Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, (415) 744–1259.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 4, 2001, the District issued a final PSD permit to MEC for the construction of a new electricity generating plant in San Jose, California. The PSD permit was issued pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21, the terms and conditions of the District's delegation of authority from the U.S. EPA under 40 CFR 52.21(u), and section 7 of the federal Endangered Species Act. Subsequent to the issuance of the PSD Permit, the Petitioners filed petitions for review of the PSD Permit with the Board on June 18, 2001. On August 10, 2001, the Board denied review of the petition because Petitioners failed to show clear error or other reason for the Board to grant review with respect to: (1) The District's BACT determinations for NO<sub>X</sub> and CO (2.5 ppm averaged over 1 hour and 6 ppm averaged over 3 hours, respectively); (2) the District's treatment of collateral issues, including an ammonia slip limit of 5 ppm, possible formation of secondary particulate matter, and the potential for accidental releases of ammonia during transport and storage; (3) the District's failure to reopen the public comment period to allow public comment on a supplemental BACT analysis that was submitted after the closure of the original public comment period; (4) the District's failure to respond to certain comments that do not rise to the level necessary to justify a remand; (5) the District's bifurcation of the PSD Permit and the Final Determination of Compliance (a licensing document issued by the California Energy Commission); and (6) miscellaneous other issues including the Bay Area's ozone attainment plan, meteorological data, the Endangered Species Act, state laws, air toxics, and environmental justice. For a complete discussion of the EAB's decision, see In re: Metcalf Energy Center, PSD Appeal Nos. 01-07 and 01-08.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 124.19(f)(1), for purposes of judicial review, final Agency action occurs when a final PSD permit is issued and Agency review procedures are exhausted. This document is being published pursuant to 40 CFR 124.19(f)(2), which requires notice of any final agency action regarding a permit to be published in the Federal Register. This document being published today in the Federal Register constitutes notice of the final Agency action denying review of the PSD permit and, consequently, notice of the District's issuance of final PSD permit No. 99-AFC-3 to Metcalf Energy Center on May 4, 2001.

The proposed power plant, located near San Jose, California, will have a nominal electrical output of 600 MW and will be fired on natural gas. The proposed facility is subject to PSD for Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>X</sub>), Carbon Monoxide (CO), Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and Particulate Matter ( $PM_{10}$ ). The permit includes the following Best Available Control Technology (BACT) emission limits: NO<sub>X</sub> at 2.5 ppmvd (based on 1-hour averaging at 15%  $O_2$ ); 6 ppmvd CO (based on 3-hour averaging at 15% O<sub>2</sub>); SO at 1.28 pounds per hour or 0.0006 lb/MM BTU of natural gas fired; and PM<sub>10</sub> at 12 pounds per hour or 0.00565 lb/MM BTU of natural gas fired when duct burners are in operation. The BACT requirements include use of Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) for the control of NO<sub>X</sub> emissions and a combination of good combustion control and natural gas for the control of CO and PM<sub>10</sub> emissions. Continuous emission monitoring is required for NO<sub>X</sub> and CO. The facility is also subject to New Source Performance Standards, subparts AA and GG, and the Acid Rain program under title IV of the Clean Air Act.

If available, judicial review of these determinations under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA may be sought only by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within 60 days from the date on which this document is published in the **Federal Register**. Under section 307(b)(2) of this Act, this determination shall not be subject to later judicial review in any civil or criminal proceedings for enforcement.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

#### Jack P. Broadbent,

Director, Air Division, Region IX.  $[FR\ Doc.\ 01-23000\ Filed\ 9-12-01;\ 8:45\ am]$  BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## 40 CFR Part 63

[PA001-1000; FRL-7055-9]

Approval of Section 112(I) Authority for Hazardous Air Pollutants; State of Pennsylvania; Department of Environmental Protection

