

## 2.0 Other Involved Agencies

The Corps will develop the SAMP in close coordination with other agencies, including the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, California Department of Fish and Game, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Marine Fisheries Service, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Coastal Commission, as necessary. The Corps encourages active participation by County and local governments, concerned landowners and the general public. The California Department of Fish and Game will participate in the SAMP process by formulating a MSAA under Section 1601 and 1603 of the California Fish and Game Code for development in the SAMP Study area that affects lakes, rivers, streams and associated riparian habitats subject to the Department's jurisdiction.

The environmental analysis and the SAMP will be a joint state and federal document. The California Department of Fish and Game will prepare a Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act for the actions described in the SAMP. A separate Notice of Preparation will be prepared and published by the Department. The Corps of Engineers and the Department of Fish and Game will work cooperatively to prepare a joint EIS/EIR document, and to coordinate the public noticing and hearing processes under state and federal laws.

## 3.0 EIS Alternatives

The Corps of Engineers has identified the following alternatives to be addressed in the EIS. Other alternatives or variations of alternatives may be studied based on input during public scoping and the results of the EIS studies. The Corps anticipates that these will also be the alternatives in the EIR.

1. *No Action Alternative*—Land development or other activities would be limited to actions that do not require a Section 404 permit or a Section 1601 or 1603 Agreement and that are consistent with the existing or future applicable local general plan. These non-regulated activities including construction outside of waters of the United States, use of existing urban areas and infrastructure, ongoing agricultural operations and other activities within the SAMP study area would continue indefinitely. The Corps would not prepare a SAMP and there would not be a comprehensive and coordinated approach to address potential impacts to aquatic resources.

2. *No SAMP Alternative*—Land development and other activities would proceed consistent with the existing or future applicable local general plan and programmatic 404 permit(s). In addition to the activities described in Alternative 1, land development and other activities that are consistent with the applicable local general plans would proceed using the existing Section 404, Section 1601 and 1603 regulatory processes. The Corps would not prepare a SAMP and there would not be a comprehensive and coordinated approach to address potential impacts to aquatic resources.

3. *SAMP Alternative*—Preparation of a SAMP with land development and other activities which may require modifications to applicable local land-use general plans and Habitat Conservation Plans that seek to maximize the opportunities to protect, restore and manage aquatic resources. One or more modifications to existing applicable local general land-use plans would be developed that seek to promote additional benefits to aquatic resources while achieving reasonable economic development growth. A watershed-wide aquatic resource reserve program to protect, restore and manage aquatic resources would be developed that is consistent with these modifications. Programmatic Section 404 Permit(s) would be issued for specifically identified activities and permitting criteria would be established for other future activities pursuant to the requirements of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The California Department of Fish and Game will formulate a Master Streambed Alteration Agreement under Section 1601 and 1603 of the California Fish and Game Code for development in the SAMP Study area.

The EIS would also address alternative methods and institutional arrangements for aquatic resource reserve management.

## 4.0 Key Environmental Issues

The EIS will address impacts associated with future land development in the watershed and actions to protect aquatic resources, as identified in the SAMP. The key environmental impacts to be addressed in the EIS are listed below:

- *Aquatic resources*—potential effects of proposed use alternatives on the functional integrity and extent of aquatic resources due to altered biological, hydrological, and water quality conditions in the study area. Indirect impacts of land development and human activities in close proximity resources will also be addressed.

- *Water quality*—potential effects on the quality of surface and ground water due to construction activities in the watershed, and due to urban stormwater runoff associated with future development. To the extent feasible, the SAMP will address water quality issues on a programmatic basis.

- *Threatened and endangered species*—potential adverse effects on listed aquatic-dependent species. The Corps will consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act concerning potential effects on listed species, such as the least Bell's vireo (*Vireo belli pusilius*) and the southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*), and designated critical habitat within the SAMP Study area.

- *Cultural Resources*—potential impacts on archeological, ethnographic, paleontologic, and historic resources. The Corps of Engineers will comply with the consultation requirements under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

## 5.0 Schedule

A Daft EIS is expected to be issued for public review in Spring 2002.

