

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 4.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 16,834 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Michele Brooks, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, at (202) 690-1078. FAX: (202) 720-4120.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to: F. Lamont Heppe, Jr., Director, Program Development and Regulatory Analysis, Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, STOP 1522, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250-1522. FAX: (202) 720-4120.

All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

**Blaine D. Stockton,**

*Acting Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 01-21681 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-15-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 9-2001]

#### Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone Butte County, California; Amendment of Application

Notice is hereby given that the application of the Oroville Economic Development Corporation, a non-profit corporation, to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone at sites in southern Butte County, California (Doc. 9-2001, 66 FR 10668, 2/16/01), has been amended to delete Site 4 (9 acres), located in Gridley. The application otherwise remains unchanged.

Dated: August 21, 2001.

**Dennis Puccinelli,**

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-21711 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-825]

#### Oil Country Tubular Goods From the Republic of Korea; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 21, 2001, the Department of Commerce published a notice of preliminary results of its antidumping duty changed circumstances review on certain welded stainless steel pipe from Korea (*see Oil Country Tubular Goods from the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 27938) ("Preliminary Results"). We have now completed the review and determine Hyundai Steel Company to be the successor-in-interest to Hyundai Pipe Company, Ltd.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 28, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mike Strollo or Scott Lindsay, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-5255 and (202) 482-3782, respectively.

#### Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR 351 (2001).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On May 21, 2001, the Department published its preliminary results in the *Federal Register* (*see "Preliminary Results"*) preliminarily finding Hyundai Steel Company ("Hyundai Hysco") to be the successor-in-interest to Hyundai

Pipe Company, Ltd. ("HDP"). No comments were received regarding these findings.

#### Scope of the Review

The products covered by this order are oil country tubular goods ("OCTG"), hollow steel products of circular cross-section, including only oil well casing and tubing, of iron (other than cast iron) or steel (both carbon and alloy), whether seamless or welded, whether or not conforming to American Petroleum Institute ("API") or non-API specifications, whether finished or unfinished (including green tubes and limited service OCTG products). This scope does not cover casing or tubing pipe containing 10.5 percent or more of chromium, or drill pipe. The products subject to this order are currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") under item numbers: 7304.29.10.10, 7304.29.10.20, 7304.29.10.30, 7304.29.10.40, 7304.29.10.50, 7304.29.10.60, 7304.29.10.80, 7304.29.20.10, 7304.29.20.20, 7304.29.20.30, 7304.29.20.40, 7304.29.20.50, 7304.29.20.60, 7304.29.20.80, 7304.29.30.10, 7304.29.30.20, 7304.29.30.30, 7304.29.30.40, 7304.29.30.50, 7304.29.30.60, 7304.29.30.80, 7304.29.40.10, 7304.29.40.20, 7304.29.40.30, 7304.29.40.40, 7304.29.40.50, 7304.29.40.60, 7304.29.40.80, 7304.29.50.15, 7304.29.50.30, 7304.29.50.45, 7304.29.50.60, 7304.29.50.75, 7304.29.60.15, 7304.29.60.30, 7304.29.60.45, 7304.29.60.60, 7304.29.60.75, 7305.20.20.00, 7305.20.40.00, 7305.20.60.00, 7305.20.80.00, 7306.20.10.30, 7306.20.10.90, 7306.20.20.00, 7306.20.30.00, 7306.20.40.00, 7306.20.60.10, 7306.20.60.50, 7306.20.80.10, and 7306.20.80.50. Although the HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description remains dispositive of the scope of this review.

#### Successorship and Final Results of Review

Because we received no comments on the preliminary results, for the reasons stated in the *Preliminary Results* and based on the facts on the record, we find Hyundai Hysco to be the successor-in-interest to HDP for purposes of this antidumping duty order.

Therefore, Hyundai Hysco will be excluded from the antidumping duty order on OCTG from the Republic of Korea and, thus, cash deposits will not

be required on its entries of subject merchandise.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to timely notify the Department in writing of the return/destruction of APO material is a sanctionable violation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 353.221(c)(4).

Dated: August 15, 2001.

