

are "brined" mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.

Excluded from the scope of the order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including "refrigerated" or "quick blanched mushrooms"; (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) "marinated," "acidified" or "pickled" mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.²

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 2003.10.0027, 2003.10.0031, 2003.10.0037, 2003.10.0043, 2003.10.0047, 2003.10.0053, and 0711.90.4000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this new shipper review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memorandum) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 8, 2001, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit in Room B-099 of the main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

² On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that "marinated," "acidified," or "pickled" mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See "Recommendation Memorandum—Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, et al. for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China," dated June 19, 2000.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the margin calculation. For a discussion of these changes, see the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margin percentage exists for the period February 1, 2000, through July 31, 2000:

Exporter/manufacturer	Margin percentage
Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd	29.87

Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated an importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rate. We will direct the Customs Service to assess the resulting rate against the entered customs value for the subject merchandise on the importer's entry under the relevant order during the review period (*see* 19 CFR 351.212(a)). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct the Customs Service to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries for any importer for whom the assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit rates shall be required for merchandise subject to the order entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this final results of new shipper review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each reviewed company will be the rate indicated above; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding but of whom a review was not requested for this POR will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, all other exporters which have not been reviewed) will continue to be 198.63 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This new shipper review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—List of Comments and Issues in the Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Factory Overhead, Selling, General and Administrative and Profit Ratios

Comment 2: Valuation of Steam Coal

[FR Doc. 01-21605 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-423-809]

Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On April 23, 2001, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** its preliminary results of administrative review of the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium for the

period September 4, 1998 through December 31, 1999. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to ALZ N.V.'s net subsidy rate, and, as a result, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final net subsidy rate for ALZ N.V. is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 27, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melani Miller, Jarrod Goldfeder, or Anthony Grasso, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group I, Office 1, Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-0116, (202) 482-0189, or (202) 482-3853, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA") effective January 1, 1995 ("the Act"). Similarly, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("the Department") regulations are to the current regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000), including the new substantive countervailing duty regulations published in the **Federal Register** on November 25, 1998 (63 FR 65348).

Background

On May 11, 1999, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the countervailing duty order on stainless steel plate in coils from Belgium. See *Notice of Amended Final Determinations: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium and South Africa; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Italy and South Africa*, 64 FR 25288 (May 11, 1999). In accordance with section 351.213(b)(1) of the Department's regulations, this review of the order covers ALZ N.V. ("ALZ"), the only company for which a review was specifically requested. This review covers 27 programs.

Since the publication of *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 20425 (April 23, 2001) ("Preliminary Results"), the following events have occurred.

On April 25, 2001, the Department issued a supplemental questionnaire to ALZ. On May 3, 2001, ALZ submitted

its response to this supplemental questionnaire.

On May 22, 2001, the Government of Belgium ("GOB") and the Government of Flanders ("GOF") filed their combined case brief. On May 24, 2001, the petitioners in this proceeding (Allegheny Ludlum Corp., Armco, Inc., Lukens Inc., and United Steelworkers of America, AFL-CIO/CLC) and ALZ filed their case briefs. On June 8, 2001, the petitioners and ALZ filed rebuttal briefs. The GOB and the GOF did not file a rebuttal brief. The Department did not conduct a hearing in this review because none was requested.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain stainless steel plate in coils. Stainless steel is an alloy steel containing, by weight, 1.2 percent or less of carbon and 10.5 percent or more of chromium, with or without other elements. The subject plate products are flat-rolled products, 254 mm or over in width and 4.75 mm or more in thickness, in coils, and annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled. The subject plate may also be further processed (e.g., cold-rolled, polished, etc.) provided that it maintains the specified dimensions of plate following such processing. Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) Plate not in coils, (2) plate that is not annealed or otherwise heat treated and pickled or otherwise descaled, (3) sheet and strip, and (4) flat bars. In addition, certain cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils is also excluded from the scope of this order. The excluded cold-rolled stainless steel plate in coils is defined as that merchandise which meets the physical characteristics described above that has undergone a cold-reduction process that reduced the thickness of the steel by 25 percent or more, and has been annealed and pickled after this cold reduction process.

The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80, 7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10,

7220.90.00.15, 7220.90.00.60, and 7220.90.00.80. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs Service ("Customs") purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Period of Review

According to section 351.213(e)(2)(ii) of the Department's regulations, the first administrative review of a countervailing duty order should cover the period from the initial date of suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise to the end of the most recently completed fiscal year. In this case, suspension of liquidation began on September 4, 1998. See *Preliminary Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Countervailing Duty Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium*, 63 FR 47239 (September 4, 1998). Therefore, the period of review ("POR") for which we are measuring countervailable subsidies is from September 4, 1998 through December 31, 1999.

