

to make them financially self-sufficient by year 2013 and to protect the Presidio's resources by ensuring long-term financial sustainability. The PTIP EIS describes and analyzes a proposed action, the "Draft Plan," and five alternatives that have been developed to address Trust Act requirements, changed circumstances since the GMPA was completed, and new policies and management approaches of the Trust. The five alternatives to the "Draft Plan," each described in greater detail below, are: "No Action," "Resource Consolidation," "Sustainable Community," "Cultural Destination" and "Minimum Management." Each of the six alternatives presented in the EIS achieves the Trust Act's goals to varying degrees and has a different emphasis. Principal differences include the proposed total building square footage, the proposed amount of non-residential and residential uses, the proposed amount of open space and the proposed method of delivery of public programs. The maximum overall square footage of 5,960,000 allowed under the Trust Act would not be exceeded under any alternative.

The "Draft Plan" alternative was developed and refined based on public input received during the scoping period. Under the Draft Plan, the Presidio would become a center for education, communication and exchange. Buildings would be removed to increase open space, and no net loss of housing units would be achieved, by emphasizing the rehabilitation and reuse of existing buildings.

Under the "No Action," or GMPA 2000 alternative, the Trust would implement the GMPA assuming current (year 2000) conditions. Buildings would be removed to increase open space and enhance natural resources, and available housing would decrease substantially. Tenants with a mission related to environmental, social or cultural concerns would offer public programs related to their business mission.

Under the "Resource Consolidation" alternative, the Presidio would become an enhanced open space haven in the center of urban surroundings by maximizing open space in the south through the removal of historic and non-historic structures.

Under the "Sustainable Community" alternative, the Presidio would become a sustainable live/work community in a park setting with a small decrease in housing units, would retain its present dispersed pattern of development, and would emphasize building reuse and rehabilitation.

Under the "Cultural Destination" alternative, the Presidio would become

a national and international destination park by providing robust public programming delivered through the Trust. A substantial level of building demolition in the south would be replaced in the north to provide an increase in and improved mix of housing and to cluster housing near work and transit.

Under the "Minimum Management" alternative, there would be no significant physical change beyond that already underway, and the Presidio would be minimally managed to meet minimum legal requirements.

Major impact topics addressed in the EIS include historic resources, cultural landscape, archaeology, biological resources, water resources, visual resources, air quality, noise, land use, socioeconomic issues, visitor experience, recreation, public safety, transportation, water supply, utilities, Trust operations, and cumulative impacts.

Materials Available to the Public: The EIS is being sent to agencies, organizations and individuals who have expressed an interest in such information. Copies of the EIS are available by calling 415/561-5414 or by writing the Presidio Trust, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. The EIS may also be reviewed in the Trust's library at 34 Graham Street, San Francisco, CA, and is available electronically on the Trust's website (www.presidiotrust.gov). A CD-ROM version may be obtained by calling or writing to the Trust.

Public Meetings: Information on the EIS will be provided and oral comment will be received at the following public meetings:

- August 28, 2001—7:30 p.m. Golden Gate National Recreation Area Citizens' Advisory Commission Meeting, Building 201, Upper Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA.
- September 11, 2001—6 p.m. to 9 p.m. Presidio Trust Public Hearing, 135 Fisher Loop (Golden Gate Club), The Presidio of San Francisco, CA.
- September 17, 2001—1 p.m. to 4 p.m. Presidio Trust Board of Directors meeting, 50 Moraga Avenue (Officers' Club), The Presidio of San Francisco, CA.

Comments: The public review period for the EIS ends on September 25, 2001. Written comments should be sent to: PTIP, c/o John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, the Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Fax: 415/561-2716; e-mail: ptip@presidiotrust.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Pelka, NEPA Compliance Manager, the

Presidio Trust, 34 Graham Street, P.O. Box 29052, San Francisco, CA 94129-0052. Telephone: 415/561-5414.

Dated: July 20, 2001.

Karen A. Cook,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 01-18633 Filed 7-25-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-4R-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Issuer Delisting; Notice of Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; (Opinion Research Corporation, Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value) File No. 1-14927

July 20, 2001.

Opinion Research Corporation, a Delaware corporation ("Issuer"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 12d2-2(d) thereunder,² to withdraw its Common Stock, \$.01 par value ("Security"), from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange ("Amex").

The Board of Directors ("Board") of the Issuer approved a resolution on June 29, 2001 to withdraw the Security from listing on the Exchange and to list the Security on the Nasdaq Stock Market ("Nasdaq"). The issuer represented that trading in the Security was scheduled to begin on the Nasdaq at the opening of business on July 18, 2001. The Issuer has stated that the Board took such action, in response to the Issuer's investors, to increase its visibility to investors and improve the Security's liquidity.

The Issuer has stated in its application that it has met the requirements of Amex Rule 18 by complying with all applicable laws in effect in the State of Delaware, in which it is incorporated, and with the Amex's rules governing an issuer's voluntary withdrawal of a security from listing and registration. The Issuer's application relates solely to the Security's withdrawal from listing on the Amex and from registration under section 12(b) of the Act³ and shall affect neither its approval for trading on the Nasdaq nor its obligation to be registered under section 12(g) of the Act.⁴

Any interested person may, on or before August 10, 2001, submit by letter

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78j(d).