**Bernard T. Carreau,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-21712 Filed 8-27-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-580-831 and A-580-834]

#### **Notice of Amendment of Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From the Republic of Korea; and Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the Republic of Korea**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amendment to final antidumping duty determinations of sales at less than fair value.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is amending its final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations on stainless steel plate in coils and stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from the Republic of Korea in order to implement the report of the WTO dispute settlement panel addressing these matters. Consistent with section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, which governs the Department's actions following WTO panel reports, the Department has revised the calculation of dumping margins in the above cases. We are now amending the final determinations consistent with the revised calculation of dumping margins.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 28, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Carrie Blozy or Rick Johnson, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230;

telephone: (202) 482-0165 or (202) 482-3818, respectively.

#### **The Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351, 62 FR 27296 (May 19, 1997). Finally, citation to "section 129" refers to section 129 of the URAA, codified at 19 U.S.C. 3538.

#### **Background**

On March 31, and June 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce issued final determinations of sales at less than fair value in the antidumping investigations on stainless steel plate in coils from Korea (*Plate*) and stainless steel sheet and strip in coils from Korea (*Sheet*), respectively.<sup>1</sup> Following affirmative injury determinations issued by the United States International Trade Commission, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on these products on May 21, and July 27, 1999, respectively.<sup>2</sup>

On October 14, 1999, the Government of the Republic of Korea (GOK) requested the establishment of a dispute settlement panel under the auspices of the World Trade Organization (WTO) to examine various aspects of the Department of Commerce's final affirmative determinations of dumping in this cases. At the meetings of the WTO Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) on November 19, 1999, the DSB determined to establish such a panel, and the panel was composed on March 24, 2000.

On December 22, 2000, after full briefing and hearings, the panel issued its report,<sup>3</sup> which was adopted by the DSB on February 1, 2001. On March 1, 2001, the United States announced its

<sup>1</sup> *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from the Republic of Korea*, 64 Fed. Reg. 15444 (Mar. 31, 1999) (*Plate*); and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from the Republic of Korea*, 64 FR 30664 (June 8, 1999) (*Sheet*).

<sup>2</sup> *Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 Fed. Reg. 27756 (May 21, 1999); and *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From the United Kingdom, Taiwan and South Korea*, 64 FR 40555 (July 27, 1999).

<sup>3</sup> *United States—Anti-Dumping Measures on Stainless Steel Plate In Coils and Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From Korea*, WT/DS179/R, adopted on 1 February 2001.

intention to implement the recommendations and rulings in these cases. On April 18, 2001, pursuant to section 129(b)(2) of the URAA, the United States Trade Representative requested that the Department of Commerce (Department) issue a determination that would render the Department's determination of dumping in the both the *Sheet* and *Plate* investigations not inconsistent with the findings of the panel. On May 15, 2001, the Department requested comments from interested parties. On May 24 and 31, 2001, the GOK and POSCO, respectively, submitted comments for the Department to consider for purposes of implementation.

On July 5, 2001, the Department issued its *Draft Implementation of WTO Dispute Settlement Proceeding: Antidumping Duties on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils and Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Korea*. The Department invited comment by interested parties in accordance with section 129(d) of the URAA. We received case briefs from POSCO and Petitioners on July 12, 2001. On July 17, 2001, POSCO submitted its rebuttal comments and on July 24, 2001, Petitioners submitted their rebuttal comments. At the request of respondent, a hearing was held on July 25, 2001.

On August 15, 2001, the Department transmitted its revised determinations in these cases to the United States Trade Representative (USTR), pursuant to section 129(b)(2) of the URAA. On August 17, 2001, the USTR informed the Department that it had conducted consultations pursuant to section 129(b)(3) of the URAA, and that the revised determinations bring the United States into conformity with the panel's findings in the dispute. Accordingly, the USTR requested, pursuant to section 129(b)(4) of the URAA, that the Department implement the revised determinations for these investigations. Therefore, we are hereby publishing notice of the implementation of our determination and are amending the final determinations in the antidumping duty investigations on Plate and Sheet from the Republic of Korea.

#### **WTO Panel Findings and Conclusions**

In its report, the dispute settlement panel found, *inter alia*, that certain aspects of the methodology used to determine dumping in the *Plate* and *Sheet* investigations were inconsistent with the Antidumping Agreement. Specifically, the panel made the following findings:

1. With respect to the issue of whether the Antidumping Agreement permits a currency conversion for certain sales in