

priority issues, it recognizes that other factors, such as the enactment of legislation requiring Commission action, may affect the Commission's ability to complete work on all of the identified policy priorities by the statutory deadline of May 1, 2002. The Commission may address any unfinished policy work from this agenda during the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2003.

For the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2002, and possibly continuing into the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2003, the Commission has identified the following priorities: (1) A 15 Year Study (in anticipation of the 15 year anniversary of the federal sentencing guidelines) composed of a number of projects geared toward analyzing the guidelines in light of the goals of sentencing reform described in the Sentencing Reform Act and the statutory purposes of sentencing set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a)(2); (2) in conjunction with the 15 Year Study, an assessment of, and possible guideline amendment proposals for, the following guideline areas: (i) Chapter Two, Part D (Offenses Involving Drugs); and (ii) Chapter Four (Criminal History); (3) implementation of any crime legislation enacted during the first session of the 107th Congress warranting a Commission response; (4) miscellaneous and discreet issues such as offenses involving damage to cultural heritage resources; and (5) the resolution of any conflicts among the circuits related to the operation of the guidelines in the areas identified above.

(B) Issues Related to the Organizational Guidelines.—The sentencing guidelines for organizations found in Chapter Eight (Sentencing of Organizations) were promulgated on November 1, 1991. Approximately 250 to 300 cases per year currently are being sentenced under the organizational guidelines. More important than the number of cases sentenced, the organizational guidelines have had a tremendous impact on the implementation of compliance and business ethics programs over the past ten years. The organizational guidelines prompted a serious reconsideration within the American business community of methods and rationale for improved corporate governance. The Commissioners have been active in speaking at various compliance and ethics seminars and writing articles about the organizational guidelines over the years and are aware of the importance of the organizational guidelines to good corporate citizenship.

Recently, the Commission has received several letters from individuals

and organizations suggesting that the Commission examine the organizational guidelines with a view toward changes that might be made to improve their overall operation. (These letters are available at the Commission for public review.) Changes that have been suggested include, for example: (1) Broadening compliance requirements to include ethics and integrity based systems, (2) developing criteria in § 8A1.2 (Application Instructions—Organizations) that would create a “safe harbor” for reporting without fear of retribution, and (3) fostering a dialogue with interested parties for the purpose of reviewing the organizational guidelines and making further suggestions for change.

In response to the suggestion to foster a dialogue on the organizational guidelines, the Commission is considering forming an ad hoc advisory group of interested persons such as industry representatives, scholars, and experts in compliance and business ethics, which might lead to development of proposals on the organizational guidelines for Commission consideration. *See* USSC Rule of Practice and Procedure 5.4. The Commission requests comment on (1) the scope, duration, and membership of any such advisory group; (2) the merit of the suggestions from outside parties as described in the preceding paragraph; and (3) any other issues related to the improvement of Chapter Eight.

(C) Issues Related to the Impact of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines on Native Americans in Indian Country.—On June 19, 2001, the Sentencing Commission held a public hearing in Rapid City, South Dakota, in response to the March 2000 Report of the South Dakota Advisory Committee to the United States Commission on Civil Rights, which recommended that an assessment of the impact of the United States sentencing guidelines on Native Americans in South Dakota be undertaken. The Committee, in its report, expressed concern about the impact of the federal sentencing guidelines on Native Americans in Indian Country who are prosecuted in federal court for crimes that otherwise would be brought under state law. The Committee's concerns and recommendations were based on the widespread perception in South Dakota that Native Americans, by virtue of being subject to federal prosecution and sentencing, rather than state prosecution and sentencing, receive harsher sentences under the federal guidelines than they would under a similar state sentence. The purpose of the hearing was to provide the Commission with an

opportunity to hear from various witnesses who have first-hand experience with the process of criminal investigation, prosecution, and sentencing in South Dakota and the federal sentencing guidelines. Representative testimony was received from local judges, prosecution and defense officials, victims groups, as well as Native American tribal leaders. The Commission is aware that Native Americans in other regions similarly impacted by the federal sentencing guidelines may want to express views on these issues.

As a result of suggestions made at that hearing and subsequent written submissions, the Commission is considering forming an hoc advisory group on issues related to the impact of the federal sentencing guidelines on Native Americans in Indian Country. The Commission requests comment on the merits of forming such a group, including comment on the scope, duration, and membership of any such advisory group that may be formed.

Authority: 28 U.S.C. 994 (a), (o), (p); USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 5.2.

Diana E. Murphy,

Chair.

[FR Doc. 01-23324 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-40-P

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[License No.02/27-0604]

KBL Healthcare, L.P.; Notice Seeking Exemption Under Section 312 of the Small Business Investment Act, Conflicts of Interest

Notice is hereby given that KBL Healthcare, L.P., 645 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10022, a Federal Licensee under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (“the Act”), in connection the financing of a small concern, has sought an exemption under section 312 of the Act and Section 107.730, Financials which Constitute Conflicts of Interest of the Small Business Administration (“SBA”) rules and regulations (13 CFR 107.730 (2000)). KBL Healthcare, L.P. proposes to provide equity security financing to Lumenos, Inc., 1725 Duke Street, Suite 400 Alexandria, VA 22314. The financing is contemplated for technology development, sales and marketing, working capital and general corporate purposes.