Because it is the Department's practice to calculate subsidy rates on an annual basis, we calculated a 1998 rate and a 1999 rate for ALZ. The rate calculated for 1998 will be applicable only to entries, or withdrawals from warehouse, for consumption made on and after September 4, 1998 through the end of 1998.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the August 21, 2001 *Issues and Decision Memorandum* ("Decision Memorandum"), which is hereby adopted by this notice. Attached to this notice as an appendix is a list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded in the *Decision Memorandum*. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department. In addition, a complete version of the *Decision Memorandum* can be accessed directly on the internet at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/frnhome.htm>. The paper copy and electronic version of the *Decision Memorandum* are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made changes to ALZ's net subsidy rate by revising the allocation period used to allocate

certain non-recurring subsidies which have not been previously allocated.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with section 351.221(b)(4)(i) of the Department's regulations, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for ALZ, the only producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period September 4, 1998 through December 31, 1998, we determine the net subsidy rate for ALZ to be 3.25 percent; for January 1, 1999 and for the period May 11, 1999 through December 31, 1999, we determine the net subsidy rate for ALZ to be 1.78 percent. (In accordance with section 703(d) of the Act, countervailing duties will not be assessed on entries made during the period January 2, 1999 through May 10, 1999.)

We will instruct the Customs to assess countervailing duties as indicated above. The Department will also instruct Customs to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the 1999 rate on the f.o.b. value of all shipments of the subject merchandise from ALZ entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Because the URAA replaced the general rule in favor of a country-wide rate with a general rule in favor of individual rates for investigated and reviewed companies, the procedures for establishing countervailing duty rates, including those for non-reviewed companies, are now essentially the same as those in antidumping cases, except as provided for in section 777A(e)(2)(B) of the Act. The requested review will normally cover only those companies specifically named. See section 351.213(b) of the Department's regulations. Pursuant to the Department's regulations at section 351.212(c), for all companies for which a review was not requested, duties must be assessed at the cash deposit rate, and cash deposits must continue to be collected, at the rate previously ordered. As such, the countervailing duty cash deposit rate applicable to a company can no longer change, except pursuant to a request for a review of that company. See *Federal-Mogul Corporation v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 782 (CIT 1993), and *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F.Supp. 766 (CIT 1993). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies, except those covered by this review, are not changed by the results of this review.

Therefore, we will instruct Customs to continue to collect cash deposits for

non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to that company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order are those established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding conducted under the URAA. If such a review has not been conducted, the rate established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding pursuant to the statutory provisions that were in effect prior to the URAA amendments is applicable. See *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate from Mexico: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 13368, 13369 (March 13, 2000). These rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested. In addition, for the periods September 4, 1998 through January 1, 1999 and May 11, 2000 through December 31, 1999, the assessment rates applicable to all non-reviewed companies covered by this order are the cash deposit rates in effect at the time of entry.

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with the Department's regulations at section 351.305(a). Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and 19 U.S.C. 1677f(i)(1)).

Dated: August 21, 2001.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—List of Issues Discussed in the Decision Memorandum

Methodology and Background Information

Responding Producers
Benchmarks for Long-term Loans and Discount Rates
Equity Methodology
Allocation Period

Analysis of Programs

- I. Programs Determined to Confer Subsidies
 - A. 1985 ALZ Share Subscriptions
 - B. 1987 ALZ Common Share Transaction Between the GOB and Sidmar
 - C. Industrial Reconversion Zones
 1. Alfin

2. Albufin
- D. Regional Subsidies under the Economic Expansion Law of 1970
 1. Expansion Real Estate Tax Exemption
 2. Accelerated Depreciation
- E. Belgian Industrial Finance Company ("Belfin") Loans
- F. Societe Nationale de Credite a l'Industrie ("SNCI") Loans
- G. Subsidies Provided to Sidmar that are Attributable to ALZ
 1. 1984 Purchase of Sidmar's Common and Preference Shares
 2. Conversion of Sidmar's Debt to Equity (OCPC-to-PB) in 1985
 3. SidInvest
- II. Programs Determined to Be Not Used During the POR
 - A. Government of Belgium Programs
 1. Subsidies Provided to Sidmar that are Potentially Attributable to ALZ Water Purification Grants
 2. Societe Nationale pour la Reconstruction des Secteurs Nationaux
 3. Regional subsidies under the 1970 Law Investment and Interest Subsidies
 4. Reduced Social Security Contributions Pursuant to the Maribel Scheme (Article 35 of the Law of June 29, 1981)
 - B. Government of Flanders Programs
 1. Regional subsidies under the 1970 Law
 - a. Corporate Income Tax Exemption
 - b. Capital Registration Tax Exemption
 - c. Government Loan Guarantees
 - d. 1993 Expansion Grant
 2. Special Depreciation Allowance
 3. Preferential Short-Term Export Credit
 4. Interest Rate Rebates
 - C. Programs of the European Commission
 1. ECSC Article 54 Loans and Interest Rebates
 2. ECSC Article 56 Conversion Loans, Interest Rebates and Redeployment Aid
 3. European Social Fund Grants
 4. European Regional Development Fund Grants
 5. Resider II Program

Analysis of Comments

- Comment 1: GOB Equity Infusions
Comment 2: Average Useful Life for Non-recurring Subsidies Benefitting Sidmar Which Have Not Been Previously Allocated
Comment 3: Reduced Social Security Contributions Pursuant to the Maribel Scheme
Comment 4: Cash Deposit Rate for Future Entries

[FR Doc. 01-21606 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Announcing a Meeting of the Computer System Security and Privacy Advisory Board

AGENCY: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.