Montana State Office, Billings Montana, thirty (30) days from the date of this publication.

Tps. 17, 18, 19, and 20 N., Rs. 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 W.

The plat, representing the Amended Protraction Diagram 28 Index of unsurveyed Townships 17, 18, 19, and 20 North, Ranges 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 17 N., R. 17 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 17 North, Range 17 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 17 N., R. 18 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 17 North, Range 18 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 18 N., R. 17 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 18 North, Range 17 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 18 N., R. 18 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 18 North, Range 18 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 18 N., R. 19 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 18 North, Range 19 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 19 N., R. 15 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 19 North, Range 15 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 19 N., R. 16 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 19 North, Range 16 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 19 N., R. 18 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 19 North, Range 18 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 19 N., R. 19 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 19 North, Range 19 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001. T. 20 N., R. 18 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 20 North, Range 18 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

T. 20 N., R. 19 W.

The plat, representing Amended Protraction Diagram 28 of unsurveyed Township 20 North, Range 19 West, Principal Meridian, Montana, was accepted June 7, 2001.

The amended protraction diagrams were prepared at the request of the U.S. Forest Service to accommodate Revision of Primary Base Quadrangle Maps for the Geometronics Service Center.

A copy of the preceding described plats of the amended protraction diagrams accepted June 7, 2001, will be immediately placed in the open files and will be available to the public as a matter of information.

If a protest against these amended protraction diagrams, accepted June 7, 2001, as shown on these plats, is received prior to the date of the official filings, the filings will be stayed pending consideration of the protests.

These particular plats of the amended protraction diagrams will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been accepted or dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Land Management, 5001 Southgate Drive, P.O. Box 36800, Billings, Montana 59107–6800.

Dated: June 20, 2001.

Steven G. Schey,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Division of Resources.

[FR Doc. 01–19031 Filed 7–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–DN–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [OR-957-00-1420-BJ: GP01-0231]

Filing of Plats of Survey: Oregon/ Washington

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The plats of survey of the following described lands are scheduled to be officially filed in the Oregon State Office, Portland, Oregon, thirty (30) calendar days from the date of this publication.

Willamette Meridian

Oregon

T. 38 S., R. 4 W., accepted May 29, 2001 T. 40 S., R. 3 E., accepted May 29, 2001 T. 5 N., R. 27 E., accepted June 4, 2001 T. 21 S., R. 26 E., accepted June 11, 2001 T. 28 S., R. 9 W., accepted June 11, 2001 T. 17 S., R. 9 W., accepted June 11, 2001 T. 7 S., R. 8 W., accepted June 11, 2001

If protests against a survey, as shown on any of the above plat(s), are received prior to the date of official filing, the filing will be stayed pending consideration of the protest(s). A plat will not be officially filed until the day after all protests have been dismissed and become final or appeals from the dismissal affirmed.

The plats(s) will be placed in the open files of the Oregon State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 1515 SW 5th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97201, and will be available to the public as a matter of information only. Copies of the plat(s) may be obtained from the above office upon required payment. A person or party who wishes to protest against a survey must file with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Portland, Oregon, a notice that they wish to protest prior to the proposed official filing date given above. A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the proposed official filing date.

The above-listed plats represent dependent resurveys, survey, and subdivision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bureau of Land Management, (1515 S.W. 5th Avenue) P.O. Box 2965, Portland, Oregon 97208.

Dated: July 2, 2001.

Robert D. DeViney, Jr.,

Branch of Realty and Records Services.
[FR Doc. 01–19027 Filed 7–30–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–33–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Wellton-Mohawk Title Transfer

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed Wellton-Mohawk title transfer.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) intends to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) to evaluate the potential effects of the proposed title transfer of property in Yuma County, Arizona, to the Wellton-Mohawk Irrigation and Drainage District (District). This information will be used in the decision-making process pursuant to the Wellton-Mohawk Transfer Act of June 20, 2000 (Pub. L. 106-221), whereby the Secretary of Interior was authorized to convey certain works, facilities, and lands of the Gila Project (61 Stat. 628), including the conveyance of designated acquired, public, and withdrawn lands within or adjacent to the Gila Project, to the District. The District shall be a cooperating agency with Reclamation in the NEPA review of this proposed project. At present it is not clear whether the scope of the action will require the preparation of an EIS or an environmental assessment (EA). However, to ensure a timely and appropriate level of NEPA compliance and to limit future delays in completing the environmental analysis for the proposed title transfer, Reclamation is at this time proceeding as if the project will require the preparation of an EIS. Reclamation will reevaluate the need for an EIS after receiving public comment on the proposed action and initiating the environmental analysis for the title transfer. Reclamation will publish a notice of cancellation if, as the result of additional information and analysis, a decision is made to prepare an EA rather than an EIS.