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule and delegation.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is taking direct final action to approve Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection's (PADEP's) request for

delegation of authority to implement and enforce its hazardous air pollutant regulations for perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting which have been adopted by reference from the Federal requirements set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations. This approval will automatically delegate future amendments to these regulations. In addition, EPA is taking direct final action to approve of PADEP's mechanism for receiving delegation of future hazardous air pollutant regulations which it adopts unchanged from the Federal requirements. This mechanism entails submission of a delegation request letter to EPA following EPA notification of a new Federal requirement. EPA is not waiving its notification and reporting requirements under this approval; therefore, sources will need to send notifications and reports to both PADEP and EPA. This action pertains only to sources which are not required to obtain a Clean Air Act operating permit. The PADEP's request for delegation of authority to implement and enforce its hazardous air pollutant regulations at sources which are required to obtain a Clean Air Act operating permit was approved on January 5, 1998. EPA is taking this action in accordance with the Clean Air Act (CAA).

**DATES:** This direct final rule will be effective November 13, 2001 unless EPA receives adverse or critical comments by October 15, 2001. If adverse comment is received, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments on this action should be sent concurrently to: Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Permits and Technical Assessment Branch, Mail Code 3AP11. Air Protection Division. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029, and James M. Salvaggio, Director, Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 and the Pennsylvania Department of

Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dianne J. McNally, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 3, 1650 Arch Street (3AP11), Philadelphia, PA 19103– 2029, mcnally.dianne@epa.gov (telephone 215–814–3297).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

Section 112(l) of the CAA and 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 63, subpart E authorize EPA to approve of State rules and programs to be implemented and enforced in place of certain CAA requirements, including the National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants set forth at 40 CFR part 63. EPA promulgated the program approval regulations on November 26, 1993 (58 FR 62262) and subsequently amended these regulations on September 14, 2000 (65 FR 55810). An approvable State program must contain, among other criteria, the following elements:

- (a) A demonstration of the state's authority and resources to implement and enforce regulations that are at least as stringent as the NESHAP requirements;
- (b) A schedule demonstrating expeditious implementation of the regulation; and
- (c) A plan that assures expeditious compliance by all sources subject to the regulation.

On November 28, 2000, PADEP submitted to EPA a request to receive delegation of authority to implement and enforce the hazardous air pollutant regulations for perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting which have been adopted by reference from 40 CFR part 63, subparts M, N, O, T and X, respectively. The PADEP also requested that EPA automatically delegate future amendments to these regulations and approve PADEP's mechanism for receiving delegation of future hazardous air pollutant regulations which it adopts unchanged from the Federal requirements. This mechanism entails submission of a delegation request letter to EPA following EPA notification of a new Federal requirement. The PADEP requested these approvals for sources not subject to the permitting requirements of 40 CFR part 70. On January 5, 1998, PADEP received delegation of authority to implement all

emission standards promulgated in 40 CFR part 63, as they apply to major sources, as defined by 40 CFR part 70.

# II. EPA's Analysis of PADEP's Submittal

Based on PADEP's program approval request and its pertinent laws and regulations, EPA has determined that such an approval is appropriate in that PADEP has satisfied the criteria of 40 CFR 63.91. In accordance with 40 CFR 63.91(d)(3)(i), PADEP submitted a written finding by the State Attorney General which demonstrates that the State has the necessary legal authority to implement and enforce its regulations, including the enforcement authorities which meet 40 CFR 70.11, the authority to request information from regulated sources and the authority to inspect sources and records to determine compliance status. In accordance with 40 CFR 63.91(d)(3)(ii), PADEP submitted copies of its statutes, regulations and requirements that grant authority to PADEP to implement and enforce the regulations. In accordance with 40 CFR 63.91(d)(3)(iii)-(v), PADEP submitted documentation of adequate resources and a schedule and plan to assure expeditious State implementation and compliance by all sources. Therefore, the PADEP program has adequate and effective authorities. resources, and procedures in place for implementation and enforcement of sources subject to the requirements of 40 CFR part 63, subparts M, N, O, T and X, as well as any future emission standards, should PADEP seek delegation for these standards. The PADEP automatically adopts the emission standards promulgated in 40 CFR part 63 into its permitting program under section 6.6(a) of the Pennsylvania Air Pollution Control Act, 35 P.S. section 4006.6(a). The PADEP has the primary authority and responsibility to carry out all elements of these programs for all sources covered in Pennsylvania, including on-site inspections, record keeping reviews, and enforcement.

