

perform above their current operating horsepower. However, it is stated that, since Station 170 is automated, Transco has the ability to shut down certain engines or reduce their load to ensure that the station will not operate above the station's total certificated horsepower. Since Transco will install these turbochargers at Station 170 solely to achieve an environmental improvement, i.e., lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, it is stated that Transco has no intent or need to operate the station above its certificated horsepower. Therefore, when Transco installs these turbochargers at Station 170 it will adjust the automation program at the station so that it will not operate above its certificated horsepower.

Transco states that at the other 4 engines, modification of the existing turbochargers to increase their capacity will not create the potential of these engines performing above their current operating horsepower because the engines are already operating at maximum horsepower and cannot operate at a higher horsepower output. Accordingly, there will be an increase in the capacity in Transco's system in the vicinity of the station as a result of installing the 7 new turbochargers and modifying the 4 existing turbochargers.

Transco states that installation of new turbochargers and modifications to existing ones at Station 170 will require some work to be done outside of the compressor building. A fuel gas header designed to bring high-pressure fuel gas to each individual reciprocating unit will extend from the yard to the building with a supply to each unit. A new power supply building with approximate dimensions of 13 feet by 45 feet will be installed in the yard to supply uninterrupted power to the new equipment and unit control panels. New fin-fan coolers will be installed in the yard to satisfy the additional cooling requirements of the new turbochargers. Modifications of the type proposed may require the installation of a new utility system which would be built within existing buildings, but may require expanding out from them. All of the proposed work described above will be built within 50 feet of existing station facilities and will be done within the confines of previously disturbed areas. Approximately 0.2 acres of previously disturbed ground will be affected by the proposed project. Restoration of this area will be conducted according to the Commission's Upland Erosion Control, Revegetation, and Maintenance Plan.

Transco states that the above-referenced modifications are estimated to cost \$18.7 million.

Transco further states that the construction and operation of the proposed facilities will have no significant impact on the quality of human health or the environment other than the *positive* impact of reducing NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The proposed facilities will be installed either entirely within existing buildings or within 50 feet of existing station facilities (and within the confines of previously disturbed areas). Transco states that the proposed facilities will be designed, constructed, operated and maintained in accordance with all applicable safety standards and plans for maintenance and inspection.

Accordingly, Transco submits that this project will serve the public convenience and necessity because it will (1) reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at Station 170, and (2) enable Transco to comply with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 and the state implementation plan pursuant thereto.

Transco states that it needs to commence the work at Station 170 on September 24, 2001 in order to complete the work on a timely basis with respect to the requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 and the state implementation plan, while at the same time accommodating the operational needs of its pipeline system and ensuring that Transco's gas service obligations are met. Transco states that a state air permit will be negotiated.

Any questions regarding this filing should be directed to Alfred E. White, Jr., Senior Attorney, call (713) 215-2323 or Tom Messick, call (713) 215-2772, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 day after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205), a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> under the link to the User's Guide. If you have not

yet established an account, you will need to create a new account by clicking on "Login to File" and then "New User Account".

**David P. Boergers,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 01-19708 Filed 8-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-414-000]

#### Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc.; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

August 1, 2001.

Take notice that on July 25, 2001, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc. (Williams), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP01-414-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.208 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Sections 157.205, 157.208) for authorization to increase the Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) of approximately 5.28 miles of the Neosho 6-inch-diameter lateral pipeline HQ-14 downstream of regulator setting #12278, including segments HQ-38 and HQ-35, located in Newton County, Missouri, under Williams' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. This filing may also be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "RIMS" link, select "Docket#" and follow the instructions (call 202-208-2222 for assistance).

Williams proposes to increase the MAOP of the Neosho 6-inch-diameter lateral line from 150 psig to 226 psig. Williams states that it will perform the pressure test required for the proposed increase in MAOP using procedures in accordance with applicable Department of Transportation safety standards contained in Part 192 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Williams further states that all affected landowners will be notified of the proposed procedure by first class mail, and that there should be no adverse impact on the environment since the pressure test will be performed using natural gas. Williams estimates that the

proposed testing will cost approximately \$50,000.

