which is exempt, pursuant to PTE 97-08; (b) the guaranty has been and will be lawful under the applicable securities laws; (c) the guaranty has been and will be provided at no separate cost to the Plan; (d) the guaranty has not been and will not be a prohibited transaction under section 503(b) of the Code; (e) the guaranty has been and will be enforceable by the Plan in the U.S. courts; (f) Plans have benefited and will benefit from the addition of a credit guaranty by MSDW&Co of the obligations of its broker-dealer affiliates; (g) various rating agencies are able to determine the quality of the outstanding debt of MSDW&Co, thus providing a mechanism by which Plans are able to monitor the viability of the guaranty; (h) Plans have had and will have an additional party to look to in the event of a default by a broker-dealer.

#### **Notice to Interested Persons**

Notification of the publication of the Notice of Proposed Exemption to Modify PTE 97-08 (the Notice) will be mailed by first class mail to those Plan accounts that trade most frequently with the MSC/UK Affiliates. Such notification will be given within 15 days of the publication of the Notice in the Federal Register. The notification will contain a copy of the Notice, as published in the Federal Register, and a copy of the supplemental statement, as required pursuant to 29 CFR 2570.43(b)(2). The supplemental statement will inform interested persons of their right to comment on and/or to request a hearing with respect to the pending exemption. Written comments and hearing requests are due within 45 days of the publication of the Notice in the Federal Register.

#### **General Information**

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which require, among other things, a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirements of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan operate for the

exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

- (2) The proposed exemption, if granted, will not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b) of the Act and section 4975(c)(1) (E) or (F) of the Code:
- (3) Before an exemption can be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interest of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;
- (4) This proposed exemption, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and
- (5) This proposed exemption, if granted, is subject to the express condition that the Summary of Facts and Representations set forth in the notice of proposed exemption relating to PTE 97–08, as modified by this Notice, accurately describe, where relevant, the material terms of the transactions to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

## Written Comments and Hearing Requests

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments or requests for a hearing on the pending exemption to the address above, within the time frame set forth above, after the publication of this proposed exemption in the Federal Register. All comments will be made a part of the record. Comments received will be available for public inspection with the referenced applications at the address set forth above.

## **Proposed Exemption**

Based on the facts and representations set forth in the application, under the authority of section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and in accordance with the procedures set forth in 29 CFR part 2570, subpart B (55 FR 32836, August 10, 1990), the Department proposes to modify PTE 97–08 to include in Section I an additional transaction (D), as set forth below:

#### Section I. Transactions

D. If the exemption is granted, effective August 25, 1995, the

restrictions of section 406(a)(1)(A) through (D) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (D) of the Code, shall not apply, to a guaranty given to a Plan by MSDW&Co or any U.S. affiliate of MSDW&Co, provided that the guaranty when given: (a) Is in connection with one of the transactions, described in Section I(A), (B), or (C) of PTE 97–08, for which the specific conditions for such transaction and all of the general conditions, as set forth in PTE 97–08 have been satisfied; (b) is lawful under the applicable securities laws; (c) is provided at no separate cost to the Plan; and (d) is not a prohibited transaction under section 503(b) of the Code.

The availability of this proposed exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application for exemption are true and complete and accurately describe all material terms of the transactions. In the case of continuing transactions, if any of the material facts or representations described in the applications change, the exemption will cease to apply as of the date of such change. In the event of any such change, an application for a new exemption must be made to the Department.

For a more complete statement of the facts and representations supporting the Department's decision to grant PTE 97–08, refer to the proposed exemption and the grant notice that are cited above.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of September, 2001.

#### Ivan L. Strasfeld,

Director of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 01–22480 Filed 9–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–29–P

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

# Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Presidential Libraries will meet on September 21, 2001, at 1:30 p.m., at the Houston I meeting room on the second floor of the Double Tree Guest Suites, 303 W. 15th Street in Austin, Texas.

The agenda for the meeting will be the Presidential library programs and a discussion of several critical issues including dialogue concerning the symposium on the "Future of Presidential Libraries" and a report by the Archivist on recent developments at NARA.

The meeting will be open to the public. For further information, contact David Peterson at 301–713–6050.

Dated: August 31, 2001.

#### Mary Ann Hadyka,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 01–22483 Filed 9–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7515–01–U

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92– 463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Committee for Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences (1171), NSF.

Date/Time: September 21, 2001; 8:30 a.m.— 5 p.m.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, Room 970, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA.