² 17 CFR 240.12d2-2(d).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78j(b).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78j(g).

to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20549-0609, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Amex and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors. The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁵

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-18641 Filed 7-25-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 35-27428]

Filings Under the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as Amended ("Act")

July 20, 2001.

Notice is hereby given that the following filing(s) has/have been made with the Commission pursuant to provisions of the Act and rules promulgated under the Act. All interested persons are referred to the application(s) and/or declaration(s) for complete statements of the proposed transaction(s) summarized below. The application(s) and/or declaration(s) and any amendment(s) is/are available for public inspection through the Commission's Branch of Public Reference.

Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing on the application(s) and/or declaration(s) should submit their views in writing by August 14, 2001, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, DC 20549-0609, and serve a copy on the relevant applicant(s) and/or declarant(s) at the address(es) specified below. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for hearing should identify specifically the issues of facts or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in the matter. After August 14, 2001, the

application(s) and/or declaration(s), as filed or as amended, may be granted and/or permitted to become effective.

Progress Energy, Inc., et al. (70-9863)

Progress Energy, Inc. ("Progress Energy"), a registered holding company under the Act, Carolina Power & Light Company ("CP&L"), a public utility company subsidiary of Progress Energy, Progress Energy Ventures, Inc. ("Ventures"), a nonutility intermediate holding company subsidiary of Progress Energy, Richmond County Power, LLC ("Richmond"), a wholly owned, inactive subsidiary of CP&L, Florida Power Corporation ("Florida Power"), a public utility company subsidiary of Progress Energy, North Carolina Natural Gas Corporation ("NCNG"), a gas utility subsidiary company of Progress Energy, Progress Energy Service Company, LLC ("Services"), a service company subsidiary of Progress Energy, Monroe Power Company ("Monroe"), subsidiary company of Progress Energy and presently an exempt wholesale generator ("EWG") as defined in section 32 of the Act, and CP&L NewCo ("NewCo"), a company to be formed by CP&L, (together, "Applicants"), all located at 411 Fayetteville Street Mall, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, have filed an application-declaration ("Application") under sections 3(a)(1), 6(a), 7, 9(a), 10, 11(b)(2), 12, 13(b), and 32 under the Act and rules 43, 44, 45, 46, 53, 54, 58, 88, 90 and 91 under the Act.

I. Summary of Requests

Applicants seek authority for: (1) CP&L to transfer its interests in certain electric generation assets¹ ("Richmond Facility") to Richmond; (2) CP&L to transfer its interests in Richmond to Ventures through a series of transactions explained in detail below; (3) Richmond to enter in various financing transactions within aggregate limitations authorized for the Progress Energy system by order dated December 12, 2000 (HCAR No. 27297) ("December Order"); (4) Richmond to issue additional types of securities; (5) Ventures to be granted exemption from

registration under section 3(a)(1) of the Act; (6) the retention of Monroe and NewCo as intermediate holding companies; (7) Monroe and NewCo to be granted exemption from registration under section 3(a)(1) of the Act; (8) the acquisition by Richmond of special purpose financing subsidiaries ("Richmond Financing Subsidiaries"); (9) the addition of Richmond to the utility money pool ("Utility Money Pool") authorized in the December Order; (10) the reservation of jurisdiction over the addition of Richmond to the Progress Energy tax allocation agreement; and (11) certain service transactions involving wholesale generation utility and nonutility subsidiaries under section 13(b) of the Act.

A. Restructuring

Applicants request authority for CP&L to transfer and Richmond to acquire the Richmond Facility, with Richmond then becoming a public utility subsidiary of CP&L. Applicants further intend that Richmond be moved to a different placement in the Progress Energy system through a series of transactions ("Restructuring"). The Restructuring is expected to take place as follows: (1) Progress Energy will contribute its stock in Ventures to Monroe; (2) CP&L will contribute the Richmond Facility to Richmond; (3) CP&L will form NewCo as a new, wholly owned subsidiary, (4) CP&L will contribute its membership interests in Richmond to NewCo in exchange for the acquisition of all NewCo stock; (5) CP&L will distribute the NewCo stock to Progress Energy; (6) the Monroe stock will be contributed to NewCo; and (7) NewCo will contribute the Richmond membership interests down the corporate chain to Ventures. Applicants request authority to retain Monroe and NewCo in place as intermediate holding companies after the Restructuring in order for the Restructuring to be exempt from federal tax under section 355 of the Internal Revenue Code.

B. Richmond Financing Subsidiaries

Applicants request authority for Richmond to acquire the securities and organize one or more Richmond Financing Subsidiaries to issue Preferred Securities, Debentures, Short-Term Debt and Long-Term Debt. The Richmond Financing Subsidiaries will be organized solely to issue securities to support Richmond's businesses. Applicants state that the Richmond Financing Subsidiaries will dividend, loan, or otherwise transfer proceeds of a financing only to Richmond.

¹ The Richmond Facility consists of the following assets (or rights to acquire such assets): eight GE 7F combustion turbines; six heat recovery steam generators; three steam turbines; one station service transformer; steam condensers and cooling towers; one gas pipeline spur; and a water supply, treatment and transportation system. The Richmond Facility assets also include, or will include, contracts for wholesale sales of electricity, construction, operation and maintenance, fuel, and other contracts, as well as governmental permits and approvals and real property interests, directly related to and necessary for facility construction and operation. As of December 31, 2000, the Richmond assets had a book value of \$145,324,232.

⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(1).