3537(b)(1)) requires that notice and opportunity for comment be provided after the United States submits or receives a request for the establishment of a WTO dispute settlement panel. Consistent with this obligation, but in an effort to provide additional opportunity for comment, USTR is providing notice that consultations have been requested pursuant to the WTO **Dispute Settlement Understanding** (DSU). If such consultations should fail to resolve the matter and a dispute settlement panel is established pursuant to the DSU, such panel, which would hold its meetings in Geneva, Switzerland, would be expected to issue a report on its findings and recommendations within six to nine months after it is established.

Major Issues Raised by Brazil

Section 213 of the URAA (amending section 733(b)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930) provides, in accordance with Article 5.8 of the ADA, that, for purposes of antidumping investigations, a dumping margin less than or equal to 2 percent is de minimis. However, § 351.106(c) of the DOC's regulation, 19 CFR 351.106(c), applies a 0.5 percent de minimis standard in the case of "sunset" reviews, which are conducted for purposes of determining whether an antidumping duty order should be revoked. Brazil claims that the DOC de minimis standard for reviews is inconsistent with the ADA because, according to Brazil, a 2 percent standard must be used in both investigations and reviews.

Brazil also argues that the United States practice of "zeroing," according to which negative dumping margins are counted as "zero" in both investigations and reviews, is inconsistent with the principle of fair comparison set out in Article 2 of the ADA. Brazil points out that the panel in European Communities—Anti-Dumping on Imports of Cotton-Type Bed Linen from India, WT/DS141/R, concluded that "zeroing" is inconsistent with the ADA, and that this finding was affirmed by the Appellate Body, WT/DS141/AB/R.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the issues raised in the dispute. Comments must be in English and provided in fifteen copies. A person requesting that information contained in a comment submitted by that person be treated as confidential business information must certify that such information is business confidential and would not customarily be released to the public by the commenter. Confidential business information must be clearly marked, "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy.

Information or advice contained in a comment submitted, other than business confidential information, may be determined by USTR to be confidential in accordance with section 135(g)(2) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2155(g)(2)). If the submitter believes that information or advice may qualify as such, the submitter—

(1) Must so designate the information or advice;

(2) Must clearly mark the material as "SUBMITTED IN CONFIDENCE" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page of each copy; and

(3) Is encouraged to provide a nonconfidential summary of the information or advice.

Pursuant to section 127(e) of the URAA (19 U.S.C. 3537(e)), USTR will maintain a file on this dispute settlement proceeding, accessible to the public, in the USTR Reading Room, which is located at 1724 F Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508. The public file will include non-confidential comments received by USTR from the public with respect to the dispute; if a dispute settlement panel is convened, the U.S. submissions to that panel, the submissions, or non-confidential summaries of submissions, to the panel received from other participants in the dispute, as well as the report of the pane; and, if applicable, the report of the Appellate Body. An appointment to review the public file (Docket WTO/DS-239, Brazil Antidumping Dispute) may be made by calling Brenda Webb, (202) 395–6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

A. Jane Bradley,

Assistant United States Trade Representative for Monitoring and Enforcement. [FR Doc. 01–25277 Filed 10–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3190–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2001-78]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of dispositions of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, Sandy Buchana—Sumter (202) 267–7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to 14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC, on October 3, 2001.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Disposition of Petitions

Docket No: FAA–2001–10637. Petitioner: Fullerton Municipal Airport and Eagle Flight.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Fullerton Municipal Airport and Eagle Flight to conduct local sightseeing flights at Fullerton Municipal Airport, Fullerton, California, for Eagle Flight 15 during October 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain antidrug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 09/27/2001, Exemption No. 7630

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9982. Petitioner: Cessna Aircraft Company. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR §25.785(b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Cessna to equip Cessna Model 608 Sovereign airplanes that were manufactured before January 1, 2004, with multiple-occupancy sidefacing seats that are not designed to include the general occupant protection requirements of §25.785(b).

