weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances may be within the scope of the order. On May 24, 1999, we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing up to (and including) five pounds four ounces, and so labeled, is within the scope of the order. See Memorandum from John Brinkmann to Richard Moreland, dated May 24, 1999 on file in the CRU.

On December 13, 2000 the Department revoked the antidumping duty order with respect to De Cecco. See 65 FR 77852 (December 13, 2000).

Turkey (A-489-805)

Imports covered by the antidumping duty order on pasta from Turkey include shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta in packages of five pounds (2.27 kilograms) or less, whether or not enriched or fortified or containing milk or other optional ingredients such as chopped vegetables, vegetable purees, milk, gluten, diastases, vitamins, coloring and flavorings, and up to two percent egg white. The pasta covered by this order is typically sold in the retail market, in fiberboard or cardboard cartons, or polyethylene or polypropylene bags of varying dimensions. Excluded from the scope of this order are refrigerated, frozen, or canned pastas, as well as all forms of egg pasta, with the exception of non-egg dry pasta containing up to two percent egg_white.

The merchandise subject to review is currently classifiable under item 1902.19.20 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

Scope Ruling

On October 26, 1998, the Department self-initiated a scope inquiry to determine whether a package weighing over five pounds as a result of allowable industry tolerances may be within the scope of the orders. On May 24, 1999 we issued a final scope ruling finding that, effective October 26, 1998, pasta in packages weighing up to (and including) five pounds four ounces, and so labeled, is within the scope of the order. See Memorandum from John Brinkmann to Richard Moreland, dated May 24, 1999, on file in the CRU.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties to this sunset review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum ("Decision Memorandum") from Jeffrey

A. May, Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated October 1, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail were the order revoked. Parties may find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099, of the main Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum may be accessed directly on the Web at http:// ia.ita.doc.gov/frn, under the heading "October 2001." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on pasta from Italy and Turkey would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following percentage weighted-average margins:

Weighted- average margin (percent)
19.09
Revoked
0.00
1.68
14.73
11.58
17.47
11.26

The antidumping order with respect to De Cecco, was revoked based on three years of sales in commercial quantities at not less than normal value. *See* 65 FR 77852 (December 13, 2000).

Manufacturer/ producer/ex- porter	Amended margin (%) (61 FR 38545)	Revised de- posit rate (61 FR 38545)
Filiz Maktas	63.29 60.87	63.29 48.26*

Manufacturer/ producer/ex- porter	Amended margin (%) (61 FR 38545)	Revised deposit rate (61 FR 38545)
All Others	60.87	51.49*

*Article VI of the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (1947) prohibits assessing dumping duties on the portion of the margin attributable on an export subsidy. In this case, the product in the investigation was subject to a countervailing duty order (see Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Pasta from Turkey, 61 FR 30288 (June 14 1006). Therefore for all 1006 Therefore for all 1 14, 1996). Therefore, for all entries of pasta from Turkey, entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption made on or after the date on which the order in the companion countervailing duty order investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Customs is instructed to deduct the portion of the margin attributable to the export subsidy form the countervailing duty investigation. Therefore, the cash deposit rate for Maktas is 48.26, and 51.49 percent for all other Turkish manufactur-ers/producers/exporters. The deposit rate for Filiz is based on total adverse facts available taken from the petition. Because the margin for Filiz was not a calculated margin, the margin remains unchanged.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This sunset review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 1, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–25102 Filed 10–4–01; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A–580–813]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Korea

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received a request for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded stainless steel butt-

weld pipe fittings from Korea issued on February 23, 1993 (58 FR 11029). In accordance with our regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review covering TK Corporation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker, Michael Heaney, or Robert James, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2924, (202) 482–4475, or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all references to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

The Department received a timely request, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d) of the Department's regulations, for a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Korea. See Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Welded Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings from Korea, 58 FR 11029 (February 23, 1993). See also the letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the law firm of Miller & Chevalier, August 31, 2001, requesting a new shipper review on behalf of TK Corporation, an exporter/producer of stainless steel buttweld pipe fittings.

Initiation of Review

Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b), TK Corporation certified in its August 31, 2001 submission that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of the investigation (POI) (December 1, 1991 through May 30, 1992), and that it was not affiliated with any exporter or producer of the subject merchandise to the United States during the POI. TK Corporation also submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject merchandise for export to the United States, the volume shipped, and the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Tariff Act and section 351.214(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Korea. This review covers the period February 1, 2001 through July 31, 2001. We intend to issue the final results of the review no later than 180 days from the date of publication of this notice.

We will instruct the Customs Service to suspend liquidation of any unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise from TK Corporation and allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by TK Corporation in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e).

Interested parties may submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(b).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act and section 351.214 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: Septembeer 28, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–25097 Filed 10–4–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Publication of quarterly update to annual listing of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, has prepared its quarterly update to the annual list of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty during the period April 1, 2001 through June 30, 2001. We are publishing the current listing of those subsidies that we have determined exist.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 5, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tipten Troidl, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VI, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 702(a) of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (as amended) (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(g)(b)(4) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates of the type and amount of those subsidies. We hereby provide the Department's quarterly update of subsidies on cheeses that were imported during the period April 1, 2001 through June 30, 2001.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702(g)(b)(2) of the Act) being provided either directly or indirectly by foreign governments on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty. The appendix to this notice lists the country, the subsidy program or programs, and the gross and net amounts of each subsidy for which information is currently available.

The Department will incorporate additional programs which are found to constitute subsidies, and additional information on the subsidy programs listed, as the information is developed.

The Department encourages any person having information on foreign government subsidy programs which benefit articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty to submit such information in writing to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

This determination and notice are in accordance with section 702(a) of the Act.

Dated: October 1, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Subsidy Programs on Cheese Subject to an in-Quota Rate of Duty