The financing is brought within the purview of Section 107.730(a)(1) of the Regulations because KBL Healthcare Inc., KBL Healthcare Ventures, L.P.,

KBL Partnership, L.P. and other related individuals and entities, Associates of KBL Healthcare, L.P., together currently own greater than 10 percent of Lumenos, Inc. and therefore Lumenos, Inc. is considered an Associate of KBL Healthcare, L.P. as defined in Section 107.50 of the regulations.

Notice is hereby given that any interested person may submit written comments on the transaction to the Acting Associate Administrator for Investment, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street, SW, Washington, DC 20416.

Dated: September 6, 2001.

Harry Haskins,

Acting Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc. 01-23297 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 3784]

Culturally Significant Objects Imported for Exhibition; Determinations: "William Beckford, 1760-1844: An Eye for the Magnificent"

DEPARTMENT: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the following determinations: Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985, 22 U.S.C. 2459), the Foreign Affairs Reform and Restructuring Act of 1998 (112 Stat. 2681 *et seq.*), Delegation of Authority No. 234 of October 1, 1999 (64 FR 56014), and Delegation of Authority No. 236 of October 19, 1999 [64 FR 57920], as amended, I hereby determine that the objects to be included in the exhibit "William Beckford, 1760-1844: An Eye for the Magnificent," imported from abroad for the temporary exhibition without profit within the United States, are of cultural significance. These objects will be imported pursuant to loan agreements with foreign lenders. I also determine that the temporary exhibition or display of the exhibit objects at The Bard Graduate Center for Studies in the Decorative Arts, of New York, NY, from on or about October 16, 2001, to on or about January 6, 2002, is in the national interest. Public Notice of these determinations is ordered to be published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, including a list of exhibit objects, contact Julianne Simpson, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Legal Adviser, U.S. Department of State (telephone: 202/619-6529). The

address is U.S. Department of State, SA-44, 301 4th Street, SW, Room 700, Washington, DC 20547-0001.

Dated: September 13, 2001.

Helena Kane Finn,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, U.S. Department of State.

[FR Doc. 01-23337 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-08-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[PUBLIC NOTICE 3786]

Notice of Postponement of Meeting of the Cultural Property Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: Notice.

Due to extenuating circumstances, the meeting of the Cultural Property Advisory Committee scheduled for Thursday, September 20, and Friday September 21, 2001, at the Department of State to review the proposal to extend the "Agreement between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of Canada Concerning the Imposition of Import Restrictions on Certain Categories of Archaeological and Ethnological Material" has been postponed. The meeting will be re-scheduled and a new notice will be published in the **Federal Register**. The original notice was published on August 7, 2001, Vol. 66, No. 152. Further information about this agreement and related cultural property information may be found at this web site: <http://exchanges.state.gov/education/culprop>.

Dated: September 17, 2001.

Helena Kane Finn,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 01-23487 Filed 9-18-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[PUBLIC NOTICE #3744]

Notice of Meetings; United States International Telecommunication Advisory Committee, Telecommunication Development (ITAC-D)

The Department of State announces a meeting of the U.S. International Telecommunication Advisory Committee. The purpose of the Committee is to advise the Department on policy and technical issues with

respect to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU).

There will be two September meetings of the ITAC-D: Friday, September 21, 2001, from 10:00 to noon & Wednesday, September 26, 2001, from 10:00 to noon. The agenda for both meetings is to prepare for the meeting of the ITU-D Telecommunication Development Advisory Group (TDAG), scheduled for Geneva, October 3-5 & to prepare for the WTDC02: Americas Regional Preparatory Meeting scheduled for October 16-18, Port o' Spain, Trinidad & Tobago. Meetings will be at the Department of State in rooms yet to be determined.

Members of the general public may attend these meetings. Directions to meeting location and actual room assignments may be determined by calling the Secretariat at 202 647-0965/2592. Entrance to the building is controlled; people intending to attend this meeting should send an e-mail to williamsd@state.gov no later than 48 hours before the meeting for preclearance. This e-mail should display the name of the meeting and date of meeting, your name, social security number, date of birth, and organizational affiliation. One of the following valid photo identifications will be required for admission: U.S. driver's license, passport, U. S. Government identification card. Enter the Department of State from the C Street Lobby; in view of escorting requirements, non-Government attendees should plan to arrive not less than 15 minutes before the meeting begins.

Attendees may join in the discussions, subject to the instructions of the Chair. Admission of members will be limited to seating available.

Dated: September 12, 2001.

Frank K. Williams,

Director, Radiocommunication Standardization, Department of State.

[FR Doc. 01-23486 Filed 9-17-01; 3:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 4710-45-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice #3743]

Advisory Committee on Labor Diplomacy; Notice of Meeting

The Advisory Committee on Labor Diplomacy (ACLD) will hold a meeting from 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. on October 4, 2001, in room 6210, U.S. Department of State, 2201 C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20520. Committee Chairman Thomas Donahue, former President of the AFL-CIO, will chair the meeting.