Partial Grant, 09/07/2001, Exemption No. 7625

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10166. Petitioner: Country Flying Education, Inc. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit CFR to conduct local sightseeing flights at Necedah Airport, Necadah, Wisconsin, for the annual Open House during October 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 09/27/2001, Exemption No. 7629 Docket No.: FAA–2001–10165.

Petitioner: North Jersey Chapter of the Ninety-Nines, Inc.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the Ninety-Nines to conduct local sightseeing flights in the vicinity of Lincoln Part, New Jersey, for its Pennies-a Pound event during October 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain antidrug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 09/27/2001, Exemption No. 7628 [FR Doc. 01–25297 Filed 10–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-2001-77]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of disposition of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption part 11 of Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR), this notice contains a summary of disposition of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petitions or its final disposition.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Forest Rawls (202) 267–8033, Sandy Buchanan-Sumter (202) 267–7271, or Vanessa Wilkins (202) 267–8029, Office of Rulemaking (ARM–1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591. This notice is published pursuant to

14 CFR 11.85 and 11.91.

Issued in Washington, DC on October 3, 2001.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10597. Petitioner: Oskaloosa AirCharter L.L.C.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 135.143(c)(2).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit Oakaloosa to operate certain aircraft under part 135 without a TSO–C112 (Mode S) transponder installed in the aircraft. Grant, 09/19/2001, Exemption No. 7624

Docket No.: FAA–2001–9519 (previously Docket No. 581). Petitioner: U.S. Air Force.

Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 91.159.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit USAF to conduct hurricane reconnaissance flight without maintaining the appropriate cruising altitudes as prescribed by that section of 14 CFR that governs operations for flights conducted under visual flight rules.

Grant, 09/21/2001, Exemption No. 1311

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10450. Petitioner: Historical Aviation

Organization of Logan County. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR

135.251, 135.255, 135.353, and appendixes I and J to part 121.

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit HAOLC to conduct local sightseeing flights at Bellefontaine Municipal Airport for Air Fest 2001 during September 2001, for compensation or hire, without complying with certain anti-drug and alcohol misuse prevention requirements of part 135.

Grant, 09/21/2001, Exemption No. 7627

Docket No.: FAA–2001–10289 (previously Docket No. 27180).

Petitioner: EVA Airways Corporation. Section of 14 CFR Affected: 14 CFR 61.77(a) and (b) and 63.23(a) and (b).

Description of Relief Sought/ Disposition: To permit the issuance of U.S. special purpose pilot authorizations and U.S. special purpose flight engineer certificates to airmen employed by EVA without those airmen meeting the requirements to hold a current foreign certificate or license issued by a foreign contracting State to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, provided the airmen hold appropriate certificates issued by Taiwan's Civil Aeronautics Administration.

Grant, 09/21/2001, Exemption No. 6689C

[FR Doc. 01–25298 Filed 10–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Opportunity for Public Comment on Surplus Property Release at Georgetown County Airport, Georgetown, SC

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of Title 49, U.S.C. section 47153(c), notice is being given that the FAA is considering a request from the Georgetown County Airport Commission to waive the requirement that a 5.0-acre parcel of surplus property, located at the Georgetown County Airport, be used for aeronautical purposes.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 8, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this notice may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Atlanta Airports District Office, Attn: Anthony L. Cochran, Program Manager, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, Atlanta, GA 30337–2747.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to A.J. Rigby, Chairman of the Georgetown County Airport commission at the following address: 302 Sundial Drive, P.O. Box 3757, Pawley's Island, SC 29585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anthony Cochran, Program Manager, Atlanta Airports District Office, 1701 Columbia Ave., Suite 2–260, Atlanta, GA 30337–2747, (404) 305–7144. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA is reviewing a request by the Georgetown County Airport Commission to release 5.0 acres of surplus property at the Georgetown County Airport. The property will be purchased to construct a manufacturing plant. The net proceeds from the sale of this property will be used for airport purposes. The proposed use of this property is compatible with airport operations.

Any person may inspect the request in person at the FAA office listed above