# III. Terms of Program Approval and Delegation of Authority

In order for PADEP to receive automatic delegation of future amendments to the perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting emission standards, as they apply to facilities not required to obtain a permit under 40 CFR part 70, each amendment must be legally adopted by the State of Pennsylvania. As stated

earlier, these amendments are automatically adopted into PADEP's permitting program under section 6.6(a) of the Pennsylvania Air Pollution Control Act, 35 P.S. section 4006.6(a).

EPA has also determined that PADEP's mechanism for receiving delegation of future hazardous air pollutant regulations which it adopts unchanged from the Federal requirements, as they apply to facilities not required to obtain a permit under 40 CFR part 70, can be approved. This mechanism will require PADEP to submit a delegation request letter to EPA following EPA notification of a new Federal requirement. EPA will grant the delegation request, if appropriate, by sending a letter to PADEP outlining the authority to implement and enforce the standard. The delegation will be finalized within 10 days of receipt of the delegation letter unless PADEP files a negative response. The official notice of delegation of additional emission standards will be published in the Federal Register. As noted earlier, PADEP's program to implement and enforce all emission standards promulgated under 40 CFR part 63, as they apply to sources subject to the permitting requirements of 40 CFR part 70, was previously approved on January 5, 1998.

The notification and reporting provisions in 40 CFR part 63 requiring the owners or operators of affected sources to make submissions to the Administrator shall be met by sending such submissions to PADEP and EPA Region III.

If at any time there is a conflict between a PADEP regulation and a Federal regulation, the Federal regulation must be applied if it is more stringent than that of PADEP. EPA is responsible for determining stringency between conflicting regulations. If PADEP does not have the authority to enforce the more stringent Federal regulation, it shall notify EPA Region III in writing as soon as possible, so that this portion of the delegation may be revoked.

If EPA determines that PADEP's procedure for enforcing or implementing the 40 CFR part 63 requirements is inadequate, or is not being effectively carried out, this delegation may be revoked in whole or in part in accordance with the procedures set out in 40 CFR 63.96(b).

Certain provisions of 40 CFR part 63 allow only the Administrator of EPA to take further standard setting actions. In addition to the specific authorities retained by the Administrator in 40 CFR 63.90(d) and the "Delegation of

Authorities" section for specific standards, EPA Region III is retaining the following authorities, in accordance with 40 CFR 63.91(g)(2)(ii):

(1) Approval of alternative nonopacity emission standards, e.g., 40 CFR 63.6(g) and applicable sections of

relevant standards;

- (2) Approval of alternative opacity standards, e.g., 40 CFR 63.9(h)(9) and applicable sections of relevant standards:
- (3) Approval of major alternatives to test methods, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (4) Approval of major alternatives to monitoring, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.8(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards; and
- (5) Approval of major alternatives to recordkeeping and reporting, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.10(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards.

The following provisions are included in this delegation, in accordance with 40 CFR 63.91(g)(1)(i), and can only be exercised on a case-by-case basis. When any of these authorities are exercised, PADEP must notify EPA Region III in writing:

- (1) Applicability determinations for sources during the title V permitting process and as sought by an owner/operator of an affected source through a formal, written request, e.g., 40 CFR 63.1 and applicable sections of relevant standards <sup>1</sup>:
- (2) Responsibility for determining compliance with operation and maintenance requirements, e.g., 40 CFR 63.6(e) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (3) Responsibility for determining compliance with non-opacity standards, e.g., 40 CFR 63.6(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (4) Responsibility for determining compliance with opacity and visible
- <sup>1</sup> Applicability determinations are considered to be nationally significant when they:
- (i) Are unusually complex or controversial;(ii) Have bearing on more than one state or are
- multi-Regional;
  (iii) Appear to create a conflict with previous
- policy or determinations;
- (iv) Are a legal issue which has not been previously considered; or
- (v) Raise new policy questions and shall be forwarded to EPA Region III prior to finalization.

