Dated: July 30, 2001. **Hilda Diaz-Soltero**,

Associate Chief for Natural Resources.

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

ESTABLISHMENT OF THE COUGAR BAR PURCHASE UNIT NEZ PERCE COUNTY, STATE OF IDAHO

The following described lands lying adjacent to the Hells Canyon National Recreation Area and the Wallowa-Whitman National Forest are determined to be suitable for the protection of the watersheds of navigable streams and for other purposes in accordance with section 6 of the Weeks Act of 1911 (16 U.S.C. 515). Therefore, in furtherance of the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to the Weeks Act of 1911, as amended, including section 17 of the National Forest Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-588; 90 Stat. 2961), these lands are hereby designated and established as the Cougar Bar Purchase Unit:

Boise Meridian

T. 30 N., R. 4 W.

Sec. 6, lots 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 12, 17, N1/2SE1/4, and E1/2SE1/4SE1/4,

Sec. 7, E1/2NE1/4NE1/4.

Containing 363.40 acres, more or less.

Executed in Washington, D.C., this 27th day of FEBRUARY, 2001.

/s/ David P. Tenny
David P. Tenny
Acting Deputy Under Secretary

[FR Doc. 01–19801 Filed 8–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–C

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Southwestern Region, Arizona, New Mexico, West Texas, and West Oklahoma Amendment of Land and Resource Management Plans in the Southwestern Region

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA. **ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Southwestern Region of the Forest Service is planning to prepare an environmental impact statement on a proposal to amend National Forest land and resource management plans to modify standards and guidelines for Mexican spotted owl and northern goshawk within wildland-urban interface areas and to emphasize the management of wildland-urban interface areas throughout the southwest. The amendment would modify applicable standards and

guidelines to place emphasis on, and describe direction for the management of wildland-urban areas in the southwestern region. The amendment would apply to all subsequent project-level resource management decisions that will involve site-specific environmental analysis and appropriate public involvement.

DATES: Comments in response to this Notice of Intent concerning the scope of the analysis should be received in writing to the address listed below.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to USDA Forest Service, 333 Broadway SE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102–3498, ATTN: Director Ecosystem Analysis and Planning.

Responsible Official: The Regional Forester, Southwestern Region, will be the responsible official and will decide on amendments to land and resource management plans to incorporate standards and guidelines as described above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Director of Ecosystem Analysis and Planning, 333 Broadway SE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102–3498, (505) 842–3210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following describes the proposed amendment for the land and resource management plans to reflect management emphasis in wildlandurban interface areas and to modify certain standards and guidelines to complement that management emphasis. The current land and resource management plans in the Southwestern Region contain no specific description or management direction for wildland-urban interface areas. Current standards and guidelines for Mexican spotted owl and northern goshawk habitat management may conflict with wildland-urban interface management. The language for the proposed amendment to modify forestwide standards and guidelines follows. The proposed text will read:

Wildland-Urban Interface

All Forests

Wildland-urban interface includes those areas of resident populations at imminent risk from wildfire, and human developments having special significance. These areas encompass not only the sites themselves, but also the continuous slopes and fuels that lead directly to the sites, regardless of the distance involved. Reference Forest Service Manual 5140, R–3 Supplement for a complete definition of wildland-urban interface. Management activities in wildland-urban interface should be

designed to keep fire on the ground, or in a worst-case scenario, transform a running crown fire back to a ground fire, so that suppression efforts can be more effective. The objective of fuels management in areas of wildland-urban interface is to reduce potential wildland fire intensity to a level where fire suppression forces can safely remain on site during a wildland fire. This includes fires originating on other ownerships that may encroach upon national forest lands, or wildland fires originating on national forest lands that may encroach on other ownerships.

Mexican Spotted Owl

Applicability

The Mexican spotted owl standards and guidelines apply to forest and woodland communities, with the exception of wildland-urban interface areas within 1/2 mile of the forest boundary. Within this ½-mile area, wildland-urban interface fuel management objectives take precedence over Mexican spotted owl standards and guidelines. Wildland-urban interface areas beyond the 1/2-mile limit are subject to Mexican spotted owl standards and guidelines if they are within forest and woodland communities. Mexican spotted owl standards and standards and guidelines should be followed with ½ mile of a wildland-urban interface boundary to the extent they can be implemented and still achieve wildland-urban interface fuel management objectives.

Ecosystem Management in Northern Goshawk Habitats

Applicability

The northern goshawk standards and guidelines apply to forest and woodland communities that are outside of the Mexican spotted owl protected and restricted areas, with the exception of areas within ½ mile of wildland-urban interface.

Wildland-urban interface borders those areas of human populations at imminent risk from wildfire, and human developments having special significance. See Forest Service Manual 5140, R–3 Supplement, for a complete definition of wildland-urban interface.