Type of Meeting: Open (Members of the public who wish to attend should arrange access ahead of time with the contact person listed below).

Contact Person: Dr. Stuart Plattner, Program Director; Division of Behavioral and Cognitive Sciences, NSF, Suite 995; 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292–8740.

*Minutes:* May be obtained from the contact person listed above.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations to the National Science Foundation on issues related to the use of human subjects in social and behavioral research.

Agenda

Discussions addressing the following topics:

Foreign Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) Training (for principal investigators, research personnel, IRBs)

Consent (forms, signing, group/individual, students as research subjects)

Ethnography/oral history; "ethical proofreading"

Confidentiality/privacy

Secondary subjects/secondary data; linking data

Expanding the "exempt" category Deception

Subpart "D" of the Common Rule Research on the World Wide Web Data archiving

Dated: September 4, 2001.

### Susanne Bolton,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 01-22518 Filed 9-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-289]

## AmerGen Energy Company, LLC; Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1; Exemption

### 1.0 Background

The AmerGen Energy Company, LLC (the licensee) is the holder of Facility Operating License No. DPR-50 which authorizes operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit 1 (TMI-1). The license provides, among other things, that the facility is subject to all rules, regulations, and orders of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) now or hereafter in effect.

The facility consists of a pressurizedwater reactor located in Dauphin County in Pennsylvania.

### 2.0 Request/Action

Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), part 50, Appendix G requires, in part, that pressuretemperature (P/T) limits be established for reactor pressure vessels (RPVs) during normal operating and hydrostatic or leak rate testing conditions. Specifically, 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G states that "[t]he appropriate requirements on \* \* \* the pressuretemperature limits and minimum permissible temperature must be met for all conditions." Appendix G of 10 CFR part 50 specifies that these limits be at least as conservative as those obtained by following the methods of analysis and the margins of safety of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) Code, Section XI, Appendix G.

Pressurized-water reactor licensees have installed cold overpressure mitigation systems/low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) systems in order to protect the reactor coolant pressure boundary (RCPB) from being operated outside of the boundaries established by the P/T limit curves and to provide pressure relief of the RCPB during low temperature overpressurization events. The licensee is required by the TMI-1 Technical Specifications (TS) to update and submit the changes to its LTOP setpoints whenever the licensee is requesting approval for amendments to the P/T limit curves in the TMI-1 TS.

By an application dated March 29, 2001, the licensee requested amendments to the P/T limit curves in the TS. In the same application, the licensee requested an exemption from application of specific requirements of

10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, and 10 CFR part 50, Section 50.61(a)(5), in order to address provisions of amendments to the TS P/T limits curves. Specifically, the exemption would instead allow the use of ASME Code Cases and an alternative approach as follows:

1. Code Case N–588, which permits the use of circumferentially-oriented flaws in circumferential welds for development of P/T limits.

2. Code Case N–640, which permits application of the lower bound static initiation fracture toughness value equation as the basis for establishing the P/T curves in lieu of using the lower bound crack arrest fracture toughness value equation, and

3. The master curve approach for determining the initial reference temperature value for weld metal WF–70 in the TMI–1 reactor vessel.

#### 3.0 Discussion

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12, the Commission may, upon application by any interested person or upon its own initiative, grant exemptions from the requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, when (1) the exemptions are authorized by law, will not present an undue risk to the public health and safety, and are consistent with the common defense and security; and (2) when special circumstances are present. The three exemptions and their associated special circumstances are discussed below.

#### 3.1 Code Case N-588

The licensee has proposed an exemption to allow use of ASME Code Case N–588 in conjunction with ASME Section XI and 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix G, to determine P/T limits for TMI–1. The proposed amendment to revise the P/T limits for TMI–1 relies in part on the requested exemption. These revised P/T limits have been developed using postulated flaws in the circumferential orientation for the circumferential weld in the TMI–1 RPV, in lieu of postulating axial flaws in the circumferential welds.

The use of circumferential flaws in circumferential welds is more appropriate than the use of axial flaws in circumferential welds. Since the flaws postulated in the development of P/T limits have a through-wall depth of one-quarter of the vessel wall thickness (1.94 in. for the TMI–1 RPV), the length of the postulated flaw, six times the depth, is more than 11 inches. For the circumferential weld in the TMI–1 RPV, an axial flaw of this length centered at the weld would place the tips of the postulated flaw within the adjacent base metal above and below the weld.