Detailed information on the applicability determination process may be found in EPA document 305–B–99–004 How to Review and Issue Clean Air Act Applicability Determinations and Alternative Monitoring, dated February 1999. The PADEP may also refer to the Compendium of Applicability Determinations issued by the EPA and may contact EPA Region III for guidance.

- emission standards, e.g., 40 CFR 63.6(h) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (5) Approval of site-specific test plans <sup>2</sup>, e.g., 40 CFR 63.7(c)(2)(i) and (d) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (6) Approval of minor alternatives to test methods, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.7(e)(2)(i) and applicable sections of relevant standards:
- (7) Approval of intermediate alternatives to test methods, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.7(e)(2)(ii) and (f) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (8) Approval of shorter sampling times/volumes when necessitated by process variables and other factors, e.g., 40 CFR 63.7(e)(2)(iii) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (9) Waiver of performance testing, e.g., 40 CFR 63.7 (e)(2)(iv), (h)(2), and (h)(3) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (10) Approval of site-specific performance evaluation (monitoring) plans <sup>3</sup>, e.g., 40 CFR 63.8(c)(1) and (e)(1) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (11) Approval of minor alternatives to monitoring methods, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.8(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards:
- (12) Approval of intermediate alternatives to monitoring methods, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.8(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards;
- (13) Approval of adjustments to time periods for submitting reports, e.g., 40 CFR 63.9 and 63.10 and applicable sections of relevant standards; and
- (14) Approval of minor alternatives to recordkeeping and reporting, as defined in 40 CFR 63.90(a), e.g., 40 CFR 63.10(f) and applicable sections of relevant standards.

As required, PADEP and EPA Region III will provide the necessary written, verbal and/or electronic notification to ensure that each agency is fully informed regarding the interpretation of applicable regulations in 40 CFR part 63. In instances where there is a conflict between a PADEP interpretation and a

Federal interpretation of applicable regulations in 40 CFR part 63, the Federal interpretation must be applied if it is more stringent than that of PADEP. Written, verbal and/or electronic notification will also be used to ensure that each agency is informed of the compliance status of affected sources in Pennsylvania. The PADEP will comply with all of the requirements of 40 CFR 63.91(g)(1)(ii).

Quarterly reports will be submitted to EPA by PADEP to identify sources determined to be applicable during that

quarter.

Although PADEP has primary authority and responsibility to implement and enforce the hazardous air pollutant general provisions and hazardous air pollutant emission standards for perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting, nothing shall preclude, limit, or interfere with the authority of EPA to exercise its enforcement, investigatory, and information gathering authorities concerning this part of the Act.

#### **IV. Final Action**

EPA is approving PADEP's request for delegation of authority to implement and enforce its hazardous air pollutant regulations for perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting which have been adopted by reference from 40 CFR part 63, subparts M, N, O, T and X, respectively. This approval will automatically delegate future amendments to these regulations. In addition, EPA is approving of PADEP's mechanism for receiving delegation of future hazardous air pollutant regulations which it adopts unchanged from the Federal requirements. This mechanism entails submission of a delegation request letter to EPA following EPA notification of a new Federal requirement. This action pertains only to sources which are not required to obtain an operating permit, in accordance with 40 CFR part 70. The delegation of authority shall be administered in accordance with the terms outlined in section IV., above. This delegation of authority is codified in 40 CFR 63.99. In addition, PADEP's delegation of authority to implement and enforce 40 CFR part 63 emission standards at sources required to obtain an operating permit in accordance with 40 CFR part 70, approved by EPA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The PADEP will notify EPA of these approvals on a quarterly basis by submitting a copy of the test plan approval letter. Any plans which propose major alternative test methods or major alternative monitoring methods shall be referred to EPA for approval.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The PADEP will notify EPA of these approvals on a quarterly basis by submitting a copy of the performance evaluation plan approval letter. Any plans which propose major alternative test methods or major alternative monitoring methods shall be referred to EPA for approval.

Region III on January 5, 1998 is codified in 40 CFR 63.99.