DATES: Public meetings are planned for the purpose of defining the scope of the EIS, identifying reasonably foreseeable alternatives to the proposed action, and noting specific issues that need to be addressed. Meeting dates, times, and locations are as follows:

- Wednesday, August 15, 7 p.m. at Antelope Union High School, 9168 South Avenue 36E, Wellton, Arizona; and
- Thursday, August 16, 7 p.m. at Bureau of Reclamation, Yuma Area Office, 7301 Calle Agua Salada, Yuma, Arizona.

Persons with disabilities or language barriers are requested to contact Rhoda Thomas, telephone (602) 258–0234, Fax. (602) 258–2352, to arrange specific accommodations for either of these meetings.

Written comments will be received by Reclamation regarding the proposed project and the scope of the environmental impacts analysis to be conducted as part of the NEPA review process. The comment period shall extend for 30 days from the date of publication of this notice through August 30, 2001. Comments may be sent to Mr. Richard Strahan at the address provided below.

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of

respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There also may be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: To request additional information about the proposed project and public meetings and to provide written comments on the scope of the EIS, contact Mr. Richard Strahan, Project Manager, Yuma Area Office, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 7301 Calle Agua Salada, Yuma, AZ 85364–9763; telephone (928) 343–8277; email rstrahan@lc.usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States holds title to works and facilities in the Wellton-Mohawk Division of the Gila Project, which was authorized by the Gila Project Reauthorization Act of July 30, 1947 (61 Stat. 628), and lands within and adjacent to the District. The Wellton-Mohawk Transfer Act (Public Law 106–221) of June 20, 2000, authorized the Secretary of Interior to transfer title to these works, facilities, and lands.

Pursuant to a Memorandum of Agreement (No. 8–AA–34–WA014, dated July 10, 1998), the District and Reclamation shall jointly identify lands within and adjacent to the District to be purchased or exchanged or otherwise transferred by and between the District and Reclamation. Acquired Lands, Public Lands, and Withdrawn Lands shall be appraised in accordance with practices approved by the Secretary to ensure that the United States receives fair market value for the lands purchased or exchanged.

The District, whose jurisdiction covers approximately 63,000 acres of arable land along the Gila River in an area east of Yuma, Arizona, receives irrigation water through canals and related works and facilities constructed by Reclamation as part of the Wellton-Mohawk Division of the Federal Gila Project. Over the years, the District has repaid the costs of their construction, and it operates and maintains the works and facilities and administers the distribution of water and collection of revenues from water users. Reclamation,

as the owner of the Federal facilities and associated rights-of-way, monitors the use and maintenance of the facilities and administers the legal aspects of the landownership.

Jointly, Reclamation and the District will evaluate the environmental aspects associated with the proposed transfer of title to the works and facilities and to certain lands currently vested in the United States. The works and facilities include canals, canal structures, pumping plants, pumps, motors, transformers, laterals, drains, roads, buildings, and works, including Gila River flood protection and control works rights-of-way and easements for facilities and other interests in lands. The District has also expressed an interest in purchasing, at fair market value, various tracts of Reclamation land associated with the Wellton-Mohawk Division of the Gila Project that were not included in the construction cost repayment schedule.

Responsibility for ownership of Reclamation works and facilities and associated land would be assumed by the District as part of its established irrigation, drainage, and flood control operation program and in the interest of maintaining a viable agricultural economy in Yuma County.

The proposed title transfer would eliminate duplication of administrative costs and divided responsibilities that exist because of Federal ownership of works and facilities that are operated and maintained by the District. These include Reclamation's oversight of the District's operation and maintenance of facilities as well as distribution and use of irrigation water, and the reporting required of the District by Reclamation. The proposed change in title would not change the purpose, operation, or use of the facilities and works, nor actions and relationships outside of District operations, such as allocation of Colorado River water, conveyance of agricultural return flows from the District, Federal programs related to Colorado River water quality, and power contracts involving the District.

Preferred Alternative

The preferred alternative would involve the proposed transfer to the District of Reclamation-owned irrigation and drainage water conveyance and distribution works and facilities beginning at the Gila Gravity Main Canal, the Gila River flood channel and protective dikes, and the Federal rights-of-way and easements on which these facilities are located. In addition, the preferred alternative includes the District's purchase, at fair market value, of other lands in the District that

Reclamation has withdrawn from the public domain for project purposes or has acquired in connection with the Colorado River Salinity Control Project, and lands that are still in the public domain which would complement the operational integrity of the Wellton-Mohawk Division.

