petitioner U.S. Steel Group that we conduct an administrative review of the sales of Sumitomo Metal Industries. On September 29, 2000, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods (OCTG) for the period of review (POR) of August 1, 1999 to July 31, 2000, in order to determine whether merchandise imported into the United States is being sold at dumped prices. On October 2, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of the antidumping administrative review on OCTG from Japan, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i). See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 65 FR 58733 (October 2, 2000). On May 17, 2001, petitioner U.S. Steel Group withdrew its request for review. On August 3, 2001, we distributed a memorandum which notified interested parties for our intent to rescind this review. We received no comments. See Memorandum to Barbara E. Tillman from Holly Hawkins: Oil Country Tubular Goods (OCTG) from Japan: Intent to Rescind Administrative Review.

#### **Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to our regulations, the Department will rescind an administrative review, "if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). This section further provides that the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. See 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1). Although the interested party's withdrawal of its request for review was not within the 90-day time limit, there were no objections to the withdrawal from other interested parties, and the Department has no compelling reason to deny the request. As a result, we are rescinding this administrative review for the period August 1, 1999 through July 31, 2000. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to the U.S. Customs Service.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–21607 Filed 8–24–01; 8:45 am]

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A–570–504]

Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Petroleum Wax Candles From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Matthew Renkey or Abdelali Elouaradia, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2312 or (202) 482–1374, respectively.

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### **Background**

On February 28, 2001, and in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), the Department received a timely request from Shanghai New Star Im/Ex Co., Ltd. (Shanghai) for the initiation of a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order which has a February semiannual anniversary month. On March 14 and 16, 2001, Shanghai clarified in additional submissions that it had only one shipment during the period of review (POR) and that there have been no additional shipments of the subject merchandise to the United States, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(B). On March 28, 2001, the Department published its initiation of this new shipper review for the period August 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16903).

# Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results

Due to the complexities of this case, including evaluating Shanghai's factors of production information, we find that the case is extraordinarily complicated and that we cannot make a preliminary determination by the current deadline of September 17, 2001. Therefore, in

accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act and section 351.214(i)(2) of the Department's regulations, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this new shipper review by 120 days, until no later than January 15, 2002.

Dated: August 16, 2001.

#### Joseph A. Spertrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01-21604 Filed 8-24-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A–588–046]

#### Polychloroprene Rubber from Japan: Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 31, 2001, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal** Register the notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber from Japan for Denki Kagaku Kogyo K.K. (Denka) and Tosoh Corporation (Tosoh). See 66 FR 8379. This review was requested by the petitioner, DuPont Dow Elastomers L.L.C. (DuPont), and covers the period December 1, 1999, through November 30, 2000. We are now rescinding this review as a result of DuPont's timely withdrawal of its request for an administrative review for Denka and the non-shipper status of Tosoh.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 27, 2001.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paige Rivas or Ron Trentham, Group II, Office 4, Office of AD/GVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–0651 or 482–6320, respectively.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In

addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations as codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

#### **Background**

On December 22, 2000, the petitioner, DuPont, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polychloroprene rubber from Japan for the period December 1, 1999, through November 30, 2000, covering two producers and/or exporters: Denka and Tosoh. No other interested party requested that the Department conduct an administrative review. On January 31, 2001, the Department initiated an administrative review (66 FR 8379).

#### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of polychloroprene rubber, an oil resistant synthetic rubber also known as polymerized chlorobutadiene or neoprene, currently classifiable under items 4002.42.00, 4002.49.00, 4003.00.00, 4462.15.21 and 4462.00.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and for the U.S. Customs Service purposes. The written descriptions remain dispositive.

#### Rescission of 1999/2000 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

On March 15, 2001, in response to the Department's questionnaire, Tosoh stated that it had made no shipments to the United States of the subject merchandise during the period of review (POR). The Department independently confirmed with the U.S. Customs Service that there were no shipments from Tosoh during the POR. Therefore, in accordance with section 351.213(d)(3) of the Department's regulations, and consistent with our practice, we are treating this firm as a non-shipper for purposes of this review. Moreover, the Department invited interested parties to comment on our intent to rescind this review with respect to Tosoh. See Memorandum to File from Ron Trentham, dated August 9, 2001. Interested parties were given until the close of business on August 17, 2001, to submit their comments. No parties submitted comments. Therefore, we are rescinding this review with respect to Tosoh (see, e.g. Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube from Turkey: Final Results and Partial Recission of Antidumping Administrative Review, 63 FR 35190, 35191 (June 29, 1998)).

On January 30, 2001, the petitioner filed a letter with the Department

withdrawing its request that the Department conduct an administrative review of Denka's sales. This withdrawal complies with section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations which grants parties 90 days from the publication of the notice of initiation of review to withdraw their request for review. Because of the non-shipper status of Tosoh and DuPont's timely request for the termination of the review for Denka, the Department is rescinding this review in its entirety in accordance with section 351.213(d) of our regulations.

This notice is in accordance with section 751 of the Act and section 351.213(d) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: August 20, 2001.

#### Bernard T. Carreau,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–21603 Filed 8–24–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-851]

#### Final Results of New Shipper Review: Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of New Shipper Review.

**SUMMARY:** On June 7, 2001, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the new shipper review on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China with respect to Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd. The period of review is February 1, 2000, through July 31, 2000.

We received case and rebuttal briefs from the petitioners<sup>1</sup> and Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculation. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for the reviewed firm is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 27, 2001.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
David J. Goldberger or Katherine
Johnson, Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th
Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,
Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202)
482–4136 or (202) 482–4929,
respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

#### **Background**

On June 7, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of Green Fresh Foods (Zhangzhou) Co., Ltd. (Green Fresh) with respect to the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (66 FR 30695). We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results of this review. On July 9, 2001, we received comments from the petitioners and Green Fresh. The petitioners and Green Fresh submitted rebuttal comments on July 16, 2001. The Department has now completed this review, in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.

#### Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain preserved mushrooms whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The preserved mushrooms covered under the order are the species Agaricus bisporus and Agaricus bitorquis. "Preserved mushrooms" refer to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including but not limited to cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including but not limited to water, brine, butter or butter sauce. Preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of the order

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The petitioners are the Coalition for Fair Preserved Mushroom Trade which includes the American Mushroom Institute and the following domestic companies: L.K. Bowman, Inc., Nottingham, PA; Modern Mushroom Farms, Inc., Toughkenamon, PA; Monterey Mushrooms, Inc., Watsonville, CA; Mount Laurel Canning Corp., Temple, PA; Mushrooms Canning Company, Kennett Square, PA; Southwood Farms, Hockessin, DE; Sunny Dell Foods, Inc., Oxford, PA; United Canning Corp., North Lima, OH.