Activities and Structure Division, (202) 874–5300.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Description of Change**

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) published a final rule on June 11, 2001 (66 FR 31114) amending part 32. This final rule established a three-year pilot program that creates new special lending limits for 1-4 family residential real estate loans and small business loans, subject to certain conditions and requirements. The final rule added three new definitions to 12 CFR 32.2 and renumbered the existing definitions in that section. However, we inadvertently did not amend the cross-references in existing part 32 to reflect the changes in the numerical order of the definitions made by the final rule. This correction amends the cross-references throughout part 32 to reflect these changes.

# Administrative Procedure Act—Notice and Comment

Pursuant to section 553(b)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the OCC finds good cause for dispensing with the requirements for notice and opportunity for public comment that the APA would otherwise require. Notice and comment on this amendment of part 32 are

unnecessary because the renumbering of the cross-references is a technical, rather than a substantive, change. Moreover, if left uncorrected, the cross-references will cause confusion among readers of part 32 as amended because the crossreferences currently do not refer to the correct definitional sections.

Effective Date

The APA generally requires that a final rule take effect 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). Similarly, section 302 of the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 generally requires that a final rule issued by a Federal banking agency take effect on the first day of the first calendar quarter that begins on or after the date on which the regulation is published in final form. 12 U.S.C. 4802(b)(1). Both requirements are subject to a good cause exception. For the reasons previously explained, the OCC finds good cause for making this amendment to 12 CFR part 32 effective immediately upon publication.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) does not apply to a rulemaking where a general notice of proposed rulemaking is not required. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. As noted previously, the OCC has determined that it is not necessary to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking for this final rule. Accordingly, the RFA's requirements relating to an initial and final regulatory flexibility analysis are not applicable.

## **Executive Order 12866**

The Comptroller of the Currency has determined that this final rule is not a significant regulatory action for purposes of Executive Order 12866.

# **Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of** 1995

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (UMA), Pub. L. 104–4, 109 Stat. 48, applies only when an agency is required to issue a general notice of proposed rulemaking or a final rule for which the agency published a general notice of proposed rulemaking, 2 U.S.C. 1532. As noted previously, the OCC has determined, for good cause, that notice and comment is unnecessary. Accordingly, the UMA does not require a budgetary impact analysis.

## List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 32

National banks, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.