Within this ½-mile area, wildlandurban interface fuel management objectives take precedence over northern goshawk standards and guidelines if they are within forest and woodland communities. Northern goshawk standards and guidelines should be followed within ½ mile of a wildland-urban interface boundary to the extent they can be implemented and still achieve wildland-urban interface objectives.

Within Mexican spotted owl protected and restricted areas outside of the ½-mile zone described above, the Mexican spotted owl standards and guidelines take precedence over the northern goshawk standards and guidelines. Outside of the ½-mile zone, one or the other (owl or goshawk) set of standards and guidelines applies to forest and woodland communities, but the Mexican spotted owl standards and guidelines always take precedence in areas of overlap.

Comments concerning the proposed action were solicited from over 900 potentially affected and interested people, agencies, and organizations in June and July 2001. These comments will assist us in preparing a draft environmental impact statement.

A draft environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review and comment by October 2001, and a final environmental impact statement by March 2002.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will run for 45 days following the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in

the Federal Register. The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues, and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental

respond to them in the environmental

impact statement.

impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement.

Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be considered part of the public record on this proposed action and will be available for public inspection. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered. Additionally, pursuant to 7 CFR 1.27(d), any person may request the agency to withhold a submission from the public record by showing how the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) permits such confidentiality. Persons requesting such confidentiality should be aware that, under FOIA, confidentiality may be granted in only very limited circumstances, such as to protect trade secrets.

The Forest Service will inform the requester of the agency's decision regarding the request for confidentiality, and where the request is denied, the agency will return the submission and notify the requester that the comments may be resubmitted with or without name and address.

Dated: July 31, 2001.

Eleanor S. Towns,

Regional Forester.

submission.

[FR Doc. 01-19688 Filed 8-6-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). This request has been submitted under the emergency processing provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Agency: Technology Administration.
Title: Review of Public and Private
High-tech Workforce Training Programs.
Agency Form Numbers(s): None.
OMB Approval Number: None.
Type of Request: Emergency

Burden Hours: 750 hours. Number of Respondents: 420. Average Hour Per Response: 1 to 2 hours depending on the requirement.

Needs and Uses: This information collection is needed to fulfill the Secretary of Commerce's responsibilities mandated in Public Law 106-313. Section 115 (a) and (b) directs the Secretary of Commerce to conduct a review of existing public and private high-tech workforce training programs in the United States, and submit a report to Congress on the study findings no later than 18 months from the bill's enactment. This information is needed to analyze how high-tech workers obtain their training, and how well the skills provided by various high-tech training models meet employer needs. An analysis of what is learned from this information collection will be contained in the report to Congress. Comparable information is not available on a standardized basis.

Affected Public: Individuals or households, business or other for-profit organizations, not-for-profit institutions, Federal, state, local or tribal government.

Frequency: One-Time.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker,
(202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection can be obtained by calling or writing Madeleine Clayton,
Departmental Paperwork Clearance
Officer, (202) 482–3129, Department of
Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and
Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,
DC 20230 (or via Internet at
mclayton@doc.gov).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to Dave Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10202, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: August 1, 2001.

Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 01–19676 Filed 8–6–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Census Bureau

2002 Survey of Business Owners and Self-Employed Persons (SBO) Pretest

ACTION: Proposed collection, comment request.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing

effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 9, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Madeleine Clayton, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer,
Department of Commerce, Room 6086, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at mclayton@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument(s) and instructions should be directed to (Valerie Strang, Bureau of the Census, CSD, Room 1183–3, Washington, DC 20233–6400, (301) 457–

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Census Bureau plans to conduct a pretest of the 2002 Survey of Business Owners and Self-Employed Persons (SBO), previously known as the Survey of Minority-Owned Business Enterprises and the Survey of Women-Owned Business Enterprises (SMOBE/SWOBE). In the SBO, businesses are asked several questions about their business as well as several questions about the gender, race, and ethnicity of the owner(s). This survey provides the only comprehensive, regularly collected source of information on business owners' race, ethnicity, and gender. The survey is conducted as part of the economic census program which is required by law to be taken every 5 years under Title 13 of the United States Code, sections 131, 193, and 224.

Businesses which reported any business activity on any one of the following Internal Revenue Service tax forms: 1040 (Schedule C), "Profit or Loss from Business" (Sole Proprietorship); 1065, "U.S. Partnership Return of Income"; or any one of the 1120 corporate tax forms will be eligible for the pretest.

The pretest is needed to test several significant changes to the questionnaire since previously conducted and the impact these changes will have on the estimates. These changes include the following:

The questions about race and ethnicity have been modified to meet OMB guidelines to allow respondents the opportunity to select more than one