Any questions regarding the application may be directed to David N. Roberts, Manager of Certificates and Tariffs, Williams Gas Pipelines Central, Inc., P.O. Box 20008, Owensboro, Kentucky 42304, or telephone (270) 688-6712.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site under the "e-Filing" link.

**David P. Boergers,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-19707 Filed 8-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. ER98-3096-007, et al.]

#### **Pepco Energy Services, Inc., et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings**

July 31, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

#### **1. Pepco Energy Services, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER98-3096-007]

Take notice that on July 25, 2001 Pepco Energy Services, Inc. filed an updated market power analysis in Support of Its Authority to Sell Electricity at Market-Based Rates.

*Comment date:* August 15, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **2. Kentucky Utilities Company**

[Docket No. ER01-1288-001]

Take notice that on July 26, 2001, Kentucky Utilities Company (KU), tendered for filing, in compliance with delegated Order dated March 22, 2001, its Interconnection Agreement with East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

*Comment date:* August 16, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **3. Carolina Power & Light Company and Florida Power Corporation**

[Docket No. ER01-1807-003]

Take notice that Carolina Power & Light Company and Florida Power Corporation, on July 25, 2001, tendered for filing revised tariff sheets under their FERC Electric Tariffs, Third Revised Volume No. 3 and Second Revised Volume No. 6, respectively, in compliance with the Commission's order issued on June 25, 2001, Carolina Power & Light Co. and Florida Power Corp., 95 FERC ¶ 61,429 (2001). Consistent with the Commission's order, the revisions in this filing will become effective on June 15, 2001.

Copies of the filing were served upon the public utility's jurisdictional customers and the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the South Carolina Public Service Commission and the Florida Public Service Commission.

*Comment date:* August 15, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **4. Midwest Energy, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER01-2461-001]

Take notice that on July 23, 2001, Midwest Energy, Inc. (Midwest) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission the Transaction Service Agreement entered into between Midwest and City of Colby, Kansas.

Midwest states that it is serving copies of the instant filing on the Kansas Corporation Commission.

*Comment date:* August 13, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **5. New York Independent System Operator, Inc.**

[Docket No. ER01-2495-001]

Take notice that on July 25, 2001, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation tendered for filing an amended service agreement, i.e. an Interconnection Agreement between Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and Allegany Limited Partnership for a 2 MW internal combustion generating facility located in the Town of Carrollton, Cattaraugus

County, New York, dated as of June 29, 2001, (Agreement). The amended filing reflects the filing of the Agreement as a service agreement filed by Niagara Mohawk under the NYISO Open Access Transmission Tariff. The filing has been designated by the New York Independent System Operator as Service Agreement No. 311.

*Comment date:* August 15, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **6. Public Service Company of New Mexico**

[Docket No. ER01-2566-001]

Take notice that on July 26, 2001, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) submitted for filing an amendment to the July 11, 2001 filing of the Wholesale Requirements Power Sale and Services Agreement (Agreement) dated June 29, 2001 between PNM and Texas-New Mexico Power Company (TNMP), filed as Service Agreement No. 28 under PNM's FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 3 (Power and Energy Sales Tariff). The amendment includes revised versions of Exhibit 2, Operating Procedure No. 1 and Operating Procedure No. 3 to the Agreement, certain pages of which were inadvertently omitted from the original filing. PNM's filing is available for public inspection at its offices in Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Copies of this filing have been served upon TNMP and the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission.

*Comment date:* August 16, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### **7. Central Power and Light Company**

[Docket No. ER01-2575-001]

Take notice that on July 25, 2001, Central Power and Light Company (CPL) resubmitted for filing an Interconnection Agreement, dated September 2, 1998, between CPL and South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc. (STEC) amended to include four additional points of interconnection between the parties.

CPL seeks to correct clerical omissions contained in its previous filing of the amendments to this agreement on July 11, 2001. This filing now contains inadvertently omitted Facility Schedules Nos. 7 through 15 that were previously accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER99-4502-000 and page format changes in the filing that are necessary to bring that filing into compliance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and Order No. 614. No changes have been made to the