Dated: June 23 2001.

**Richard G. Thompson,**

*Colonel, Corps of Engineers, District Engineer.*  
[FR Doc. 01-19040 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-KF-M

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Grant of Exclusive Licenses

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with 37 CFR 404.7(b)(1)(i), announcement is made of a prospective exclusive license for all fields of use, in the manufacture, use, and sale of the telescoping Weir covered by U.S. Patent No. 6,213,684 filed October 26, 1998.

**DATES:** Written objections must be filed not later than October 1, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199, ATTN: CERDC-OC-Z.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Phillip Stewart, ATTN: CEERD-OP-Z, (601) 634-4113, FAX (601) 634-4180, Internet [stewartp@erdc.usace.army.mil](mailto:stewartp@erdc.usace.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Telescoping Weir was invented by Jack

Fowler, Ronald G. Vann and Thomas D. Woodward, Jr. Rights to the patent have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Army. The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Army intends to grant an exclusive license for all fields of use, in the manufacture, use, and sale of the telescoping weir to Oceaneering, Advanced Technologies Group, 501 Prince George Boulevard, Upper Marlboro, Maryland. Pursuant to 37 CFR 404.7(b)(1)(i), any interested party may file a written objection to this prospective exclusive license agreement.

**Richard L. Frenette,**  
*Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 01-18981 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

#### Grant of Exclusive Licenses

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The notice published on Friday, June 15, 2001 at 66 FR 32609 announcing a prospective exclusive patent license in European Patent Application Office application number 94926514.4 should be revised by deleting the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** and substituting the following **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Phil Stewart (601) 634-4113. e-mail [stewarp@ex1.wes.army.mil](mailto:stewarp@ex1.wes.army.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Concrete Armor Unit was invented by Jeffrey A. Melby and George F. Turk. Rights to the patent applications identified above have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Army. The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Army intends to grant an exclusive license for all fields of use, in the manufacture, use, and sale of the concrete armor units in the territories and possessions, including territorial waters, in each of the countries listed above to Sogreah, a corporation with principal offices at Grenoble, France. Pursuant to 37 CFR 404.7(b)(1)(i), any interested party may file a written

objection to this prospective exclusive license agreement.

**Richard L. Frenette,**  
*Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 01-18982 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3710-92-M**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.

**SUMMARY:** The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 1, 2001.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. OMB may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Leader, Regulatory Information Management Group, Office of the Chief Information Officer, publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to submission of these requests to OMB. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g. new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. OMB invites public comment.

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) Is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department; (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner; (3) is the estimate of burden accurate; (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this

collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: July 25, 2001.

**John Tressler,**

*Leader, Regulatory Information Management,  
Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

### Office of Vocational and Adult Education

*Type of Review:* Revision.

*Title:* Revision of Consolidated Annual Performance and Financial Reports for the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* State, Local, or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

### Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden

*Responses:* 54.

*Burden Hours:* 7,033.

*Abstract:* The information collected by the Consolidated Annual Performance Report is used to monitor program performance and the uses of funds under the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Technical Education Act of 1998. Respondents include eligible agencies in 53 States and outlying areas. This revision corrects the omission of two columns that are needed in order to collect information about expenditures for the full twenty-seven month period of the grant award.

Requests for copies of the proposed information collection request may be accessed from <http://edicsweb.ed.gov>, or should be addressed to Vivian Reese, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4050, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202-4651. Requests may also be electronically mailed to the internet address [OCIO\\_IMG\\_Issues@ed.gov](mailto:OCIO_IMG_Issues@ed.gov) or faxed to 202-708-9346. Please specify the complete title of the information collection when making your request. Comments regarding burden and/or the collection activity requirements should be directed to Sheila Carey at (202) 708-6287 or via her internet address [Sheila.Carey@ed.gov](mailto:Sheila.Carey@ed.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339.

[FR Doc. 01-18966 Filed 7-30-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of Education.