INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-417-421 and 731-TA-953-963 (Preliminary)]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod From Brazil, Canada, Egypt, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Ukraine, and Venezuela

Determinations

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject investigations, the United States International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 703(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a)) (the Act), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Brazil, Canada, Germany, Trinidad and Tobago, and Turkey of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod 2 that are alleged to be subsidized by the Governments of Brazil, Canada, Germany, Trinidad and Tobago, and Turkey. The Commission also determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from Brazil, Canada, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV). The Commission also determines,3 pursuant to section 771(24)(A) of the Act (19

U.S.C. 1677(24)(A)), that imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Egypt, South Africa, and Venezuela that are alleged to be sold in the United States at LTFV are negligible, and its investigations with regard to those countries are thereby terminated pursuant to section 733(a) of the Act.

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling, which will be published in the Federal Register as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules, upon notice from the Department of Commerce of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under sections 703(b) and 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under sections 705(a) and 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations.

Background

On August 31, 2001, a petition was filed with the Commission and Commerce by counsel on behalf of Co-Steel Raritan, Inc., Perth Amboy, NJ; GS Industries, Inc., Charlotte, NC: Keystone Consolidated Industries, Inc., Dallas TX; and North Star Steel Texas, Inc., Edina, MN, alleging that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of subsidized imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, Canada, Germany, Trinidad and Tobago, and Turkey and LTFV imports of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod from Brazil, Canada, Egypt, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Ukraine, and Venezuela. Accordingly, effective August 31, 2001, the Commission instituted investigations Nos. 701–TA–417–421 and 731–TA– 953-963 (Preliminary).

Notice of the institution of the Commission's investigations and of a

public conference to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register of September 10, 2001 (66 FR 47036). The conference was held in Washington, DC, on September 21, 2001, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determinations in these investigations to the Secretary of Commerce on October 15, 2001. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3456 (October 2001), entitled Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Canada, Egypt, Germany, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, South Africa, Trinidad and Tobago, Turkey, Ukraine, and Venezuela: Investigations Nos. 701–TA–417–421 and 731–TA–953–963 (Preliminary).

By order of the Commission. Issued: October 23, 2001.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–27063 Filed 10–26–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-435]

In the Matter of Certain Integrated Repeaters, Switches, Transceivers, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Issuance of Limited Exclusion Order

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a limited exclusion order in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW. Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3115. Copies of the public versions of the Commission's opinion and all other nonconfidential documents in the record of this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

² The merchandise covered by these investigations is certain hot-rolled products of carbon steel and alloy steel, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.0 mm or more, but less than 19.0 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter. Specifically excluded are steel products possessing the above-noted physical characteristics and meeting the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) definitions for (a) stainless steel; (b) tool steel; (c) high nickel steel; (d) ball bearing steel; and (e) concrete reinforcing bars and rods. Also excluded are (f) free machining steel products (i.e., products that contain by weight one or more of the following elements: 0.03 percent or more of lead, 0.05 percent or more of bismuth, 0.08 percent or more of sulfur, more than 0.04 percent of phosphorous, more than 0.05 percent of selenium, or more than 0.01 percent of tellurium). All products meeting the physical description of subject merchandise that are not specifically excluded are included in the scope. The subject merchandise is provided for in HTS subheadings 7213.91, 7213.99, 7227.20, and 7227.90.60.

³ Commissioner Lynn M. Bragg, however, further finds that subject imports of wire rod from Egypt, South Africa, and Venezuela will imminently exceed the statutory negligibility threshold, and makes an affirmative threat determination with respect to such imports.

obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS–ON–LINE) at http://dockets.usitc.gov/eol/public.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This patent-based section 337 investigation was instituted on August 23, 2000, based upon a complaint filed on July 20, 2000, by Intel Corporation ("Intel") and Level One Communications, Inc. ("Level One"). 65 FR 51327 (Aug. 23, 2000). The respondent is Altima Communications, Inc. ("Altima"). A second patent-based section 337 investigation naming Altima as a respondent was instituted on April 24, 2000, based upon a complaint filed by Level One on March 23, 2000, and supplemented on April 13, 2000. 65 FR 21789 (Apr. 24, 2000). On August 24, 2000, the presiding administrative law judge (ALJ) issued an order consolidating the two investigations. From April 16, 2001, through April 30, 2001, the ALJ held an evidentiary hearing. On July 19, 2001, the ALJ issued a final initial determination (ID) finding that respondent Altima has violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), by infringing certain claims of two of complainants' asserted patents. Specifically, the ALJ found that: (1) There has been importation and sale of the accused products; (2) complainants practice the patents in controversy and satisfy the domestic industry requirements of section 337; (3) certain of the claims in issue are valid; (4) the accused imported products directly infringe certain of the claims in issue; and (5) respondent has induced infringement of certain of the claims in issue. Based on these findings, the ALJ concluded there was a violation of section 337. The ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order.

Complainants Intel and Level One and respondent Altima filed petitions for review of various portions of the ALJ's final ID, and opposed each others' petitions for review. The Commission investigative attorney (IA) did not petition for review of the final ID, but opposed the other parties' petitions for review. On September 5, 2001, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ's final ID and issued a notice to that effect. 66 Fed. Reg. 47037 (Sep. 10, 2001).

Having determined that a violation of section 337 has occurred in the

importation, sale for importation, or sale in the United States of the accused integrated repeaters, as well as integrated repeaters and switches in plastic ball grid array (PBGA) packages, the Commission considered the issues of the appropriate form of relief, whether the public interest precludes issuance of such relief, and the bond during the 60-day Presidential review period.

The Commission determined that a limited exclusion order prohibiting the importation of the accused integrated repeaters, and circuit boards and carriers containing such devices, as well as integrated repeaters, switches and other products in PBGA packages, and circuit boards and carriers containing such devices. and directed to respondent Altima is the appropriate form of relief. The Commission further determined that the statuturay public interest factors don not preclude the issuance of such relief, and that respondent's bond under the limited exclusion order shall be in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the imported articles.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50).

Dated: Issued: October 24, 2001. By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01–27167 Filed 10–26–01; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING\ CODE\ 7020–02-M$

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collections; Comments Requested

ACTION: 60—day notice of information collection under review; reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired—National Youth Gang Survey.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, (OJP), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for "sixty days" until December 28, 2001. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Phelan Wyrick, (202) 353–9254, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of information collection: Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) The title of the form/collection: National Youth Gang Survey.

- (3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection: Office of Juvenile Justice, and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.
- (4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State, Local, or Tribal law enforcement agencies. Other: None. This collection will gather information related to youth and their activities for research and assessment purposes.