Anyone interested in more information concerning the proposed title transfer should contact Mr. Strahan as provided above.

Dated: July 16, 2001.

J.F. Williams,

Deputy Area Manager, Yuma Area Office. [FR Doc. 01–18977 Filed 7–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-395]

Certain EPROM, EEPROM, Flash Memory, and Flash Microcontroller Semiconductor Devices, and Products Containing Same; Notice of Decision To Deny Complainant Atmel's Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by Respondent Macronix

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to deny complainant Atmel's petition for reconsideration of the Commission's final determination of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 by respondent Macronix in the abovecaptioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Timothy P. Monaghan, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202– 205–3152.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 18, 1997, based upon a complaint filed by Atmel Corporation ("Atmel") alleging that Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. ("Sanyo"), Winbond Electronics Corporation of Taiwan and Winbond Electronics North America Corporation of California (collectively "Winbond"), and Macronix International Co., Ltd. and Macronix America, Inc. (collectively "Macronix") had violated section 337 in the sale for importation, the importation, and the sale within the United States after

importation of certain erasable programmable read only memory ("EPROM"), electrically erasable programmable read only memory ("EEPROM"), flash memory, and flash microcontroller semiconductor devices thereof, by reason of infringement of one or more claims of U.S. Letters Patent 4,511,811 ("the '811 patent"), U.S. Letters Patent 4,673,829 ("the '829 patent"), and U.S. Letters Patent 4,451,903 ("the '903 patent") assigned to Atmel. 62 Fed. Reg. 13706 (March 21, 1997). Silicon Storage Technology, Inc. ("SST") intervened in the investigation.

On October 27, 2000, the Commission determined that there was a violation of section 337. The Commission found that the claims in issue of the '903 patent are valid, enforceable, and infringed by the imports of respondents Sanvo and Winbond (but not respondent Macronix), and found a violation of section 337 with regard to the '903 patent as to Sanyo and Winbond. As to the '811 and '829 patents, the Commission found that the claims in issue of those patents are valid and enforceable, but not infringed by the imports of respondents Sanyo, Winbond, or Macronix, and found no violation of section 337 with regard to the '811 and '829 patents.

The Commission determined that the appropriate form of relief was a limited exclusion order prohibiting the importation of EPROMs, EEPROMs, flash memories, and flash microcontroller semiconductor devices, and circuit boards containing those

semiconductor memory devices, that infringe claims 1 or 9 of the '903 patent and that are manufactured and/or imported by or on behalf of Sanyo and Winbond. The Commission also determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d) do not preclude the issuance of the limited exclusion of Precident and that the bond

exclusion order and that the bond during the Presidential review period should be set at \$0.78 per device.

Winbond appealed these findings to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit regarding the '903 patent, as well as the Commission's claim construction and infringement findings, Winbond Electronics Corp. v. U.S. International Trade Commission, Case Nos. 01-1031-1032-1034 (the Winbond appeal). Atmel appealed to the Federal Circuit the Commission's finding that respondent Macronix did not infringe the asserted claims of the '903 patent and the Commission's findings of no violation with respect to the '811 and '829 patents, Atmel Corp. v. U.S. International Trade Commission, Case No. 01-1128 (the Atmel appeal). Atmel also appealed the temporal scope

of the Commission's order finding that Atmel had waived its attorney client privilege and work product protections.

On January 30, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued an order on all issues raised in the Winbond appeal and on two issues raised in the Atmel appeal. The Court also issued an unpublished opinion on the issues of claim construction and infringement of the '903 patent.

In the Atmel appeal, the Court disagreed with some of the Commission's claim construction, and vacated the Commission's finding that Macronix does not infringe the asserted claims of the '903 patent. The Court remanded the case to the Commission to determine whether Macronix infringes under the claim construction found by the Court to be correct. On March 23, 2001, the Federal Circuit issued its mandate formally remanding this matter to the Commission for further fact finding and a determination on whether the Macronix devices infringe the '903 patent under the Federal Circuit's claim construction.

On June 1, 2001, the Commission determined that under the Federal Circuit's claim construction the accused Macronix devices do not infringe the claims at issue of the '903 patent, and terminated the remand investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337 by Macronix.

On June 18, 2001, Atmel filed a petition pursuant to rule 210.47 for reconsideration of the Commission's June 1, 2001, determination of no violation by Macronix. On June 25, 2001, Macronix filed a response to Atmel's petition for reconsideration. No other responses were filed.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and rule 210.47 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.47.

Copies of the Commission Order and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearingimpaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be