facility. The monitoring requirements for these facilities provide information on ambient air quality and ensure that locally, the airborne beryllium concentration does not exceed 0.01 micrograms/m³. These sources meeting the rule requirements by means of ambient monitoring are required to submit a monthly report of all measured concentrations to the Administrator. The remaining 226 sources have elected to comply with the rule by conducting a one-time only stack test to determine beryllium emission levels. We have assumed that 10 percent of the 226 sources (or 23 respondents) complying with the emission limit standard will engage in an operational change at their facilities that could potentially increase beryllium emissions, and would be required to repeat the stack test to determine the beryllium emission limits. Consequently these sources will have recordkeeping and reporting requirements associated with the stack test. The owners or operators subject to the provisions of this part are required to maintain a file of all measurements, and retain the file for at least two years following the date of such measurements and records. We have assumed that no additional sources are expected to become subject to the standard in the next three years. Therefore, there are 33 respondents for the purpose of determining the recordkeeping and reporting burden associated with this rule.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on February 1, 2001. No comments were received.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 13.4 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and

requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Business or other for profit.

Estimated Number of Respondents:

Frequency of Response: monthly and as needed basis (potentially yearly), depending on the applicable requirement.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 2,232 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Capital and Operating & Maintenance Cost Burden: \$35,000.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR Number 0193.07 and OMB Control Number 2060–0092 in any correspondence.

Dated: July 25, 2001.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division. [FR Doc. 01–19569 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7023-1]

Preliminary Draft Staff Paper for Particulate Matter

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On June 15, 2001, the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS)of EPA announced in a Federal Register document (66 FR 32621) the availability for public review and comment of a preliminary draft document, Review of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Particulate Matter: Policy Assessment of Scientific and Technical Information (Preliminary Draft Staff Paper), and a draft EPA document entitled Particulate Matter NAAQS Risk Analysis Scoping Plan. In response to requests from several commenters, EPA is extending the comment period for the preliminary draft Staff Paper beyond the original date of July 12, 2001.

DATES: Comments on the preliminary draft Staff Paper should be submitted on or before September 28, 2001.

ADDRESSES: Comments on the preliminary draft Staff Paper should be submitted to Dr. Mary Ross, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD–15), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; e-mail: ross.mary@epa.gov; telephone: (919) 541–5170; fax: (919) 541–0237.

Availability of Related Information

Single copies of the preliminary draft Staff Paper may be obtained without charge by contacting Mary Ross at the address or telephone number listed above. Please include name, address, telephone number, e-mail if available, and delivery preference (mail or e-mail delivery).

Electronic Availability

The preliminary draft Staff Paper can also be obtained online at the Agency's OAQPS Technology Transfer Network (TTN) under the technical area of Office of Air and Radiation Policy and Guidance (OAR P&G) at the following internet web site: http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/ramain.html. If assistance is needed in accessing the system, call the help desk at (919) 541–5384 in Research Triangle Park, NC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Mary Ross at the address and telephone number given above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Staff Paper is to evaluate the policy implications of the key scientific and technical information contained in a related document, Air Quality Criteria for Particulate Matter (Criteria Document), required under sections 108 and 109 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for use in the periodic review of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for particulate matter (PM). This preliminary draft Staff Paper includes preliminary assessments of the scientific and technical information contained in the second external review draft of the Criteria Document (66 FR 18929, April 12, 2001) and discusses proposed analyses to be conducted for inclusion in a subsequent draft Staff Paper. Staff conclusions and recommendations on the PM NAAQS are not included in this preliminary draft but will be included in a subsequent draft to be made available for further review and comment as indicated below.

The preliminary draft Staff Paper and draft Risk Analysis Scoping Plan (along with the second external review draft of the Criteria Document) are being reviewed at a public meeting of the Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC) of EPA's Science

Advisory Board on July 23–24, 2001. For the purposes of that meeting, OAQPS staff has reviewed comments on these documents submitted by July 12, 2001. OAQPS staff will now prepare a revised draft Staff Paper, taking into account CASAC comments and public comments received by September 28, 2001, as well as any revisions made to the draft Criteria Document in light of CASAC and public comments on that document. The revised draft Staff Paper will then be made available for review and comment by CASAC and the public.