EPA is publishing this rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial rule and anticipates no adverse comment because PADEP's request for delegation of the perchloroethylene drycleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilization facilities, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelting and it's request for automatic delegation of future amendments to these rules and future standards, when specifically identified, does not alter the stringency of these regulations and is in accordance with all program approval regulations. However, in the "Proposed Rules" section of today's Federal Register, EPA is publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve of PADEP's request for delegation if adverse comments are filed. This rule will be effective on November 13, 2001 without further notice unless EPA receives adverse comment by October 15, 2001. If EPA receives adverse comment, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal in the Federal Register informing the public that the rule will not take effect. EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

## V. Administrative Requirements

### A. General Requirements

Under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), this action is not a "significant regulatory action" and therefore is not subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget. For this reason, this action is also not subject to Executive Order 13211, "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use" (66 FR 28355 (May 22, 2001)). This action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and imposes no additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. Accordingly, the Administrator certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility

Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). Because this rule approves pre-existing requirements under state law and does not impose any additional enforceable duty beyond that required by state law, it does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4). This rule also does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government, as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it merely approves a state rule implementing a Federal standard, and does not alter the relationship or the distribution of power and responsibilities established in the CAA. This rule also is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997), because it is not economically significant.

In reviewing requests for rule approval under CAA section 112, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. In this context, in the absence of a prior existing requirement for the State to use voluntary consensus standards (VCS), EPA has no authority to disapprove requests for rule approval under CAA section 112 for failure to use VCS. It would thus be inconsistent with applicable law for EPA, when it reviews a request for rule approval under CAA section 112, to use VCS in place of a request for rule approval under CAA section 112 that otherwise satisfies the provisions of the CAA. Thus, the requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) do not apply. As required by section 3 of Executive Order 12988 (61 FR 4729, February 7, 1996), in issuing this rule, EPA has taken the necessary steps to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize potential litigation, and provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct. EPA has complied with Executive Order 12630 (53 FR 8859, March 15, 1988) by examining the takings implications of the rule in accordance with the "Attorney General's Supplemental Guidelines for

the Evaluation of Risk and Avoidance of Unanticipated Takings" issued under the executive order. This rule does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.).

### B. Submission to Congress and the Comptroller General

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small **Business Regulatory Enforcement** Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## C. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by November 13, 2001. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action, pertaining to the approval of PADEP's delegation of authority for the hazardous air pollutant emission standards for perchloroethylene dry cleaning facilities, hard and decorative chromium electroplating and chromium anodizing tanks, ethylene oxide sterilizers, halogenated solvent cleaning and secondary lead smelters (CAA section 112), may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

# List of Subjects 40 CFR Part 63

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Air pollution control, Hazardous substances, Intergovernmental relations.

Dated: September 5, 2001.

## Judith M. Katz,

Director, Air Protection Division, Region III.

40 CFR part 63 is amended as follows:

## PART 63—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 63 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401, et seq.

## Subpart E—Approval of State **Programs and Delegation of Federal Authorities**

2. Section 63.99 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(38) to read as

#### § 63.99 Delegated Federal authorities.

(a) \* \* \*

(38) Pennsylvania.

(i) Pennsylvania is delegated the authority to implement and enforce all existing and future unchanged 40 CFR part 63 standards at major sources, as defined in 40 CFR part 70, in accordance with the delegation agreement between EPA Region III and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, dated January 5, 1998, and any mutually acceptable amendments to that agreement.

(ii) Pennsylvania is delegated the authority to implement and enforce all existing 40 CFR part 63 standards and all future unchanged 40 CFR part 63 standards, if delegation is requested by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection and approved by EPA Region III, at sources not subject to the permitting requirements of 40 CFR part 70, in accordance with the final rule, dated September 13, 2001, effective November 13, 2001, and any mutually acceptable amendments to the terms described in the direct final rule.

