at McMurdo Station for removal/ retrograde from the continent.

Location: McMurdo Station, Antarctica Dates: October 1, 2001 to November 30, 2001

8. *Applicant;* Permit Application No. 2002–014

Robert Semper, Exploratorium, 3601 Lyon Street, San Francisco, CA 94123

# **Activity for Which Permit Is Requested**

Enter Antarctic Specially Protected Areas. The applicant proposes to enter several Antarctic Specially Protected Areas for the purpose of filming scientific research in the field and document the historic huts in the Ross Island vicinity. The applicant proposes to enter the Cape Royds penguin rookery (ASPA 121) to film researchers working with Adelie penguins. In addition, the applicant proposes to enter and film the historic huts and environs at Cape Evans (ASPA 154), Cape Royds (ASPA 156), and Discovery Hut at Hut Point (ASPA #157). The film project is an effort to create a different approach to telling the story of basic scientific research and the infrastructure it takes to support it to a vast audience of museum and online visitors. Viewers, by means of a webcast, will have the opportunity to metaphorically look over the shoulder of scientists as they work in the field or laboratory. In addition, filming the huts will add the element of history and adventure for those who are not normally interested in scientific activities.

### Location:

Cape Royds penguin rookery, Ross Island—ASPA #121 Scott's Terra Nova Hut, Cape Evans, Ross Island—ASPA #154 Shackleton's Hut at Backdoor Bay,

Shackleton's Hut at Backdoor Bay, Cape Royds, Ross Island—ASPA #156

Scott's Discovery Hut, Hut Point, Ross Island—ASPA #157

Dates: November 1, 2001 to January 31, 2002

#### Nadene G. Kennedy,

Permit Officer, Office of Polar Programs.
[FR Doc. 01–21795 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

# NORTHEAST DAIRY COMPACT COMMISSION

# **Notice of Meeting**

**AGENCY:** Northeast Dairy Compact Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

summary: The Compact Commission will hold its regular monthly meeting to consider matters relating to administration and enforcement of the price regulation. This meeting will be held in Concord, New Hampshire, continuing the Commission's program of holding a meeting in each of the Compact states. In addition to receiving reports and recommendations of its standing Committees, the Commission will receive a number of informational reports about the impact of the overorder price regulation in New Hampshire.

DATES: The meeting will begin at 10 a.m. on Wednesday, September 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Courtyard by Marriott, Grappone Conference Center, 70 Constitution Avenue, Concord, New Hampshire 03301.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Smith, Executive Director, Northeast Dairy Compact Commission, 64 Main Street, Room 21, Montpelier, VT 05602. Telephone (802) 229–1941.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 7256.

Dated: August 22, 2001.

#### Daniel Smith,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 01–21744 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements: Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: Proposed Rule, 10 CFR Part 50, Releasing Part of a Power Reactor Site or Facility for Unrestricted Use Before the NRC Approves the License Termination Plan.
- 3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.
- 4. How often the collection is required: Each time a licensee requests

NRC approval of a release of part of its site or facility (partial site release) before NRC approval of its LTP.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Power reactor licensees.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: An average of two partial site releases each year.

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: Two.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: Part 50: 934 hours (for each of 2 partial site releases: 438 hours reporting + 24 hours recordkeeping; + 10 hours for update of one LTP submittal); Part 51: 240 hours (120 hours per response).

9. An indication of whether section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies:

Applicable

10. Abstract: The NRC is amending its regulations in part 50 to establish a process for allowing power reactor licensees to release part of their site for unrestricted use before receiving approval of their License Termination Plan (LTP). The proposed rule would add section 50.83 to 10 CFR Part 50 describing the criteria and the regulatory framework that a power reactor licensee must use to request NRC approval for a partial site release. The proposed new section would allow licensees to submit a letter request or, depending on radiological conditions, a license amendment application. In either case, the submittal would document the licensee's completion of a number of evaluations, surveys, recordkeeping, and reporting that would establish licensee compliance with health and safety considerations.

Submit, by September 28, 2001, comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
  - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. The proposed rule indicated in "The title of the information collection" is or has been published in the **Federal Register** within several days of the publication date of this **Federal Register** Notice. The

OMB clearance package and rule are available at the NRC worldwide web site: http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/PUBLIC/OMB/index.html for 60 days after the signature date of this notice and are also available at the rule forum site, http://ruleforum.llnl.gov.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by September 28, 2001: Bryon Allen, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0011, and –0021) NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3087.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of August 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Beth C. St. Mary**,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–21855 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-247]

# Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.; Indian Point Nuclear Generating Unit No. 2 Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission is considering issuance of
an amendment to Facility Operating
License No. DPR–26, issued to
Consolidated Edison Company of New
York, Inc., (the licensee), for operation
of the Indian Point Nuclear Generating
Unit No. 2 (IP2), located in Westchester
County, New York. Therefore, as
required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is
issuing this environmental assessment
and finding of no significant impact.

### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the Technical Specifications (TSs) to incorporate editorial revisions, clarifications, and corrections. Specifically, the proposed amendment would: (1) Provide updated information and corrections to the TS cover page, table of contents, and list of figures, (2) revise TS 4.5.E, "Control Room Air Filtration System," to remove an incorrect system test description and provide consistent test values for system flow rate and filter efficiency, (3) revise TS 6.2.1.a, "Facility Management and Technical Support," to reference the

Quality Assurance Program Description as the location of the documentation rather than the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report, (4) revise TS 6.9.1.7, "Monthly Operating Report," to change the recipient of the Monthly Operating Report, and (5) correct the periodicity of the Radioactive Effluent Release Report from semi-annual to annual in TS 6.15, "Offsite Dose Calculation Manual" and TS 6.16, "Major Changes to Radioactive Liquid, Gaseous and Solid Waste Systems." In addition, the amendment would revise TS Figure 5.1-1B concerning the indicated vent location associated with Indian Point Unit 3 (IP3). The labels for the IP3 plant vent and the machine shop were reversed.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated December 11, 2000.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to make the TSs clearer and editorially accurate and to correct the system test description and clarify the test values for the control room air filtration system.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the changes correct editorial errors that currently exist in the TSs and provide additional clarifications. The proposed action does not modify the facility or affect the manner in which the facility is operated.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the

proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for IP2, dated September 1972.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On August 21, 2001, the staff consulted with the New York State official, Mr. John P. Spath of the Energy Research and Development Authority, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

### **Finding of No Significant Impact**

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated December 11, 2000. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publically available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov (the Public Electronic Reading Room). If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, or 301-415-4737, or by e-mail at pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of August 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

### Peter S. Tam,

Acting Chief, Section 1, Project Directorate, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. [FR Doc. 01–21853 Filed 8–28–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P