

the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the "Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations refer to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

### Background

On May 25, 2000, the Department published an antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan. *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Taiwan*, 65 FR 33807. On May 29, 2001, Far Eastern Textile, Ltd. ("FETL"), a producer/exporter of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan covering the period March 30, 2000 to April 30, 2001. On May 30, 2001, Arteva Specialities S.a.r.l. d/b/a/KoSa and Wellman Inc. ("the petitioners"), requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from Taiwan for Nan Ya Plastics Corporation, Ltd. ("Nan Ya"), a producer/exporter of certain polyester staple fiber in Taiwan, covering the period April 27, 2000 to April 30, 2001. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we published the initiation of the review on June 19, 2001. *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 66 FR 32934. On September 4, 2001, FETL withdrew its request for review. On September 20, 2001, the petitioners withdrew their request for review for Nan Ya.

### Rescission of Review

The Department's regulations provide that the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within ninety days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). The Department's regulations also allow the Secretary to extend this time limit if it is reasonable to do so. *Id.* FETL's request for review was withdrawn within the ninety-day deadline. The petitioners' request for review for Nan Ya was withdrawn ninety-three days after the initiation was published. However, because Nan Ya received an extension to file its response

to section A of the Department's questionnaire in this administrative review until three days before the ninety-day deadline, we find it reasonable that the petitioners would need some time to examine at least the information in that response before making a determination to withdraw their request for review. Therefore, we have accepted the petitioners' withdrawal of their request for review despite it being filed after the ninety-day deadline.

As a result of the withdrawals of the requests for review and because the Department received no other request for review, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: October 4, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-25708 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[Case Number: A-533-824, A-583-837]

### Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip From India and Taiwan: Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determinations

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of postponement of preliminary antidumping duty determinations in antidumping duty investigations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is postponing the preliminary determinations in the antidumping duty investigations on polyethylene terephthalate film, sheet, and strip (PET film) from India and Taiwan from October 24, 2001, until December 13,

2001. This postponement is made pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 12, 2001.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Howard Smith, Ronald Trentham or Timothy Finn at (202) 482-5193, (202) 482-6320, or (202) 482-0065, respectively; Office 4, Group 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

### Background

On June 13, 2001, the Department initiated the above-referenced investigations. See *Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from India and Taiwan*, 66 FR 31888 (June 13, 2001).

### Postponement of Preliminary Determination

Currently, the preliminary determinations are due no later than October 24, 2001. However, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we have determined that these investigations are "extraordinarily complicated" and are therefore postponing the preliminary determinations by 50 days to December 13, 2001. Under section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department can extend the period for reaching a preliminary determination until not later than the 190th day after the date on which the administering authority initiates an investigation if:

(B) The administering authority concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that—

(i) the case is extraordinarily complicated by reason of—

(I) the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated or adjustments to be considered;

(II) the novelty of the issues presented; or

(III) the number of firms whose activities must be investigated; and

(ii) additional time is necessary to make the preliminary determination.

The parties concerned are cooperating in these investigations. Additional time is necessary, however, to complete the preliminary determinations due to the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated and adjustments to be considered, and the novelty of issues presented.

With respect to India, the Department needs to consider a number of complex sales, cost, and affiliation issues associated with two companies. In regard to Taiwan, on September 19 and September 26, 2001 the Department received allegations that sales were made below the cost of production during the period of investigation. We reviewed those allegations and initiated investigations of sales below cost. Therefore, for both investigations, additional time is required to review the issues and the cost information for purposes of the preliminary determinations. Therefore, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are postponing the preliminary determinations in these investigations until December 13, 2001. This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: October 4, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-25712 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

#### **Stainless Steel Bar From India; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. The period of review is February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 12, 2001.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Melanie Brown or Annika O'Hara in Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; at telephone (202) 482-4987 and 482-3798, respectively.

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

#### **Background**

On March 22, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India, covering the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16037). The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from India are currently due no later than October 31, 2001.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Due to the complexity of the issues raised by the revocation requests that have been made by two respondents, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, October 31, 2001). Therefore, the Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than February 28, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 01-25707 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-854]

#### **Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final results of changed circumstances review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 29, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review for a partial revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin mill products from Japan with respect to the merchandise described below at the request of Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union, interested parties in this proceeding. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 66 FR 29086 (May 29, 2001). On August 8, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that several interested parties are interested in the maintenance of the order with respect to the merchandise described below, and that there was no reasonable basis to believe that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 41550 (August 8, 2001). In our preliminary results we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment; however, we did not receive any comments. We are unable to determine that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed lack of interest in the product in question. Thus we determine that changed circumstances do not exist to warrant revocation of the order in part.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 12, 2001.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Ferrier or Steve Bezirganian, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and