

**CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:**

Civil aircraft owners and/or operators.

**CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:**

A revocable license for use of Air Force real property consisting of a Civil Aircraft Certificate of Insurance, a Civil Aircraft Landing Permit, and a Civil Aircraft Hold Harmless Agreement.

**AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:**

49 U.S.C. 44502, General facilities and personnel authority, as implemented by Air Force Instruction 10-1001, Civil Aircraft Landing Permits.

**PURPOSE(S):**

To maintain a directory on those individuals who are authorized to operate civil aircraft at Air Force airfields.

**ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSE OF SUCH USES:**

In addition to those disclosures generally permitted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(b) of the Privacy Act, these records or information contained therein may specifically be disclosed outside the DOD as a routine use pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3) as follows:

The DoD 'Blanket Routine Uses' published at the beginning of the Air Force's compilation of systems of records notices apply to this system.

**POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:****STORAGE:**

Maintained in file folders.

**RETRIEVABILITY:**

Retrieved by name.

**SAFEGUARDS:**

Records are accessed by persons responsible for processing applications to operate civil aircraft on Air Force airfields in performance of official duties and by other authorized personnel who are properly screened and cleared for need-to-know. Records are stored in cabinets in a vaulted office.

**RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:**

Expired records are destroyed by tearing into pieces and burning.

**SYSTEM MANAGER AND ADDRESS:**

Chief, Permits and Agreements, Civil Aviation Office, Directorate for Civil Aviation, Directorate of Operations and Training, Deputy Chief of Staff for Air and Space Operations, 1480 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-1480.

**NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves

should address inquiries to the Directorate for Civil Aviation, Directorate of Operations and Training, Deputy Chief of Staff for Air and Space Operations, 1480 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-1480.

**RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:**

Individuals seeking to determine whether information about themselves should address inquiries to the Directorate for Civil Aviation, Directorate of Operations and Training, Deputy Chief of Staff for Air and Space Operations, 1480 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-1480.

**CONTESTING RECORDS PROCEDURES:**

The Air Force rules for accessing records and for contesting contents and appealing initial agency determinations are published in Air Force Instruction 37-132; 32 CFR part 806b; or may be obtained from the system manager.

**RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:**

Information is provided by the applicant and insurance company.

**EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:**

None.

[FR Doc. 01-19822 Filed 8-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-P

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****Department of the Army****Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) for Future Development and Operations at Fort George G. Meade, MD**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** This announces the availability of the FEIS that assesses the effects of future development and operations at Fort Meade on the natural and human environment.

The Proposed Action is the preferred alternative of the FEIS, and includes future development and operations of Fort Meade's Real Property Master Plan expected to occur on the installation between 2001 and 2005. The Proposed Action identifies 11 projects as being representative of the expected build out during this time. These consist of constructing new facilities that would consolidate tenants from dilapidated World War II structures and off-post leased facilities into more cost efficient and effective facilities; and demolition and construction of barracks and associated dining, headquarters and operations facilities. Other alternatives considered by the FEIS include the No

Action Alternative and Alternative A. The No Action Alternative is defined as the normal daily operations at Fort Meade and adjacent areas as of 1999. Alternative A consists of constructing 9 of 11 projects purposed by the Proposed Action, and excludes the 2 out-year projects least likely to occur.

**DATES:** Written comments received within 30 days of the publication of this Notice of Availability by the Environmental Protection Agency in the **Federal Register** for this action will be considered by the Army during final decision making.

**ADDRESSES:** To obtain a copy of the FEIS, write to Commander, Fort George G. Meade, ATTN: ANME-PWE (Mr. Jim Gebhardt), Bldg. 239, 2½ Street and Ross Road, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755-5115.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jim Gebhardt, Environmental Engineer, Fort Meade Directorate of Public Works, Environmental Management Office at (301) 677-9365.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FEIS concluded that the cumulative impacts of all past, present and reasonably foreseeable future actions would have a significant impact on traffic and air quality in the study area. Whereas the population in the area immediately surrounding Fort Meade is expected to continue its historical growth rate, this rate is minimal compared to the growth in population and activity in the Region of Influence (Anne Arundel and Howard Counties), which is expected to exceed the average annual rate in Maryland of 0.6 percent. The Government concludes that the contribution of Fort Meade is small relative to the regional air quality and traffic problems. The Government further concludes that it is reasonable to proceed with the Proposed Action given Fort Meade's commitment to intensify efforts to work in partnership with the state and others to address the larger traffic and air quality issues in the region.

Fort Meade is currently undertaking four initiatives that will help mitigate the adverse air quality impacts in the region: (1) Conversion of existing oil-fired heating systems to natural gas, (2) use of vehicles powered by natural gas, (3) installation of more energy-efficient devices, and (4) fostering an extensive tree planting and reforestation program. To address traffic impacts, Fort Meade is considering encouraging the use of alternative transportation (e.g. carpooling and flextime), although major rail or bus lines do not currently service the installation. In addition, the construction of the State Route 198 bypass onto Fort Meade via the former

Tipton Army Airfield by the Maryland State Highway Administration is designed to limit the through traffic at Fort Meade to those who reside, work or visit the installation for recreation or other purposes. This is expected to reduce congestion at the intersection of State Routes 198 and 32.

