

Agency OMB Clearance Officer, at (202) 720-5778.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All responses to this notice will become a matter of public record and be summarized in the request for OMB approval.

Signed at Washington, DC, August 6, 2001.

**Ron Bosecker,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 01-20394 Filed 8-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-20-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-847]

#### **Persulfates From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On April 9, 2001, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China. The merchandise covered by this order are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The period of review is July 1, 1999, through June 30, 2000.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margins are

listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of the Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 14, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dinah McDougall or Shawn Thompson, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Office II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3773 or (202) 482-1776, respectively.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **The Applicable Statute and Regulations**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the regulations of the Department of Commerce (the Department) are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2000).

##### **Background**

On April 9, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the 1999-2000 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on persulfates from the People's Republic of China (PRC). *See Persulfates from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Partial Rescission of Administrative Review*, 66 FR 18439 (April 9, 2001) (*Preliminary Results*). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

##### **Scope of Review**

The products covered by this review are persulfates, including ammonium, potassium, and sodium persulfates. The chemical formula for these persulfates are, respectively,  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ ,  $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ , and  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ . Ammonium and potassium persulfates are currently classified under subheading 2833.40.60 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Sodium persulfate is classified under HTSUS subheading 2833.40.20. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

##### **Separate Rates**

Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corporation (Ai Jian) has requested a separate, company-specific antidumping

duty rate. In our preliminary results, we found that Ai Jian had met the criteria for the application of a separate antidumping duty rate. *See Preliminary Results* 65 FR at 18440. We have not received any other information since the preliminary results which would warrant reconsideration of our separate rates determination with respect to this company. We therefore determine that Ai Jian in this administrative review should be assigned an individual dumping margin.

With respect to Sinochem Jiangsu Wuxi Import and Export Corporation (Wuxi), which did not respond to the Department's questionnaire, we determine that this company does not merit a separate rate. The Department assigns a single rate to companies in a non-market economy, unless an exporter demonstrates an absence of government control. We determine that Wuxi is subject to the country-wide rate for this case because it failed to demonstrate an absence of government control.

##### **Use of Facts Available**

As explained in the preliminary results, the use of facts available is warranted in this case because Wuxi, which is part of the PRC entity (*see the "Separate Rates" section above*), has failed to respond to the original questionnaire and has refused to participate in this administrative review. Therefore, in accordance with sections 776(a)(2)(A) and (C) of the Act, we find that the use of total facts available is appropriate for the PRC-wide rate. Furthermore, in the preliminary results we determined that Wuxi did not cooperate to the best of its ability with our requests for necessary information. Therefore, in accordance with section 776(b) of the Act, we applied adverse inferences when selecting among the facts available. As adverse facts available in this proceeding, in accordance with the Department's practice, we preliminarily assigned Wuxi and all other exporters subject to the PRC-wide rate the petition rate of 119.02 percent, which is the PRC-wide rate established in the less than fair value (LTFV) investigation, and the highest dumping margin determined in any segment of this proceeding. As explained in the preliminary results, we determined that this margin was corroborated in accordance with section 776(c) of the Act in the LTFV investigation. *See Preliminary Results*, 65 FR at 18441. We have determined that no evidence on the record warrants revisiting this issue in these final results, and no interested party submitted comments on our use of

adverse facts available. Accordingly, we continue to use the petition rate from the LTFV investigation of 119.02 percent.

#### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" (Decision Memo) from Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary, Group I, to Faryar Shirzad, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated August 7, 2001, which is adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded, all of which are in the Decision Memo, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in the Central Records Unit in Room B-099 of the main Commerce Building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

#### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of comments received, we have made certain changes to the margin calculations. For a discussion of these changes, see the "Margin Calculations" section of the Decision Memo.

#### Final Results of the Review

We determine that the following percentage weighted-average margins exist for the period July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (percent)
Shanghai Ai Jian Import & Export Corporation .....	*0.04
PRC-wide Rate .....	119.02

\**de minimis*.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b), we have calculated exporter/importer-specific assessment rates. With respect to export price sales, we aggregated the dumping margins for the reviewed sales and divided this amount by the total quantity of those sales for each importer. We will direct Customs to assess the resulting unit margins against the entered Customs quantities for the subject merchandise on each of that importer's entries under the relevant order during the review period.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of this antidumping duty administrative review for all shipments of persulfates from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) For Ai Jian, the cash deposit rate will be zero because Ai Jian's margin is *de minimis*; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters, including Wuxi, will be 119.02 percent, the PRC-wide rate established in the LTFV investigation; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections section 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: August 7, 2001.

**Faryar Shirzad,**  
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix—Issues in Decision Memo

##### Comments

Comment 1: Ocean Freight Valuation

Comment 2: Electricity Valuation  
Comment 3: Wood Pallet Valuation  
Comment 4: Indirect Labor Calculation  
Comment 5: Surrogate Data Used for Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

[FR Doc. 01-20412 Filed 8-13-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Secretarial Business Development Mission to Russia

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Secretary of Commerce, Donald L. Evans will lead a senior-level business development mission to Moscow, Russia on October 14-16, 2001. The focus of the mission will be to assist U.S. businesses to explore trade and investment opportunities resulting from the positive political and economic changes that have taken place in Russia. The delegation will include approximately 15 U.S. based senior executives of small, medium and large sized U.S. firms representing, but not limited to, the following key growth sectors: aerospace; agribusiness; automotive parts and equipment; energy; engineering; technology and service industries.

**DATES:** All applications must be submitted by September 5, 2001 by close of business.

**ADDRESSES:** Office of Business Liaison, Room 5062, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, Telephone: (202) 482-1360, Fax: (202) 482-4054.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Secretarial Business Development Mission to Russia

October 14-16, 2001

#### Mission Statement

#### I. Description of the Mission

At the request of President Bush, Secretary of Commerce Donald L. Evans will lead a senior-level business development mission to Moscow, Russia on October 14-16, 2001. The focus of the mission will be to assist U.S. businesses to explore trade and investment opportunities resulting from the positive political and economic changes that have taken place in Russia. The delegation will include approximately 15 U.S. based senior executives of small, medium and large sized U.S. firms representing, but not