[FR Doc. 01-22990 Filed 9-12-01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 300

[FRL-7054-5]

## **National Priorities List for Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA" or "the Act"), as amended, requires that the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan ("NCP") include a list of national priorities among the known

releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants throughout the United States. The National Priorities List ("NPL") constitutes this list. The NPL is intended primarily to guide the **Environmental Protection Agency** ("EPA" or "the Agency") in determining which sites warrant further investigation. These further investigations will allow EPA to assess the nature and extent of public health and environmental risks associated with the site and to determine what CERCLAfinanced remedial action(s), if any, may be appropriate. This rule adds 11 new sites to the NPL; all to the General Superfund Section of the NPL.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date for this amendment to the NCP shall be October 15, 2001.

ADDRESSES: For addresses for the Headquarters and Regional dockets, as well as further details on what these dockets contain, see section II, "Availability of Information to the Public" in the SUPPLEMENTARY **INFORMATION** portion of this preamble.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yolanda Singer, phone (703) 603-8835, State, Tribal and Site Identification Center; Office of Emergency and Remedial Response (mail code 5204G); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; or the Superfund Hotline, phone (800) 424-9346 or (703) 412-9810 in the Washington, DC, metropolitan area.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Table of Contents**

- I. Background
  - A. What are CERCLA and SARA?
  - B. What is the NCP?
  - C. What is the National Priorities List (NPL)?
  - D. How are Sites Listed on the NPL?
  - E. What Happens to Sites on the NPL?
  - F. How are Site Boundaries Defined? G. How are Sites Removed from the NPL?
  - H. Can Portions of Sites be Deleted from
  - the NPL as They Are Cleaned Up? What is the Construction Completion List
- (CCL)?
- II. Availability of Information to the Public A. Can I Review the Documents Relevant
  - B. What Documents are Available for Review at the Headquarters Docket?
  - C. What Documents are Available for Review at the Regional Docket?
- D. How Do I Access the Documents?
- E. How Can I Obtain a Current List of NPL Sites?
- III. Contents of This Final Rule
  - A. Additions to the NPL

to this Final Rule?

- B. Status of NPL
- C. What did EPA Do with the Public Comments It Received?

- D. Clarification of Boundaries for Phoenix-Goodyear Airport Site
- IV. Executive Order 12866
  - A. What is Executive Order 12866?
  - B. Is this Final Rule Subject to Executive Order 12866 Review?
- V. Unfunded Mandates
- A. What is the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)?
- B. Does UMRA Apply to This Final Rule? VI. Effects on Small Businesses
- A. What is the Regulatory Flexibility Act?
- B. How Has EPA Complied with the Regulatory Flexibility Act?
- Possible Changes to the Effective Date of
- A. Has This Rule Been Submitted to Congress and the General Accounting
- B. Could the Effective Date of This Final Rule Change?
- C. What Could Cause the Effective Date of This Rule to Change?
- VIII. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act
  - A. What is the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act?
  - B. Does the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act Apply to this Final Rule?
- IX. Executive Order 12898
  - A. What is Executive Order 12898?
  - B. Does Executive Order 12898 Apply to This Final Rule?
- X. Executive Order 13045
- A. What is Executive Order 13045?
- B. Does Executive Order 13045 Apply to This Final Rule?
- XI. Paperwork Reduction Act
  - A. What is the Paperwork Reduction Act?
  - B. Does the Paperwork Reduction Act Apply to This Final Rule?
- XII. Executive Orders on Federalism What Are The Executive Orders on Federalism and Are They Applicable to This Final Rule?
- XIII. Executive Order 13084
- What is Executive Order 13084 and Is It Applicable to this Final Rule?
- XIV. Executive Order 13175
- A. What is Executive Order 13175?
- B. Does Executive Order 13175 Apply to This Final Rule?
- XV. Executive Order 13211
  - A. What is Executive Order 13211?
  - B. Is this Rule Subject to Executive Order 13211?

# I. Background

#### A. What Are CERCLA and SARA?

In 1980, Congress enacted the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601-9675 ("CERCLA" or "the Act"), in response to the dangers of uncontrolled releases of hazardous substances. CERCLA was amended on October 17, 1986, by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act ("SARA"), Public Law 99-499, 100 Stat. 1613 et seq.

#### B. What Is the NCP?

To implement CERCLA, EPA promulgated the revised National Oil