Other resources that would be measurably affected by the Proposed Action or Alternative A are water quality (by stormwater runoff), utility systems infrastructure, and noise. Considering the best management practices planned by Fort Meade to address these effects, no significant impacts to these resources from the Proposed Action, Alternative A or cumulative effects of other actions are expected to occur. The completion of the 11 projects under the Proposed Action would increase Fort Meade's annual economic contribution to the Region of influence.

Dated: August 1, 2001.

**Raymond J. Fatz,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health), OASA(I&E).*

[FR Doc. 01-19842 Filed 8-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Destruction of Non-Stockpile Chemical Warfare Materiel at Pine Bluff Arsenal, AR

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Army announces its intent to prepare a site-specific EIS on the potential impacts of the destruction of the non-stockpile chemical warfare materiel (CWM) stored at Pine Bluff Arsenal and to initiate the public scoping process for the EIS. The EIS will examine potential environmental impacts of the alternatives of various destruction options. The destruction activities proposed for Pine Bluff Arsenal will have the following functions: (1) Munitions Accessing: Various accessing technologies will be assessed to include Drill and Drain, Sawing, Shearing and Reverse Assembly; (2) Chemical Agent Treatment: Technologies under consideration for agent destruction include Chemical Neutralization and Incineration; (3) Non-Agent Treatment: Industrial chemicals and binary agent precursors may be treated with the same

technologies as the agents or neutralized agent waste, or may be shipped without processing to a Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility; (4) Munition Body Component and Explosives Treatment: Munition body decontamination and destruction technologies under consideration include Detonation, Shredding, Cutting (Saws and Fluid Jet), Plasma Arc, and Demilitarization in a Deactivation Furnace; (5) Post-treatment/Disposal of Liquid Waste: Technologies under consideration for post-treatment and disposal of liquid waste include Bio-Treatment, Plasma Arc, Gas Phase Chemical Reduction, Supercritical Water Oxidation, Incineration, Solidification and Stabilization, and Processing at a Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility; (6) Treatment/Disposal of Solid Waste: Technology under consideration for treatment/disposal of solid wastes include Plasma Arc, Gas Phase Chemical Reduction, and Landfilling.

The alternatives to be analyzed in the EIS are: (1) Building one or more new facilities, (2) using the Pine bluff Chemical Agent Destruction Facility currently under construction, (3) bringing the mobil treatment systems currently under development by the Product Manager for Non-Stockpile Chemical Materiel to Pine Bluff Arsenal and using them, (4) using non-developmental items, and (5) no-action (continued storage of the non-stockpile chemical warfare materiel at the Arsenal).

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be forwarded to the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization, Public Outreach and Information Office (ATTN: Mr. Jeff Lindblad), Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-4005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Jeff Lindblad at 410-436-4555, by fax at 410-436-5122, or by email at jeffrey.lindblad@pmcd.apgea.army.mil.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (Title 40, CFR Parts 1500-1508), the Army will prepare an EIS to assess the environmental impacts of the destruction of the non-stockpile chemical warfare materiel stored at the Pine Bluff Arsenal. Public Law and international treaty mandate the destruction of most of the non-stockpile chemical warfare materiel. This EIS will analyze the potential impacts of the various methods of destroying the items that are not part of the unitary stockpile. This site-specific EIS continues the process that began when Congress established the Chemical Demilitarization Program in Public Law 99-145 (1985).

The specific purpose of the current analysis is to determine the environmental impacts of the alternatives that could accomplish the destruction of the non-stockpile chemical warfare materiel currently stored at the Arsenal, including the alternatives of using the technologies successfully demonstrated by the Chemical Stockpile Disposal Project, the Alternative Technologies and Approaches Project, the Assembled Chemical Weapons Assessment program, and any non-developmental items.

The Army will hold scoping meetings to aid in determining the significant issues related to the proposed action which will be addressed in the EIS. The scoping process will incorporate public participation, including Federal, State of Arkansas, and local agencies, as well as residents within the affected environment. The dates, times, and locations of scoping meetings will be announced in appropriate news media at least 15 prior to these meetings.

Dated: August 1, 2001.

**Raymond J. Fatz,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety, and Occupational Health) OASA(I&E).*

[FR Doc. 01-19843 Filed 8-7-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

#### Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice to alter a system of records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army is proposing to alter three system of records notice to its existing inventory of record systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended. The alterations expand the categories of individuals covered.

**DATES:** This proposed action will be effective without further notice on September 7, 2001 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

**ADDRESSES:** Records Management Division, U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency, Attn: TAPC-PDD-RP, Stop 5603, 6000 6th Street, Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5603.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Janice Thornton at (703) 806-4390 or DSN 656-4390 or Ms. Christie King at (703) 806-3711 or DSN 656-3711.