In conjunction with preparation of a revised draft Staff Paper, OAQPS staff will also prepare a more detailed technical methodological report on the risk analysis for PM, taking into account CASAC and public comments on the draft Scoping Plan. The technical methodological report will also be made available for public and CASAC comment prior to the preparation of a risk assessment, the results of which will be included in the revised draft Staff Paper. Thus, parties interested in providing further comments on the PM risk assessment methodology can do so in conjunction with review of the more detailed technical methodological report that is targeted for release this Fall.

Dated: July 25, 2001.

Henry C. Thomas,

Acting Director, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

[FR Doc. 01–19570 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7023-7]

Proposed CERCLA Administrative Cost Recovery Settlement; In Re: Kogut's Nursery Superfund Site, Suffield, CT

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement for recovery of past response costs concerning the Kogut's Nursery Superfund Site in Suffield, Connecticut, with the following settling party: Kogut Enterprises, Inc. The settlement requires the settling party to pay \$165,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund. The

settlement includes a covenant not to sue the settling party pursuant to section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Agency's response to any comments received will be available for public inspection with the Regional Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode RCG, Boston, Massachusetts (U.S. EPA Docket No. CERCLA 01-2001-0055).

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 5, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The proposed settlement is available for public inspection with the Regional Docket Clerk, One Congress Street, Boston, Massachusetts. A copy of the proposed settlement may be obtained from Ronald Gonzalez, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode SES, Boston, Massachusetts 02214, (617) 918-1786. Comments should reference the Kogut's Nursery Superfund Site, Suffield, Connecticut and EPA Docket No. 01-2001-0055 and should be addressed to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode RCG, Boston, Massachusetts 02214.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald Gonzalez, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, Suite 1100, Mailcode SES, Boston, Massachusetts 02214, (617) 918–1786.

Dated: July 16, 2001.

Larry Brill,

Acting Director, Office of Site Remediation and Restoration.

[FR Doc. 01–19565 Filed 8–3–01; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7024-2]

Sole Source Aquifer Determination for the Castle Valley Aquifer System, Castle Valley, UT

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 1424(e) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, the Regional Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in Region VIII has determined that the Castle Valley Aquifer System, at Castle Valley, Utah and the immediately adjacent recharge area is the sole or principal source of drinking water for the region. The Castle Valley Aquifer System consists of undifferentiated Quaternary valley-fill deposits and the underlying Cutler Formation. The aquifer is located in southeastern Utah extending from the Town of Castle Valley, Utah southeast to the La Sal Mountains and northwest to the Colorado River encompassing approximately 24,000 acres in parts of Township 24 South, Ranges 22, 23, and 24 East and parts of Township 25 South, Ranges 22, 23, and 24 East SLB&M. The area is irregularly shaped with maximum dimensions of about 16 miles from southeast to northwest and approximately 3 miles from northeast to southwest. The entire area is within Grand County, Utah. No reasonable alternative sources of drinking water with sufficient supply exist to meet the needs of this area because of the complexity and limitations of water rights in southeastern Utah. A significant hazard to public health would occur if this aquifer becomes contaminated.

The boundaries of the designated area have been reviewed and approved by EPA. As a result of this action, federal financially assisted projects constructed in the approximately 50 square mile area mentioned above will be subject to EPA review to ensure that these projects are designed and constructed in a manner which does not create a significant hazard to public health. For the purposes of this designation the Aquifer Service Area and the Project Review Area are the same as the Designated Area.

DATES: This determination shall be promulgated for purposes of judicial review at 1:00 p.m. Mountain Standard Time on August 6, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The data upon which these findings are based, and a map of the designated area are available to the public and may be inspected during normal business hours at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, CO 80202–2466.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William J. Monheiser, Regional Sole Source Aquifer Coordinator, Ground Water Program, 8P–W–GW, USEPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202–2466, Phone: